



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Approved by OMB  
3060-1122  
Expires: March 31, 2018  
Estimated time per response: 10-55  
hours

Annual Collection of Information

Related to the Collection and Use of 911 and E911 Fees by States and Other Jurisdictions

Pursuant to OMB authorization 3060-1122 , the FCC’s Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau seeks the following specific information in order to fulfill the Commission’s obligations under Section 6(f)(2) of the NET 911 Act:

**A. Filing Information**

**1. Name of State or Jurisdiction**

State or Jurisdiction
Oklahoma

**2. Name, Title and Organization of Individual Filing Report**

Name	Title	Organization
Gene Thaxton	Governor’s Representative to the FCC for E 9-1-1	Oklahoma Department of Public Safety



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**B. Overview of State or Jurisdiction 911 System**

1. Please provide the total number of active Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in your state or jurisdiction that receive funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2014:

PSAP Type <sup>1</sup>	Total
Primary	
Secondary	
<b>Total</b>	

2. Please provide the total number of active telecommunicators<sup>2</sup> in your state or jurisdiction that were funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2014:

Number of Active Telecommunicators	Total
Full-Time	Unknown
Part-time	Unknown

3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2014, please provide an estimate of the total cost to provide 911/E911 service in your state or jurisdiction.

<b>Amount (\$)</b>	Unknown
--------------------	---------

<sup>1</sup> A Primary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are routed directly from the 911 Control office. A secondary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are transferred from a Primary PSAP. See National Emergency Number Association, Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology (*Master Glossary*), July 29, 2014, at 118, 126, available at [https://c.yimcdn.com/sites/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/Standards/NENA-ADM-000.18-2014\\_2014072.pdf](https://c.yimcdn.com/sites/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/Standards/NENA-ADM-000.18-2014_2014072.pdf).

<sup>2</sup> A telecommunicator, also known as a call taker or a dispatcher, is a person employed by a PSAP who is qualified to answer incoming emergency telephone calls and/or who provides for the appropriate emergency response either directly or through communication with the appropriate PSAP. See *Master Glossary* at 137.



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

3a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.

Oklahoma has no centralized point for fee collection or remission and no authority to require such reporting of such fees or charges for the annual period ending December, 2013.

4. Please provide the total number of 911 calls your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014.

Type of Service	Total 911 Calls
Wireline	Unknown
Wireless	Unknown
VoIP	Unknown
Other	Unknown
<b>Total</b>	Unknown

C. Description of Authority Enabling Establishment of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms

1. Has your State, or any political subdivision, Indian tribe, village or regional corporation therein as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the NET 911 Act, established a funding mechanism designated for or imposed for the purposes of 911 or E911 support or implementation (please include a citation to the legal authority for such mechanism)? *Check one.*

- Yes .....
- No ..... X

1a. If yes, provide a citation to the legal authority for such a mechanism.

[Empty box for citation]



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**1b. If yes, during the annual period January 1 - December 31, 2014, did your state or jurisdiction amend, enlarge, or in any way alter the funding mechanism.**

**2. Which of the following best describes the type of authority arrangement for the collection of 911/E911 fees? Check one.**

- The State collects the fees .....
- A Local Authority collects the fees ..... X
- A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies  
(e.g., state and local authority) collect the fees .....

**3. Describe how the funds collected are made available to localities.**

Oklahoma has no centralized point for fee collection or remission. Wireline fees range from 0 to 15 % of the base telephone rate that existed at the time that the fee was enacted. The fee may be altered each year by the governing body of the jurisdiction that assessed the fee. Wireless and VoIP fees are 50 cents per user per month.

Wireline and VOIP fees are remitted to the jurisdiction that assessed the fee. Wireless fees are remitted to the regional planning commission covering the county that assessed the fee. The regional planning commission then remits to the jurisdiction that is the primary place of use for the cellular telephone user according to information provided by the wireless company to the regional planning commission annually.



