March 19, 2001

EX PARTE – Via Electronic Filing

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Applications of VoiceStream Wireless, Powertel and Deutsche Telekom for Transfer of Control, IB Docket No. 00-187

Dear Ms. Salas:

On March 16, 2001, Brian O’Connor (of VoiceStream Wireless), John Nakahata (representing VoiceStream), Andreas Tegge (of Deutsche Telekom), and John Harwood (representing Deutsche Telekom) met with Don Abelson, Chief, International Bureau (“IB”), Rebecca Arbogast (IB), Karen Oniyje (IB), Linda Haller (IB), John Branscome, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (“WTB”), Lauren Kravetz (WTB), and Jim Bird (Office of General Counsel) to discuss the above-captioned proceeding.

During the meeting, we presented the points on the first of the attached documents. We used the attached matrix to demonstrate that Senator Hollings’ interpretation of section 310 would require treating section 310(a) more expansively than sections 310(b)(1) and (b)(2) and therefore would be contrary to the plain language of section 310 and to its legislative history.
In accordance with the Commission’s rules and the Public Notice in this docket, we are filing electronically a copy of this letter, with two attachments, in the above-captioned docket, and serving copies on all parties.

Sincerely,

/s/ John T. Nakahata
John T. Nakahata
Karen L. Gulick
Samuel L. Feder
HARRIS, WILTSHIRE & GRANNIS LLP
1200 18th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 730-1300
Counsel for VoiceStream Wireless Corp.

/s/ John H. Harwood (by JTN)
William T. Lake
John H. Harwood II
William R. Richardson, Jr.
WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERING
2445 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037
(202) 663-6000
Counsel for Deutsche Telekom AG

/s/ Louis Gurman (by JTN)
Cheryl A. Tritt
Louis Gurman
Doane F. Kiechel
Christa M. Parker
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
(202) 887-1500
Counsel for VoiceStream Wireless Corp.

Attachments

c: Peter Tenhula, Senior Legal Advisor to the Chairman
Mark Schneider, Senior Legal Advisor to Commissioner Ness
Bryan Tramont, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth
Adam Krinsky, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Tristani
Attached service list
March 16, 2001

The VoiceStream-Powertel-DT Mergers Are Covered by Section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act

- The language and legislative history of section 310 make clear that section 310(b)(4) applies to the merger applications.
  - By its plain language, section 310(b)(4) governs the applications, which involve precisely the structure described in that section. DT will own VoiceStream, which in turn will wholly own subsidiaries that will directly hold the Commission licenses. The German government will hold approximately 45 percent of DT.
  - The legislative history of section 310 confirms the plain meaning of section 310(b)(4). In passing the 1934 Act, Congress considered and rejected including a flat ban on indirect foreign ownership of more than 25 percent of the controlling parent of a radio licensee. Instead, Congress gave the Commission discretion to permit such investments if in the public interest.
  - This is the only plausible reading of the language of the statute and its legislative history, and the only outcome that is consistent with the Foreign Participation Order and the obligation to construe statutes to avoid conflicts with international obligations.

- Section 310(a) does not apply to the applications.
  - Senator Hollings mistakenly claims that section 310(a) absolutely blocks the mergers. That theory finds no support in the language of section 310(a), which -- in contrast to section 310(b)(4) -- does not address indirect ownership structures.
  - If section 310(a) applied any time a foreign government has indirect control of a radio license, and flatly prohibited such a structure, the scope of section 310(a) would overlap with and trump section 310(b)(4). That reading of section 310 would violate the most basic rules of statutory construction (specific provision prevails over general; each statutory provision must be given effect).
  - Senator Hollings recognizes that problem and attempts to avoid it by arguing that section 310(b)(4) applies only where there is a 25 percent or greater foreign government ownership interest that does not confer control, and that section 310(a) applies where there is control. But nothing in the language of the statute or the Commission’s decisions supports such an argument.
    - Section 310(b)(4) expressly applies in every instance in which a foreign government owns more than a 25% indirect interest in a common carrier radio license, regardless of whether that government exercises “control” over the licensee.
    - To construe the Act any other way would read section 310(b)(4) out of the statute for aliens, foreign corporations, and governments alike (which are treated no differently under the plain language of that section).
It would make no sense for Congress to take away from the Commission with one hand (section 310(a)) the power that Congress gave with the other (section 310(b)(4)), particularly when Congress added the section 310(b)(4) language to the Act after what now is section 310(a).

