June 4, 2001

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re:  Ex Parte Presentation: DA 00-2246
Fox Television Stations, Inc. Applications for Assignment of Broadcast
Licenses and Assets of Chris-Craft Industries, Inc.
File Nos. BALCT-20000918ABB-ABD; BALCT-20000918ABF-ABS;
BALCT-20000918ABU-ABZ; BALCT20000918ACA-ACE

Dear Ms. Salas:

On behalf of the Office of Communication, Inc. of the United Church of Christ, Academy
of Latino Leaders In Action, Black Citizens for a Fair Media, Center for Media Education,
Consumer Federation of America, Consumers Union, New York Metropolitan Association of the
United Church of Christ, Rainbow/PUSH Coalition and Valley Community Access Television
("Petitioners"), attached please find copies of a written ex parte presentation and meeting request
concerning the above-referenced proceeding that was forwarded to Commissioners Abernathy

In compliance with the Commission's rules regarding ex parte communications, an
original and one (1) copy of this letter is being filed. Should you have any questions, please do
not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher R. Day
Angela J. Campbell

Counsel for the Petitioners

600 New Jersey Avenue NW Suite 312 Washington DC 20061-3015
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cc: Commissioner Kathleen Abernathy
    Commissioner Michael Copps
    Barbara Kreisman, MMB
    David Roberts, MMB
    David Brown, MMB
    James R. Bird, OGC
    John C. Quale
    William S. Reyner, Jr.
    Marvin J. Diamond
VIA HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Kathleen Abernathy
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Ex Parte Communication: DA 00-2246
Fox Television Stations, Inc. Applications for Assignment of Broadcast Licenses and Assets of Chris-Craft Industries, Inc.
File Nos. BALCT-20000918ABB-ABD; BALCT-20000918ABF-ABS;
BALCT-20000918ABU-ABZ; BALCT20000918ACA-ACE

Dear Commissioner Abernathy:

We would like to be among the first to congratulate you on your appointment to the Commission. We look forward to working with you during your term. As you are likely aware, one of the first issues that will be on the new Commission’s agenda is Fox Television Stations, Inc.’s (“Fox”) pending acquisition of the broadcast licenses and assets of Chris-Craft Industries, Inc. (“Chris-Craft”). We represent a broad-based coalition of public interest and viewers groups (“Petitioners”)1 that filed a Petition to Deny on October 27, 2000, in opposition to Fox’s Application. On behalf of the Petitioners, we would like to arrange a meeting with you and your staff to discuss our continuing concern with regard to the Fox Application.

1Petitioners consist of the Office of Communication, Inc. of the United Church of Christ, Academy of Latino Leaders in Action, Black Citizens for a Fair Media, Center for Media Education, Consumer Federation of America, Consumers Union, New York Metropolitan Association of the United Church of Christ, Rainbow/PUSH Coalition and Valley Community Access Television.
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In order to concisely detail our concerns regarding certain issues in the Application, we have attached two short briefing papers. The first briefing paper provides a historical summary of the various filings in this proceeding. The second briefing paper contains a summary of the exact legal concerns that we have with regard to a number of Fox’s waiver requests.

In compliance with the Commission’s rules regarding ex parte communications, an original and one (1) copy of this letter and attachments is being filed with the Commission Secretary.

Thank you in advance for your assistance with this request. We look forward to meeting with you and your staff in the near future.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Christopher R. Day  
Angela J. Campbell

Counsel for the Petitioners

cc:  Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary  
Barbara Kreisman, MMB  
David Roberts, MMB  
David Brown, MMB  
James R. Bird, OGC  
John C. Quale  
William S. Reyner, Jr.  
Marvin J. Diamond
VIA HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Michael Copps  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 Twelfth Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Re:  Ex Parte Communication: DA 00-2246  
Fox Television Stations, Inc. Applications for Assignment of Broadcast Licenses and Assets of Chris-Craft Industries, Inc.  
File Nos. BALCT-20000918ABB-ABD; BALCT-20000918ABF-ABS; BALCT-20000918ABU-ABZ; BALCT20000918ACA-ACE

Dear Commissioner Copps:

We would like to be among the first to congratulate you on your appointment to the Commission. We look forward to working with you during your term. As you are likely aware, one of the first issues that will be on the new Commission’s agenda is Fox Television Stations, Inc.’s (“Fox”) pending acquisition of the broadcast licenses and assets of Chris-Craft Industries, Inc. (“Chris-Craft”). We represent a broad-based coalition of public interest and viewers groups (“Petitioners”)¹ that filed a Petition to Deny on October 27, 2000, in opposition to Fox’s Application. On behalf of the Petitioners, we would like to arrange a meeting with you and your staff to discuss our continuing concern with regard to the Fox Application.

