

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE  
**Federal Communications Commission**  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20554

**RECEIVED**

JUN - 5 2002

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In re Applications of )  
 )  
COMCAST CORPORATION )  
and subsidiaries )  
 )  
and )  
 )  
AT&T COMCAST CORPORATION )  
 )  
For Transfer of Control )  
 )  
AT&T CORP. )  
and subsidiaries )  
 )  
and )  
 )  
AT&T COMCAST CORPORATION )  
 )  
For Transfer of Control )  
 )  
TO: Chief, Media Bureau

MB DOCKET NO. 02-70

**REPLY TO**  
**OPPOSITION TO PETITION TO DENY**

Dennis J. Kelly

**LAW OFFICE OF DENNIS J. KELLY**  
Post Office Box 41177  
Washington, DC 20018  
Telephone: 202-293-2300  
E-mail: dkellyfcclaw1@comcast.net

Counsel for:

**LISA BURTON, CARMEN (ROBINSON)**  
**GONZALEZ, BETTY MAINA, TRACEY**  
**MASSAY, OSMISA PEACOCK, KIZZIE**  
**SANDERS, ANTHONY SCOTT, DEBORAH**  
**MARIA SHEPHERD, MARIA SMITH,**  
**GLORIA MARIE MITCHELL TAYLOR,**  
**ZELDA TEPPER AND PATRICK YOUNG**

No. of Copies rec'd 0+4  
List ABCDE

BEFORE THE  
**Federal Communications Commission**  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20554

In re Applications of )  
 )  
COMCAST CORPORATION )  
and subsidiaries )  
 )  
and )  
 )  
AT&T COMCAST CORPORATION )  
 )  
For Transfer of Control )  
 )  
AT&T CORP. )  
and subsidiaries )  
 )  
and )  
 )  
AT&T COMCAST CORPORATION )  
 )  
For Transfer of Control )  
 )  
TO: Chief, Media Bureau

MB DOCKET NO. 02-70

**RECEIVED**

**JUN - 5 2002**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

**REPLY TO  
OPPOSITION TO PETITION TO DENY**

Lisa Burton, Carmen (Robinson) Gonzalez, Betty Maina, Tracey Massay, Osmisa Peacock, Kizzie Sanders, Anthony Scott, Deborah Maria Shepherd, Maria Smith, Gloria Marie Mitchell Taylor, Zelda Tepper and Patrick Young (collectively referred to as "Petitioners"), by their attorneys, hereby respectfully submit their Reply to the "Reply to Comments and Petitions to Deny Applications for Consent to Transfer Control" jointly filed by AT&T Corp.

(AT&T) and Comcast Corporation (Comcast) on May 21, 2002.

In so doing, the following is shown:

1. AT&T's comments and tactics in its May 21, 2002 missive demonstrate a stunning corporate conceit. AT&T really demonstrates that its corporate motto of years gone by, "Reach Out and Touch Someone", really should have been "Reach Out and Crush Someone".

2. AT&T couches its pleading in terms of "the claims of malicious prosecution raised by Mr. Kelly", perhaps to trivialize them because Mr. Kelly is an American of Irish descent and because he is a sole practitioner. Those claims are not those of the undersigned. Rather, those are the claims of eleven African American citizens and one Hispanic American citizen. The claims belong to them; they do not belong to the undersigned. By refusing to acknowledge that it harmed twelve citizens of minority descent, instead attempting to diminish the heinousness of its actions by referring only to Petitioners' Caucasian attorney, AT&T is putting on a classic smoke and mirrors show.

3. The representation by the undersigned and his Atlanta colleagues of Mesdames Burton, Gonzalez, Maina, Massay, Peacock, Sanders, Anthony Scott, Shepherd, Smith, Taylor and Tepper and the Messrs. Scott and Young is in the

great tradition of attorneys lending their time and talents to expose and defeat those unscrupulous businessmen who would exploit and harm people of color and minority neighborhoods through unconscionable and predatory business practices. See e.g. **Williams v. Walker-Thomas Furniture Co.**, 198 A.2d 914 (D.C.C.A. 1964), reversed, 350 F.2d 445 (D. C. Cir. 1965)<sup>1</sup>.