Federal Communications Commission  
 Washington, D.C. 20554

**D. Description of State or Jurisdictional Authority That Determines How 911/E911 Fees are Spent**

**1. Indicate which entities in your state have the authority to approve the expenditure of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes.**

Jurisdiction	Authority to Approve Expenditure of Funds (Check one)	
	Yes	No
State	<input type="checkbox"/>	X <input type="checkbox"/>
Local (e.g., county, city, municipality)	X <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**1b. Please briefly describe any limitations on the approval authority per jurisdiction (e.g., limited to fees collected by the entity, limited to wireline or wireless service, etc.)**

**2. Has your state established a funding mechanism that mandates *how* collected funds can be used? Check one.**

- Yes ..... X
- No .....

**2a. If you checked YES, provide a legal citation to the funding mechanism of any such criteria.**

63 O.S. (2001) sec. 2814(G).

**2b. If you checked NO, describe how your state or jurisdiction decides how collected funds can be used.**



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**E. Description of Uses of Collected 911/E911 Fees**

- 1. Provide a statement identifying with specificity all activities, programs, and organizations for whose benefit your state, or political subdivision thereof, has obligated or expended funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes and how these activities, programs, and organizations support 911 and E911 services or enhancements of such services.**

Oklahoma statutes were crafted to limit the use of 9-1-1 fees to the implementation and operation of 9-1-1 systems. Since Oklahoma has no centralized 9-1-1 enforcement or reporting agency, the details of the actual use of the funds is unknown. Discussions concerning this issue are being conducted with Oklahoma's Legislative Leaders.



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<b>2. Please identify the allowed uses of the collected funds. Check all that apply.</b>			
<b>Type of Cost</b>		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Operating Costs</b>	Lease, purchase, maintenance of customer premises equipment (CPE) (hardware and software)	X <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of computer aided dispatch (CAD) equipment (hardware and software)	X <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of building/facility	<input type="checkbox"/>	X <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Personnel Costs</b>	Telecommunicators' Salaries	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Training of Telecommunicators	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Administrative Costs</b>	Program Administration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Travel Expenses	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Dispatch Costs</b>	Reimbursement to other law enforcement entities providing dispatch	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of Radio Dispatch Networks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Grant Programs</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> If Yes, see 2a.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>2a. During the annual period ending December 31, 2014, describe the grants that your state paid for through the use of collected 911/E911 fees and the purpose of the grant.</b>			
NONE			



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**F. Description of 911/E911 Fees Collected**

**1. Please describe the amount of the fees or charges imposed for the implementation and support of 911 and E911 services. Please distinguish between state and local fees for each service type.**

Service Type	Fee/Charge Imposed	Jurisdiction Receiving Remittance (e.g., state, county, local authority, or a combination)
Wireline	0 to 15% of base telephone rate	LOCAL
Wireless	\$.50	LOCAL
Prepaid Wireless	\$.50	LOCAL
Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP)	\$.50	LOCAL
Other		

**2. For the annual period ending December 31, 2014, please report the total amount collected pursuant to the assessed fees or charges described in Question F 1.**

Service Type	Total Amount Collected (\$)
Wireline	Unknown
Wireless	Unknown
Prepaid Wireless	Unknown
Voice Over Internet Protocol	Unknown
Other	Unknown



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Total	Unknown
-------	---------

**2a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.**

Oklahoma has no centralized point for fee collection or remission and no authority to require such reporting of such fees or charges for the annual period ending December, 2013.

**3. Please identify any other sources of 911/E911 funding.**

Question	Yes	No
<p><b>4. For the annual period ending December 31, 2014, were any 911/E911 fees that were collected by your state or jurisdiction combined with any federal, state or local funds, grants, special collections, or general budget appropriations that were designated to support 911/E911/NG911 services? Check one.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>4a. If Yes, please describe the federal, state or local funds and amounts that were combined with 911/E911 fees.</b></p>		



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<b>5. Please provide an estimate of the proportional contribution from each funding source towards the total cost to support 911 in your state or jurisdiction.</b>	<b>Percent</b>
State 911 Fees	NONE
Local 911 Fees	100%
General Fund - State	NONE
General Fund - County	NONE
Federal Grants	NONE
State Grants	NONE



