



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

Approved by OMB
3060-1122
Expires: March 31, 2018
Estimated time per response: 10-55
hours

Annual Collection of Information

Related to the Collection and Use of 911 and E911 Fees by States and Other Jurisdictions

Pursuant to OMB authorization 3060-1122 , the FCC’s Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau seeks the following specific information in order to fulfill the Commission’s obligations under Section 6(f)(2) of the NET 911 Act:

A. Filing Information

1. Name of State or Jurisdiction

State or Jurisdiction
District of Columbia

2. Name, Title and Organization of Individual Filing Report

Name	Title	Organization
Adrienne Day	General Counsel	Office of Unified Communications



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

B. Overview of State or Jurisdiction 911 System

1. Please provide the total number of active Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in your state or jurisdiction that receive funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2015:

PSAP Type ¹	Total
Primary	1
Secondary	0
Total	1

2. Please provide the total number of active telecommunicators² in your state or jurisdiction that were funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2015:

Number of Active Telecommunicators	Total
Full-Time	0
Part-time	0

3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2015, please provide an estimate of the total cost to provide 911/E911 service in your state or jurisdiction.

Amount (\$)	\$41,607,447
-----------------------	--------------

¹ A Primary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are routed directly from the 911 Control office. A secondary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are transferred from a Primary PSAP. See National Emergency Number Association, Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology (*Master Glossary*), July 29, 2014, at 118, 126, available at https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/Standards/NENA-ADM-000.18-2014_2014072.pdf.

² A telecommunicator, also known as a call taker or a dispatcher, is a person employed by a PSAP who is qualified to answer incoming emergency telephone calls and/or who provides for the appropriate emergency response either directly or through communication with the appropriate PSAP. See *Master Glossary* at 137.



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

3a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.

N/A

4. Please provide the total number of 911 calls your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015.

Type of Service	Total 911 Calls
Wireline	442,917
Wireless	1,022,017
VoIP	N/A
Other	N/A
Total	1,464,934

C. Description of Authority Enabling Establishment of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms

1. Has your State, or any political subdivision, Indian tribe, village or regional corporation therein as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the NET 911 Act, established a funding mechanism designated for or imposed for the purposes of 911 or E911 support or implementation (please include a citation to the legal authority for such mechanism)? *Check one.*

- Yes
- No

1a. If YES, provide a citation to the legal authority for such a mechanism.

The "Emergency and Non- Emergency Number Telephone System Assessments Fund" (aka 9-1-1 Fund) was established by the Emergency and Non-Emergency Number Telephone Calling Systems Fund Act of 2000 (D.C. Law 13-172; 47 D.C. Reg. 6308; as codified at D.C. Official Code § 34-1801 *et seq.*). The funding mechanisms are identified in D.C. Official Code §§ 34-1803, -1803.02.



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

1b. If YES, during the annual period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015, did your state or jurisdiction amend, enlarge, or in any way alter the funding mechanism.

No

2. Which of the following best describes the type of authority arrangement for the collection of 911/E911 fees? Check one.

- The State collects the fees
- A Local Authority collects the fees
- A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies
(e.g., state and local authority) collect the fees

3. Describe how the funds collected are made available to localities.

All E911 Fund revenues are used by the Office of Unified Communications, the District of Columbia's Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP). There are no additional localities that use the funds since the OUC is the only PSAP in the District.



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

D. Description of State or Jurisdictional Authority That Determines How 911/E911 Fees are Spent

1. Indicate which entities in your state have the authority to approve the expenditure of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes.		
Jurisdiction	Authority to Approve Expenditure of Funds (Check one)	
	Yes	No
State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local (e.g., county, city, municipality)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1b. Please briefly describe any limitations on the approval authority per jurisdiction (e.g., limited to fees collected by the entity, limited to wireline or wireless service, etc.)		
Pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 34-1802(c), expenditures of fees collected and deposited in the 9-1-1 Fund are subject to the approval of the D.C. Council upon request of the Mayor as part of the annual budget submission. Expenditures of 9-1-1 Funds approved by the D.C. Council are then subject to authorization by Congress in an appropriations act pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 34-1802(a).		