4. AT&T feigns offense that the undersigned, in a zealous and effective representation of his minority citizen clients Mesdames Burton, Gonzalez, Maina, Massay, Peacock, Sanders, Anthony Scott, Shepherd, Smith, Taylor and Tepper and the Messrs. Scott and Young would charge AT&T with racist conduct, when their civil law suit in the Georgia state court does not. There is a good reason for this—racism is not a necessary element for Petitioners to be able to recover damages on their malicious prosecution claims in state court. However, as has been stated in such appellate precedents as **Office of Communication of the United Church of Christ v. FCC**, 425 F.2d 543 (D. C. Cir. 1969) and **Bilingual Bicultural Coalition on the Mass Media**

---

<sup>1</sup>The undersigned remembers with nostalgia when the *Walker-Thomas* case was taught in Professor Gilbert Ginsburg's "Contracts" class at George Washington University's National Law Center in 1976. Mr. Mike Hammer, now a member of AT&T's illustrious counsel team, was sitting a few seats away. I wonder whether he is proud to be representing to this Commission as a servant of "the public interest, convenience and necessity" a client who engaged in conduct far worse than the "sharp practice and irresponsible business dealings" of the furniture merchant condemned by Judge Quinn of the D. C. Court of Appeals, 198 A.2d 914.

v. **FCC**, 595 F.2d 621 (D. C. Cir. 1978), intentional racial discrimination certainly is a factor to be considered in a licensing proceeding before the Federal Communications Commission. In the instant proceeding, we are not dealing with elements of a statutory or common law offense, but rather the Commission must confront head on the question of whether AT&T possesses the basic character qualifications required to be a Commission licensee and to transfer Commission licenses.

5. Let's review why AT&T's actions were racially discriminatory in nature. AT&T targeted minority neighborhoods for its "investigations". AT&T intentionally had eleven African-American and one Hispanic American arrested for "cable theft", when AT&T knew or should have known that none of those arrested had engaged in "cable theft". This is because it is virtually impossible for someone unfamiliar with cable technology to steal cable television service. Therefore, most cable theft is carried out by experienced "hookup artists"—current or former cable company field technicians who supplement their incomes by charging friends and neighbors small fees (typically between \$20 and \$50) for unauthorized "hookups". It is well known in the industry, through its filing of FCC EEO and EEOC Form EEO-1 reports that most cable company field

technicians are White. Cable piracy is the ultimate word-of-mouth business. The "customers" turn out to be the friends and neighbors of the "hookup artists", who tend also to be predominantly White. This is well known in the cable television industry. It would be astonishing to find a cable television executive who regards cable theft as predominantly or even disproportionately done by minorities.

6. Fascinatingly, there is no evidence that in the wealthy, predominantly White suburbs of Atlanta, AT&T used tactics like night visits with police officers, warrantless searches with video cameras running, or swearing out complaints for arrests without probable cause (like AT&T did in the minority neighborhoods near Marietta). Nor is there evidence that City of Marietta or Cobb County officials relied on anything other than AT&T's complaints in deciding whether to prosecute. AT&T charges that the allegations made in our "Petition to Deny" were "offensive and baseless". It is AT&T that acted in an "offensive and baseless" manner, subjecting innocent minority citizens to the terrors of arrest, the dehumanizing "jail processing", the frights attendant to a night in jail, and, in a number of cases, the ruin of careers. Given the manner in which illegal cable hookups are done (as described above), it is

difficult to imagine any reason other than race that would have motivated AT&T's choice of targets for the twelve prosecutions.

#### V. Conclusion

7. AT&T engaged in racial discrimination by intentionally and willfully arranging for the arrest of twelve minority citizens of the Marietta, Georgia area, despite the fact that none of said twelve minority citizens had ever arranged an illegal "hookup". One was a paid subscriber with a cancelled check; another had terminated her service; three never had cable service, and in one of those cases AT&T's own employees had confirmed after the arrests that there it was impossible for that person to have had cable service prior to the date of arrest; six other cases involved "unprocessed move-outs" by former tenants, where through AT&T's own negligence cable service had not been turned off before new tenants had moved in, and in two of those cases the arrested persons subscribed to a direct broadcast satellite service instead of cable, and the other arrested persons had tried to obtain new cable service from AT&T (two of the arrested persons were guests, rather than the lessee of the apartments "raided").

8. The bottom line is that not one of those arrested was a cable thief. AT&T's sleazy and unconscionable business practices squarely implicate the racial divide in communications, just as criminal prosecutions of African Americans who tried to vote in Georgia implicated the racial divide in democratic participation two generations ago. AT&T is dominant in the cable television industry, raising the possibility that its corporate behavior in having minority citizens rounded up and arrested is neither isolated nor aberrant.

9. It is now time for the FCC to put a stop to AT&T's blatant attempts to prosecute innocent minority citizens for the "crime" of "watching TV while Black". This case is so notorious that the FCC can use it for both "specific deterrence" (directed to AT&T) and "general deterrence" (directed to the entire cable television industry). The public interest, convenience and necessity demands no less.

