

To whom it may concern,
what part of Monopoly, do they not understand? This is clearly a move to dominate the direct-to-home satellite market, and further charge more money for the same or less services. As a current DIRECTV subscriber, I will have NO other alternative to turn to other than cable, which is even worse! I rid myself of the foul service and attitude of the cable industry a year ago by subscribing to DIRECTV, and if I have issues with them, I cannot honestly vote any other way- I will have no recourse but to sell my TV- I say NO to the merger. A monopoly is always bad for the consumer, our history is littered with stories of gouging and corruption with this business model.