2. Has your state established a funding mechanism that mandates *how* collected funds can be used? Check one.

- Yes
- No



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

2a. If you checked YES, provide a legal citation to the funding mechanism of any such criteria.

The “Emergency and Non- Emergency Number Telephone System Assessments Fund” (aka 9-1-1 Fund) was established by the Emergency and Non-Emergency Number Telephone Calling Systems Fund Act of 2000 (D.C. Law 13-172; 47 D.C. Reg. 6308; as codified at D.C. Official Code § 34-1801 *et seq.*). The funding mechanisms are identified in D.C. Official Code §§ 34-1803, -1803.02. Limitations on the uses of collected funds are as follows pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 34-1802:

(b) The Fund shall be used solely to defray personnel and nonpersonnel costs incurred by the District of Columbia and its agencies and instrumentalities in providing a 911 system, and direct costs incurred by wireless carriers in providing wireless E-911 service. For purposes of this subsection, the term “costs” shall include obligations incurred both before and after October 19, 2000. The Fund shall not be used for any other purpose.

(b-1) After October 1, 2008, no monies in the Fund shall be used to defray personnel costs.

(b-2) After October 1, 2010, no monies in the Fund shall be used to defray nonpersonal costs related to overhead, including energy, rentals, janitorial services, security, or occupancy costs. The Fund shall be used solely to defray technology and equipment costs directly incurred by the District of Columbia and its agencies and instrumentalities in providing a 911 system and direct costs incurred by wireless carriers in providing wireless E-911 service. The Fund shall not be used for any other purpose.

(b-3) Notwithstanding subsection (b-2) of this section, monies in the Fund may be used to defray security costs during fiscal year 2011 and fiscal year 2012.

2b. If you checked NO, describe how your state or jurisdiction decides how collected funds can be used.

N/A



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

E. Description of Uses of Collected 911/E911 Fees

- 1. Provide a statement identifying with specificity all activities, programs, and organizations for whose benefit your state, or political subdivision thereof, has obligated or expended funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes and how these activities, programs, and organizations support 911 and E911 services or enhancements of such services.**

The Fund was used solely to defray technology and equipment costs directly incurred by the District of Columbia and its agencies and instrumentalities in providing a 911 system and direct costs incurred by wireless carriers in providing wireless E-911 service.



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

2. Please identify the allowed uses of the collected funds. Check all that apply.			
Type of Cost		Yes	No
Operating Costs	Lease, purchase, maintenance of customer premises equipment (CPE) (hardware and software)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of computer aided dispatch (CAD) equipment (hardware and software)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of building/facility	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Personnel Costs	Telecommunicators' Salaries	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Training of Telecommunicators	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Administrative Costs	Program Administration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Travel Expenses	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Dispatch Costs	Reimbursement to other law enforcement entities providing dispatch	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of Radio Dispatch Networks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Grant Programs		<input type="checkbox"/> If YES, see 2a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2a. During the annual period ending December 31, 2015, describe the grants that your state paid for through the use of collected 911/E911 fees and the purpose of the grant.			
N/A			



Federal Communications Commission
 Washington, D.C. 20554

F. Description of 911/E911 Fees Collected

1. Please describe the amount of the fees or charges imposed for the implementation and support of 911 and E911 services. Please distinguish between state and local fees for each service type.		
Service Type	Fee/Charge Imposed	Jurisdiction Receiving Remittance (e.g., state, county, local authority, or a combination)
Wireline	\$0.76 per line	State
Wireless	\$0.76 per line	State
Prepaid Wireless	Two percent at the Retail Point of Sale and sales made over the Internet	State
Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP)	\$0.76 per line	State
Other	Centrex \$0.62/PBX Trunks \$4.96 per Trunk	State



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

2. For the annual period ending December 31, 2015, please report the total amount collected pursuant to the assessed fees or charges described in Question F 1.