¹Petitioners consist of the Office of Communication, Inc. of the United Church of Christ, Academy of Latino Leaders in Action, Black Citizens for a Fair Media, Center for Media Education, Consumer Federation of America, Consumers Union, New York Metropolitan Association of the United Church of Christ, Rainbow/PUSH Coalition and Valley Community Access Television.
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In order to concisely detail our concerns regarding certain issues in the Application, we have attached two short briefing papers. The first briefing paper provides a historical summary of the various filings in this proceeding. The second briefing paper contains a summary of the exact legal concerns that we have with regard to a number of Fox’s waiver requests.

In compliance with the Commission’s rules regarding ex parte communications, an original and one (1) copy of this letter and attachments is being filed with the Commission Secretary.

Thank you in advance for your assistance with this request. We look forward to meeting with you and your staff in the near future.

Very truly yours,

Christopher R. Day  
Angela J. Campbell  

Counsel for the Petitioners  

cc: Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary  
Barbara Kreisman, MMB  
David Roberts, MMB  
David Brown, MMB  
James R. Bird, OGC  
John C. Quale  
William S. Reyner, Jr.  
Marvin J. Diamond
Summary of Issues
Fox Television Applications

On September 18, 2000, Fox Television Stations, Inc. ("FTS") filed applications to acquire the licenses and broadcast assets of ten television stations owned by Chris-Craft Industries, Inc. and its subsidiaries ("Chris-Craft"). These applications requested waivers of three broadcast ownership rules: 1) the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule; 2) the Duopoly Rule; and 3) the National Market Cap Rule. In addition, Fox proposed an ownership structure that would split the Chris-Craft station assets from the Chris-Craft licenses in order to minimize the payment of capital gains taxes. Under the proposed ownership structure, the stations assets would be held by "Newco," a direct subsidiary of News Corporation, an Australian corporation, while the station licenses would be held by FTS. This ownership structure would differ materially from the ownership structure approved by the Commission in 1995.

On October 27, 2000, the Office of Communication, Inc. of the United Church of Christ, Academy of Latino Leaders in Action, Black Citizens for a Fair Media, Center for Media Education, Consumer Federation of America, Consumers Union, New York Metropolitan Association of the United Church of Christ, Rainbow/PUSH Coalition, and Valley Community Access Television ("Petitioners") filed a Petition to deny the applications. This Petition, and the accompanying Motion to Dismiss, delineates a number of substantial and material questions of fact regarding the proposed ownership structure and the degree of foreign influence involved. In addition, the Petition also details certain substantial questions of material fact concerning the broadcast ownership waivers sought by Fox.

On December 21, 2000, the Mass Media Bureau ("Bureau") sent Fox a letter requesting clarification of certain material foreign ownership issues. The letter states that "it appears that Newco is a corporation that may be 100% foreign owned and controlled." The letter further states that: "If Newco is in control of the licenses of the Chris-Craft stations, this would appear to be a violation of Section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act." In order to resolve this factual question, the letter requested that Fox provide: 1) an explanation showing how Fox’s proposed Operating Agreement between FTS and Newco comports with Fox II; 2) "information that details the level of foreign investment and control in Newco, FEG and their parent companies;" 3) a showing of how Fox’s proposed ownership structure would serve the public interest; and 4) permission to access and review documents submitted to the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") in connection with the Hart-Scott-Rodino review of this transaction.

The Bureau letter also requested further information regarding the financial situation of the New York Post, and a statement detailing the overall public interest benefit that would occur as a result of this transaction. On January 25, 2001, Fox provided its response to the letter. In the response, Fox offered minor changes to the proposed Operating Agreement between FTS and Newco. Fox also stated that the public interest would be served through its acquisition of the Chris-Craft assets because those stations would have access to "Fox News Edge," a centralized
news gathering service. In addition, News Corporation and filed limited financial information concerning the operations of the New York Post.