10. The Commission must designate the above-captioned proceeding for hearing upon at least the following issues:

(1) To determine whether AT&T and/or its subsidiaries, employees or agents engaged in racial discrimination against the residents of the Natchez Trace and Hidden Glen apartment communities in Cobb County, Georgia;

(2) To determine whether AT&T and/or its subsidiaries, employees or agents engaged in unfair trade practices by securing arrest warrants and maliciously prosecuting residents of the Natchez Trace and Hidden Glen apartment communities for not subscribing to AT&T cable television services; and

(3) In light of the facts and circumstances adduced pursuant to issues (1) and (2) above, whether AT&T Corporation and/or its subsidiaries possess the requisite character qualifications to be permitted to transfer control of their cable television system and related licenses and radio stations; and

(4) In light of the facts and circumstances adduced pursuant to issues (1), (2) and (3) above, whether the public interest, convenience and necessity would be served by a grant of the above-captioned applications.

**WHEREFORE**, Lisa Burton, Carmen (Robinson) Gonzalez, Betty Maina, Tracey Massay, Osmisa Peacock, Kizzie Sanders, Anthony Scott, Deborah Maria Shepherd, Maria Smith, Gloria Marie Mitchell Taylor, Zelda Tepper and Patrick Young urge that the above-captioned applications BE DENIED, DISMISSED OR DESIGNATED FOR HEARING upon the issues framed above and/or other appropriate hearing issues.

Respectfully submitted,

**LISA BURTON  
CARMEN (ROBINSON) GONZALEZ  
BETTY MAINA  
TRACEY MASSAY  
OSMISA PEACOCK  
KIZZIE SANDERS  
ANTHONY SCOTT  
DEBORAH MARIA SHEPHERD  
MARIA SMITH  
GLORIA MARIE MITCHELL TAYLOR  
ZELDA TEPPER  
PATRICK YOUNG**

By   
Dennis J. Kelly  
(D. C. Bar #292631)  
Their Attorney

LAW OFFICE OF DENNIS J. KELLY  
Post Office Box 41177  
Washington, DC 20018  
Telephone: 202-293-2300  
E-mail: dkellyfcclaw1@comcast.net

OF COUNSEL:

Henry D. Fellows, Jr.  
(Georgia Bar #257825)  
Chandler W. Mason  
(Georgia Bar #475584)  
FELLOWS, JOHNSON & LA BRIOLA, LLP  
Peachtree Center, Suite 2300 South Tower  
225 Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Atlanta, GA 30303  
Telephone: 404-586-9200  
E-mail: cmason@fjl-law.com

DATED: June 5, 2002

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

It is hereby certified that true copies of the foregoing "Reply to Opposition to Petition to Deny" were served by either first-class United States mail, postage prepaid (or by e-mail as shown by a "+" sign) on this 5<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2002, upon the following:

Mark C. Rosenblum, Esquire  
Stephen C. Garavito, Esquire  
AT&T CORP.  
Room 1131M1  
295 North Maple Avenue  
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Stanley L. Wang, Esquire  
Joseph W. Waz, Jr., Esquire  
COMCAST CORPORATION  
1500 Market Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19102

Douglas Garrett, Esquire  
James H. Bolin, Jr., Esquire  
AT&T BROADBAND, LLC  
188 Inverness Drive West  
Englewood, CO 80112

James R. Coltharp, Esquire  
COMCAST CORPORATION  
2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Suite 500  
Washington, DC 20006

Steven J. Horwitz, Esquire  
Cole, Raywid & Braverman, L.L.P.  
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW,  
Suite 200  
Washington, DC 20006-9750  
Counsel for AT&T Corporation

David Lawson, Esquire  
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP  
1501 K Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005  
Counsel for AT&T Corporation and  
AT&T Broadband Corp.

Michael H. Hammer, Esquire  
Willkie Farr & Gallagher  
Three Lafayette Centre  
1155 - 21<sup>st</sup> Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20036-3384  
Counsel for AT&T Corporation

A. Richard Metzger, Jr., Esquire  
Lawler, Metzger & Milkman, LLC  
1909 K Street, NW, Suite 820  
Washington, DC 20006  
Counsel for Comcast Corp.

James L. Casserly, Esquire  
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris,  
Glovsky & Popeo, P.C.  
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004  
Counsel for Comcast Corp.