Service Type	Total Amount Collected (\$)
Wireline	\$2,236,576.13
Wireless	\$5,342,986.04
Prepaid Wireless	\$630,587.93
Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP)	\$1,420,166.40
Other	Centrex/\$1,485,025.24 and PBX Trunks/\$1,073,889.60
Total	\$12,189,231.34

2a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.

N/A

3. Please identify any other sources of 911/E911 funding.

Pre-Paid Wireless Revenue, which is assessed at 2% for of point-of-sale transactions. The amount collected was \$630,587.93

Question	Yes	No
4. For the annual period ending December 31, 2015, were any 911/E911 fees that were collected by your state or jurisdiction combined with any federal, state or local funds, grants, special collections, or general budget appropriations that were designated to support 911/E911/NG911 services? <i>Check one.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

4a. If YES, please describe the federal, state or local funds and amounts that were combined with 911/E911 fees.

Local Funds: \$28,172,500



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

5. Please provide an estimate of the proportional contribution from each funding source towards the total cost to support 911 in your state or jurisdiction.	Percent
State 911 Fees	N/A
Local 911 Fees	30%
General Fund - State	70%
General Fund - County	N/A
Federal Grants	N/A
State Grants	N/A



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

G. Description of Diversion or Transfer of 911/E911 Fees for Other Uses

Question		Yes	No
1. In the annual period ending December 31, 2015, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction made available or used solely for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism? Check one.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1a. If NO, please identify what amount of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes were made available or used for any purposes other than the ones designated by the funding mechanism or used for purposes otherwise unrelated to 911 or E911 implementation or support, including any funds transferred, loaned, or otherwise used for the state's general fund. Along with identifying the amount, please include a statement identifying the non-related purposes for which the collected 911 or E911 funds were made available or used.			
Amount of Funds (\$)	Identify the non-related purpose(s) for which the 911/E911 funds were used. (Add lines as necessary)		
N/A			



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

H. Oversight and Auditing of Collection and Use of 911/E911 Fees

Question	Yes	No
<p>1. Has your state established any oversight or auditing mechanisms or procedures to determine whether collected funds have been made available or used for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism or otherwise used to implement or support 911? Check one.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>1a. If YES, provide a description of the mechanisms or procedures and any enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2015. (Enter "None" if no actions were taken.)</p>		
<p>The E911 Fund receives an annual independent audit at the request of the District's Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO). The OCFO has statutory authority to perform an annual audit as follows pursuant to D.C. Official Code §34-1802(d):</p> <p>“All income and expenses of the Fund shall be audited annually by the Chief Financial Officer, who shall transmit the audit report to the Mayor and the Council.</p> <p>(A) The expenses of the annual audit shall be defrayed by the Fund.</p> <p>(B) The annual audit shall include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) The assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenue, and expenditures of the Fund; (ii) A detailed accounting of the Fund's expenditures; (iii) Recommendations to improve the financial management processes of the Fund; (iv) Identification of any Fund expenditures that are not permitted under law; (v) Recommendations to improve the language of the Fund's enabling statute to reflect best practices; and (vi) Any other information deemed important by the Chief Financial Officer.” <p>The audit is presented to the D.C. Council's Committee on Public Safety and Justice, which has oversight of the Office of Unified Communications.</p> 		

Question	Yes	No
<p>2. Does your state have the authority to audit service providers to ensure that the amount of 911/E911 fees collected from subscribers matches the service provider's number of subscribers? Check one.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>2a. If YES, provide a description of any auditing or enforcement or other corrective actions</p>		



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2015. (Enter "None" if no actions were taken.)

The Office of Unified Communications was delegated the Mayor's authority to take any necessary and proper actions to ensure that the correct amount due was remitted. However, the agency has not yet promulgated rules to implement this authority, and so no auditing or enforcement actions were taken during the reporting period.