- Commission precedent makes clear that section 310(b)(4) applies to the merger applications.
  - Commission decisions -- *Orion*, *Intelsat*, and *Telecom Finland* -- consistently recognize that section 310(b)(4) applies to ownership structures, such as that here, involving indirect interests of greater than 25% in a common carrier licenses. The Commission never has held that section 310(a) prohibits a foreign government from obtaining *indirect* control over a *common carrier* license.
  - In *Intelsat* the Commission used a *de facto* control analysis under section 310(a) to address only Intelsat’s non-common carrier authorizations; in contrast, the Commission used section 310(b)(4) to address Intelsat’s common carrier authorizations. Thus, if section 310(a) applies to indirect ownership at all, it applies only when radio licenses not covered by section 310(b) are at issue.
  - If Senator Hollings’ interpretation of section 310 were correct, the Commission could not have approved the mergers of Airtouch and Vodafone or of British Telecom and MCI, or the joint venture of Vodafone and Bell Atlantic. Sections 310(b)(1) and (2) prohibit direct holdings of common carrier radio licenses by *aliens* and *foreign corporations*, respectively, using the same language that section 310(a) employs to bar direct holdings of radio licenses by *foreign governments*.

- The Commission also does not need to decide in this case how section 310(a) might apply in other circumstances where section 310(b)(4) does not provide for Commission public interest review of foreign ownership. If such a case does arise in the future, the Commission always will determine whether grant of an application under section 309(a) or (d) is in the public interest. For example, the Commission may consider the character qualifications of any person with an *attributable* though non-controlling interest (e.g., 5 percent voting). Moreover, under section 309(h)(3), every license is also subject to the requirements of section 706, which allows the President to suspend or amend the rules applicable to licenses or confiscate the relevant stations in times of war, “public peril,” or “other national emergency.”

- If the Commission concluded here that section 310(a) permits use of a *de facto* control test, that would unnecessarily raise a controversial trade issue having farreaching consequences.
  - Such an analysis could imply that, where a foreign government *does* have *de facto* control (unlike the situation in this case), section 310(a) would prohibit such ownership. That reading of section 310 could not be reconciled with the U.S. commitments under the WTO Basic Telecommunications Agreement. Regarding limitations on market access for ownership of a common carrier radio license, the U.S. Schedule specifies: “Indirect: None.”
### Statutory Construction of Section 310(a) - (b) with respect to Common Carrier Licenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Licensee</th>
<th>Alien or Representative</th>
<th>Foreign Corp.</th>
<th>Foreign Gov’t or Representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ownership of Licensee</td>
<td>≤ 20%</td>
<td>≤ 20%</td>
<td>≤ 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[§310(b)(3)]**</td>
<td>[§310(b)(3)]**</td>
<td>[§310(b)(3)]**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting of Licensee</td>
<td>≤ 20%</td>
<td>≤ 20%</td>
<td>≤ 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[§310(b)(3)]**</td>
<td>[§310(b)(3)]**</td>
<td>[§310(b)(3)]**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership of Holding Co.</td>
<td>≤ 25%</td>
<td>≤ 25%</td>
<td>≤ 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unless in the public interest</td>
<td>[§310(b)(4)]***</td>
<td>[§310(b)(4)]***</td>
<td>[§310(b)(4)]***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting of Holding Co.</td>
<td>≤ 25%</td>
<td>≤ 25%</td>
<td>≤ 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unless in the public interest</td>
<td>[§310(b)(4)]***</td>
<td>[§310(b)(4)]***</td>
<td>[§310(b)(4)]***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ownership and voting are separate tests under §310(b)(3) and (b)(4). Wilner & Scheiner, 103 FCC 2d 511 (1985); In the matter of BBC License Subsidiary, LP, 10 FCC Rcd 10, 968 (1995).