Linda Senecal, Esquire+  
Industry Analysis Division  
Media Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Room 2-C438  
Washington, DC 20554

Qualex International+  
Portals II, 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW,  
Room CY-B402  
Washington, DC 20554

Roger Holberg, Esquire+  
Media Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Room 2-C262  
Washington, DC 20554

Erin Dozier, Esquire+  
Media Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Room 2-C221  
Washington, DC 20554

Mr. David Sappington+  
Chief Economist  
Office of Plans and Policy  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Room 7-C452  
Washington, DC 20554

James Bird, Esquire+  
Office of General Counsel  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Room 8-C824  
Washington, DC 20554

Mr. Donald Stockdale+  
Office of Plans and Policy  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Room 7-C324  
Washington, DC 20554

William Dever, Esquire+  
Common Carrier Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Room 5-C266  
Washington, DC 20554

Cynthia Bryant, Esquire+  
International Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Room 6-C807  
Washington, DC 20554

Jeff Tobias, Esquire+  
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Room 2-C828  
Washington, DC 20554

Sarah Whitesell, Esquire+  
Associate Bureau Chief  
Media Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Debbie Goldman and George Kohl  
Communications Workers of America  
501 Third Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20001

Randolph J. May  
The Progress and Freedom Foundation  
1301 K Street, NW, Suite 550 East  
Washington, DC 20005

Michael K. Kellogg, Esquire  
Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd &  
Evans  
1615 M Street, NW, Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20036  
Counsel for SBC Communications

Gary Philips  
SBC Communications, Inc.  
1401 "Eye" Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005

David K. Moskowitz, Esquire  
EchoStar Satellite Corporation  
5701 South Santa Fe  
Littleton, CO 80120

Pantelis Michalopoulos  
Steptoe & Johnson, LLP  
1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20036  
Counsel for EchoStar Satellite  
Corporation

James G. Harralson  
BellSouth Corporation  
1155 Peachtree Street, Suite 1800  
Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

James L. Winston, Esquire  
Rubin, Winston, Diercks, Harris &  
Cooke  
1155 Connecticut Avenue, NW, 6<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Washington, DC 20036  
Counsel for Minority Television  
Project and KMTP

Matthew M. Polka  
American Cable Association  
One Parkway Center, Suite 212  
Pittsburgh, PA 15220

Wayne Watts  
SBC Communications, Inc.  
175 East Houston Street  
San Antonio, TX 78205

David R. Goodfriend  
Echostar Satellite Corporation  
1233 - 20<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 701  
Washington, DC 20036

Mark Cooper  
Consumer Federation of America  
1424 - 16<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 604  
Washington, DC 20036

Harold Feld, Esquire  
Andrew Jay Schwartzman, Esquire  
Media Access Project  
1625 K Street, NW, Suite 1118  
Washington, DC 20006

Andrew Lipman, Esquire  
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman LLP  
3000 K Street, NW, Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20007  
Counsel for RCN Telecom Services

Christopher C. Cinnamon, Esquire  
Cinnamon Mueller  
307 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 1020  
Chicago, IL 60601

Clayton Leander  
Contra Costa Cable TV Assessment Task  
Force  
1806 Key Blvd.  
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Andrew G. McBride, Esquire  
Wiley Rein & Fielding  
1776 K Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
Counsel for Verizon

William R. Richardson, Jr., Esquire  
Wilmer Cutler & Pickering  
2445 M Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20037  
Counsel for Qwest

Rachel Lipman Reiber  
Everest Midwest Licensee, LLC  
4740 Grand, Suite 200  
Kansas City, MO 64112

Walter R. McGrath  
Braintree Electric Light Department  
150 Potter Road  
Braintree, MA 02184

Charles McCollum  
8603 Gillespie Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19136

Gregory Franklyn  
9401 NE Gertz Court  
Portland, OR 97211-1267

Ryan Donahue  
46 E. Stewart Avenue #2  
Landsdowne, PA 19050

Ron Cooper  
Sacramento Community Cable  
Foundation et al  
4623 T Street, Suite A  
Sacramento, Ca 95819

Michael E. Glover  
Verizon  
1515 N. Courthouse Road,  
Suite 500  
Arlington, VA 22201-2909

Steven Davis  
Qwest Communications International  
1801 California Street  
Denver, CO 80202

Timothy D. Hugo  
CapNet  
1129 - 20<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 200  
Washington, DC 20036

Stephen E. Davis  
Ben Asset Group, Inc. et al  
275 Lenox Avenue, Box 5549  
New York, NY 10027

John S. Szostak  
501 Martin Lane  
Dresher, PA 19025


John Donovan  
35 Newell Road  
Auburndale, MA 02466

Evan Henshaw-Plath  
14 Lawrence Street  
Cambridge, MA 02139-3908

Elliott J. Schuchardt, Esquire  
Rothman Gordon  
Third Floor, Grant Building  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
Counsel for Blawnox, PA

Arthur Stamoulis  
3514 Lancaster Ave., Apt. 207  
Philadelphia, PA 19104

Ruth Stegner  
SSD Media Center  
44 Geremonty Drive  
Salem, NH 03079

  
Dennis J. Kelly