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

I. Description of Next Generation 911 Services and Expenditures

Question	Yes	No
1. Does your state or jurisdiction classify expenditures on Next Generation 911 as within the scope of permissible expenditures of funds for 911 or E911 purposes? Check one.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1a. If YES, in the space below, please cite any specific legal authority:		
Section 603 of the Emergency and Non-Emergency Number Telephone Calling Systems Fund Act of 2000 (D.C. Law 13-172; 47 D.C. Reg. 6308; as codified at D.C. Official Code § 34-1801 <i>et seq.</i>) permits expenditure of funds “to defray technology and equipment costs directly incurred by the District of Columbia and its agencies and instrumentalities in providing a 911 system and direct costs incurred by wireless carriers in providing wireless E-911 service.”		

Question	Yes	No
2. In the annual period ending December 31, 2015, has your state or jurisdiction expended funds on Next Generation 911 programs? Check one.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2a. If YES, in the space below, please enter the dollar amount that has been expended.		
Amount (\$)	\$4.5m	



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2015, please describe the type and number of NG911 Emergency Service IP Network(s) (ESInets) that operated within your state.					
Type of ESInet	Yes	No	If Yes, Enter Total PSAPs Operating on the ESInet	If Yes, does the type of ESInet interconnect with other state, regional or local ESInets?	
				Yes	No
a. A single, state-wide ESInet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Local (e.g., county) ESInet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Regional ESInets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[If more than one Regional ESInet is in operation, in the space below, provide the total PSAPs operating on each ESInet]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Name of Regional ESInet:				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

Name of Regional ESInet:		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

4. Please provide a description of any NG911 projects completed or underway during the annual period ending December 31, 2015.

The Office of Unified Communications initiated their NG911 projects in 2015, with consulting fees, and procurement of Next Generation 9-1-1 IP logging equipment.

Question	Total PSAPs Accepting Texts
5. During the annual period ending December 31, 2015, how many PSAPs within your state implemented text-to-911 and are accepting texts?	0
Question	Estimated Number of PSAPs that will Become Text Capable
6. In the next annual period ending December 31, 2016, how many PSAPs do you anticipate will become text capable?	1



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

J. Description of Cybersecurity Expenditures

Question	Check the appropriate box		If Yes, Amount Expended (\$)
	Yes	No	
1. During the annual period ending December 31, 2015, did your state expend funds on cybersecurity programs for PSAPs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$560,000

Question	Total PSAPs
2. During the annual period ending December 31, 2015, how many PSAPs in your state either implemented a cybersecurity program or participated in a regional or state-run cybersecurity program?	0

Question	Yes	No	Unknown
3. Does your state or jurisdiction adhere to the National Institute of Standards and Technology <i>Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity</i> (February 2014) for networks supporting one or more PSAPs in your state or jurisdiction?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

K. Measuring Effective Utilization of 911/E911 Fees

- 1. Please provide an assessment of the effects achieved from the expenditure of state 911/E911 or NG911 funds, including any criteria your state or jurisdiction uses to measure the effectiveness of the use of 911/E911 fees and charges. If your state conducts annual or other periodic assessments, please provide an electronic copy (*e.g.*, Word, PDF) of the latest such report upon submission of this questionnaire to the FCC or provide links to online versions of such reports in the space below.**

The Office of Unified Communications assesses effects achieved from the expenditure of state 911/E911 or NG911 funds, to measure the effectiveness of the use of 911/E911 fees and charges through a variety of mechanisms. The District of Columbia manages the effectiveness of the 9-1-1 telephony call handling equipment, Computer Aided Dispatch system (CAD), and the District's first responder public safety radio through monitoring tools to ensure the infrastructure's system stability, cyber security monitor and alerting against cyber-attacks and anti-virus attacks, reports to support and maintain a P.01 grade of service and utilize five 9's to manage network and system reliability.