### Legislative History:


** The predecessor to §310(b)(3) was added by the Radio Act of 1927 to limit alien ownership and voting of the licensee itself, directly responding, in part, to the Wickersham Opinion.

*** The predecessor to §310(b)(4) was added by the Communications Act of 1934 to address the specific issue of indirect foreign ownership and voting of stock in a holding company controlling a U.S. licensee.

---

1 These percentages signify the amount of ownership or voting interest an alien, foreign corporation or foreign government may have in a licensee, subject to Commission approval under Section 309(a) or 310(d), as applicable.
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Karen R. Stephens, do hereby certify that on this 19th day of March 2001, I caused true and correct copies of the foregoing Ex Parte to be served via first-class mail, postage pre-paid, upon the following parties:

Lauren Kravetz  
Commercial Wireless Division  
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW, Room 4-A163  
Washington, DC 20554

John Branscome  
Commercial Wireless Division  
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW, Room 4-A234  
Washington, DC 20554

Office of Media Relations  
Reference Operations Division  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW  
Room CY-A257  
Washington, DC 20554

Jamison Prime  
Public Safety and Private Wireless Division  
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW, Room 4-A734  
Washington, DC 20554

Claudia Fox  
Policy and Facilities Branch  
Telecommunications Division  
International Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW, Room 6-A848  
Washington, DC 20554

Carl Huie  
Experimental Licensing Branch  
Electromagnetic Compatibility Division  
Office of Engineering and Technology  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW, Room 7-A361  
Washington, DC 20554

Justin Connor  
Policy and Facilities Branch  
Telecommunications Division  
International Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW, Room 6-A832  
Washington, DC 20554

James Bird  
Office of General Counsel  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW, Room 8-C818  
Washington, DC 20554

Senator Ernest F. Hollings  
United States Senate  
Committee on Commerce, Science,  
And Transportation  
558 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

Christine E. Enemark  
Counsel for Cook Inlet Region, Inc.  
Covington & Burling  
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20004-2401
Susan Grant  
National Consumers League  
1701 K Street NW, Suite 1200  
Washington, DC  20006  

Howard Frisch  
UTStarcom  
33 Wood Avenue South, 8th Floor  
Iselin, NJ 08830  

Edward M. Graham  
Institute for International Economics  
11 Dupont Circle, NW  
Washington, DC  20036-1207  

Andrew D. Lipman  
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP  
3000 K Street, NW, Suite 300  
Washington, DC  20007  

Debbie Goldman  
Communications Workers of America  
501 Third St., NW  
Washington, DC  20001  

Steve Judge  
Securities Industry Association  
1401 Eye Street, NW  
Washington, DC  20005  

Todd Malan  
Organization for International Investment  
1901 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Suite 807  
Washington, DC  20006  

Troy F. Tanner  
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP  
3000 K Street, NW, Suite 300  
Washington, DC  20007  

Thomas J. Donohue  
United States Chamber of Commerce  
1615 H Street, NW  
Washington, DC  20062  

Pace A. Duckenfield  
The Alliance for Public Technology  
919 Eighteenth Street, NW  
Suite 900  
Washington, DC  20006  

Gary C. Hufbauer  
Institute for International Economics  
11 Dupont Circle, NW  
Washington, DC  20036-1207  

Jason Mahler  
Computer & Communications Industry Association  
666 Eleventh Street NW, Sixth Floor  
Washington, DC  20001  

International Transcription Services, Inc.  
445 12th Street, SW  
Room CY-B402  
Washington, DC  20554  

Gerald Schumeyer  
Siemens Corporation  
153 East 53rd Street  
New York, NY 10022  

Richard J. Callahan  
Callahan Associates International LLC  
3200 Cherry Creek South Drive  
Suite 650  
Denver, CO 80209  

VIA AIR MAIL  
European Telecommunications Network Operators Association  
33 Boulevard Bischoffsheim  
1000 Brussels  
Belgium