On April 3, 2001, the Commission issued yet another information request to News Corporation and Fox requesting "any available, independently audited certified financial statements, prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), or similarly probative statements that support your contentions regarding the New York Post's financial viability. On April 9, 2001, News Corporation filed some addition information with the Commission.

On April 12, 2001, Mortimer B. Zuckerman, Chairman & Co-Publisher of the New York Daily News forwarded a letter to Petitioners' counsel stating that, in the event the Commission requires divestiture of the New York Post, that he would be prepared to "make a bid to purchase the New York Post," and that if such bid was successful that he would operate the New York Post as an editorially independent newspaper." A copy of this letter was filed with the Commission on April 16, 2001.

On April 30, 2001, Petitioners filed their response to Fox and News Corporation's latest submission. In that response, Petitioners noted that the information submitted was not audited by any independent party and was not a sufficient statement of the Post's financial situation. Petitioners also noted that Mr. Zuckerman's letter contradicted one of Fox and News Corporation's center claims: that the Post would fail if another waiver of the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule was not granted.

Attached is a copy of a summary of legal showing required to justify a waiver of the Commission's Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule. This summary further details, point-by-point, the failure of News Corporation and Fox to justify the grant of a second waiver to acquire WWOR-TV, while maintaining control of WNYW and the New York Post.

Also attached is a summary of the items requested by the Commission in its December 21, 2000, letter that were never submitted by Fox. To date, the Mass Media Bureau has made no effort to obtain this information. In the absence of this information, Petitioners submit that it is difficult, if not impossible, for the Commission to compile a sufficient record to address the substantial foreign ownership and Section 310(d) concerns raised by Petitioners.
### FACTORS SUPPORTING PERMANENT WAIVERS

   
a. In all previous permanent waiver cases detailed evidence of efforts to sell the entity to be acquired have been presented. *See, e.g., Columbia Montour*, 13 FCC Rcd at 13009-10, ¶¶ 9-12; *Kortes Communications*, 15 FCC Rcd 11846, ¶¶ 7-8; *Fox Television Stations, Inc.*, 8 FCC Rcd 5341, 5346-47, ¶¶30-32.

   
a. In all previous cases audited financial information, including tax returns, was presented to prove that the entity was on its "deathbed." *See, e.g., Columbia Montour*, 13 FCC Rcd at 13008-09, ¶¶ 7-8; *Kortes Comm.*, 15 FCC Rcd 11846, ¶ 5-6.
   
b. In the 1993 WNYW/Post waiver case, such evidence was not required because the *Post* was in bankruptcy. *See Fox Television Stations*, 8 FCC Rcd at 5349-50, ¶¶ 43-44 & n. 23-25.

### FOX'S SHOWING

Neither Fox nor Chris-Craft have presented information suggesting that WWOR cannot be sold. *See Petition to Deny Application for Assignment of Licenses*, filed Oct. 27, 2000, at 10; *Further Response To Amended Application for Assignment of Licenses*, filed Mar. 14, 2001, at 7-8. Media reports indicate that a sale of WWOR would be highly lucrative. *See Petition to Deny at 10.*

Furthermore, an experienced publisher has expressed an intention to bid for the New York Post and operate the paper as an "editorially independent" entity if divestiture is ordered. *See Letter from Christopher R. Day and Angela J. Campbell to Magalie Roman Salas*, filed April 16, 2001, at 1; *Letter from Mortimer B. Zuckerman to Magalie Roman Salas*, filed April 26, 2001.

WWOR is a highly successful VHF station. *See Petition to Deny at 10 & n.28.*

In response to a Commission request for financial information on the *Post*, Fox failed to submit tax returns or any audited financial information.

Furthermore, the one line of confidential information supplied by Fox does not rise to the level required in *all* earlier cases. *Cf. Petition to Deny at 10 & n.26-27; Further Response at 4.*
### NEWSPAPER/BROADCAST CROSS-OWNERSHIP

3. Common ownership limited to one newspaper and one AM station (as in *Columbia Montour and Kortes Comm.*. *Columbia Montour*, 13 FCC Rcd at 13013; *Kortes Communications*, 15 FCC Rcd 11846, ¶ 17.

   a. All previous permanent waiver cases involved one radio or television station and one daily newspaper. See generally *Columbia Montour, Kortes Communications, Fox Television Stations; Field Communications Corp.*, 65 F.C.C.2d 959 (1977).

   Fox seeks a waiver to hold two large VHF television stations along with a daily newspaper.


   a. The FCC has never found that media diversity in and of itself is sufficient to allow a waiver. See *Stockholders of Renaissance Communications Corp.*, 12 FCC Rcd 11866, 11885, ¶ 46 & n.36 (“the existence of even a considerable number of media voices in a market is not sufficient, without additional ‘special circumstances,’ to justify a waiver”).

   Unlike the 1993 waiver case, Fox fails to present an extraordinary and compelling reason as to why a second permanent waiver is advisable here. Media diversity in and of itself has never been an extraordinary and compelling reason. Cf. Petition to Deny at 11-12 & n.31; Reply to Joint Opposition, filed Nov. 22, 2000, at 16 & n.36.

5. Entity to be acquired not a significant competitive force. *Columbia Montour*, 13 FCC Rcd at 13013; *Kortes Communications*, 15 FCC Rcd 11846, ¶ 17.

   WWOR is one of the top 6 television stations in the New York market. In addition, Fox fails to prove that the *Post* is not a competitive force. To the contrary, Fox’s information reveals an increasingly viable newspaper. See Petition to Deny at 11 & n.27; see also Fox App. Ex. No. 4 at 27.

6. Proposed combination is unlikely to have an adverse effect on competition. *Columbia Montour*, 13 FCC Rcd at 13013; *Kortes Communications*, 15 FCC Rcd 11846, ¶ 17.

   Fox fails to show how combined ownership of two large VHF stations in New York, in addition to a large daily newspaper, are unlikely to have an adverse effect on competition. See Petition to Deny at 13, 16; Reply to Joint Opposition at 13-14.
## OTHER INFORMATION REQUESTED BY THE COMMISSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REQUEST FROM THE COMMISSION</th>
<th>RESPONSE FROM FOX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foreign Ownership</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. “[P]rovide information that details the level of foreign investment and control in Newco, FEG and their parent companies.” Letter to William Reyner from Chief, Mass Media Bureau, Dec. 21, 2000, at 2 (&quot;Commission Letter&quot;).</td>
<td>1. Fox failed to provide the Commission with information on:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Ownership of News Corp. Fox included a small footnote in its amended application stating that Mr. Murdoch controls 20%-30% of News Corp. However, Mr. Murdoch is not listed as a shareholder on News Corp’s Australian Financial Report. Available information (gathering without Fox’s aid) suggests that Mr. Murdoch may not actually control the 20%-30% of News Corp stock listed in the amended application. See Fox Letter, Attachment C at 15; Response to Amended Application at 24-25.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hart-Scott-Rodino</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. “[R]equest ... permission to access and review the documents submitted to the U.S. Department of Justice in connection with Hart-Scott-Rodino review of the transaction.” Commission Letter at 2.</td>
<td>2. Fox refused to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Allow the FCC to review the HSR documents filed with DOJ. See Fox Letter at 23-24; Response to Amended Application at 32.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Provide a copy of a letter that it claims to have received from DOJ terminating the “review of this transaction, subject to News Corp’s agreement ... [to divest] one of the stations in the Salt Lake City market.” Fox Letter at 23; see also Response to Amended Application at 32 (stating that DOJ claims that this is still an ‘open investigation’).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PUBLIC INTEREST SHOWING

3. "We therefore require the applicants to supplement the record with an explanatory statement illustrating how the proposed transaction will serve the public interest." Commission Letter at 3.

3. Fox claims that no response is needed because the request "does not reflect the law." Fox Letter at 18; Response to Amended Application at 28-29.

a. Notwithstanding the above, however, Fox reiterated its earlier claim that the Chris-Craft stations' ability to access "Fox News Edge," a centralized news service, satisfies the public interest standard delineated in Section 310(d) of the Act. See Fox Letter at 20-22; Response to Amended Application at 28, 30-31.