Federal Communications Commission  
 Washington, D.C. 20554

**G. Description of Diversion or Transfer of 911/E911 Fees for Other Uses**

Question	Yes	No
<b>1. In the annual period ending December 31, 2014, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction made available or used solely for purposes designated by the funding mechanism identified in Question 5? <i>Check one.</i></b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>1a. If No, please identify what amount of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes were made available or used for any purposes other than the ones designated by the funding mechanism or used for purposes otherwise unrelated to 911 or E911 implementation or support, including any funds transferred, loaned, or otherwise used for the state's general fund. Along with identifying the amount, please include a statement identifying the non-related purposes for which the collected 911 or E911 funds were made available or used.</b>		
<b>Amount of Funds (\$)</b>	<b>Identify the non-related purpose(s) for which the 911/E911 funds were used. <i>(Add lines as necessary)</i></b>	



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554


**H. Oversight and Auditing of Collection and Use of 911/E911 Fees**

Question	Yes	No
<b>1. Has your state established any oversight or auditing mechanisms or procedures to determine whether collected funds have been made available or used for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism or otherwise used to implement or support 911? Check one.</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>1a. If yes, provide a description of the mechanisms or procedures and any enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2014. (Enter "None" if no actions were taken.)</b>		
<p>Each local government has authority to approve expenditure of 9-1-1 funds. In many instances local governments combine to form county-wide or regional 9-1-1 boards which share or may share equipment, personnel or services. In that case, the authority rests in the cooperative board pursuant to the terms of an Interlocal agreement.</p> <p>The wireline fee is required to be reviewed annually by the governing body that assessed the fee. 63 O.S. (2001) sec. 2814(G). Wireless and VoIP fees are set at 50 cents per month by statute.</p> <p>Each fee statute requires an annual audit of the funds which may be conducted in conjunction with the local government's annual audit.</p>		

Question	Yes	No
<b>2. Does your state have the authority to audit service providers to ensure that the amount of 911/E911 fees collected from subscribers matches the service provider's number of subscribers? Check one.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>2a. If yes, provide a description of any auditing or enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2014. (Enter "None" if no actions were taken.)</b>		



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554





Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**I. Description of Next Generation 911 Services and Expenditures**

Question	Yes	No
<b>1. Does your state or jurisdiction classify expenditures on Next Generation 911 as within the scope of permissible expenditures of funds for 911 or E911 purposes? <i>Check one.</i></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>X</b>
<b>1a. If yes, in the space below, please cite any specific legal authority:</b>		

Question	Yes	No
<b>2. In the annual period ending December 31, 2014, has your state or jurisdiction expended funds on Next Generation 911 programs? <i>Check one.</i></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>X</b>
<b>2a. If yes, in the space below, please enter the dollar amount that has been expended.</b>		
<b>Amount</b> <b>(\$)</b>		



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2014, please describe the type and number of NG911 Emergency Service IP Network(s) (ESInets) that operated within your state.					
Type of ESInet	Yes	No	If Yes, Enter Total PSAPs Operating on the ESInet	If Yes, does the type of ESInet interconnect with other state, regional or local ESInets?	
				Yes	No
a. A single, state-wide ESInet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Local (e.g., county) ESInet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Regional ESInets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[If more than one Regional ESInet is in operation, in the space below, provide the total PSAPs operating on each ESInet]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Name of Regional ESInet:				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Name of Regional ESInet:				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



Federal Communications Commission  
 Washington, D.C. 20554

**4. Please provide a description of any NG911 projects completed or underway during the annual period ending December 31, 2014.**

None that the I, as the Governor's Representative for E911 issues or the Statewide 911 Advisory Board has been made aware of.

Question	Total PSAPs Accepting Texts
<b>5. During the annual period ending December 31, 2014, how many PSAPs within your state implemented text-to-911 and are accepting texts?</b>	Unknown
Question	Estimated Number of PSAPs that will Become Text Capable
<b>6. In the next annual period ending December 31, 2015, how many PSAPs do you anticipate will become text capable?</b>	Unknown
<b>7.</b>	



Federal Communications Commission  
 Washington, D.C. 20554

**J. Description of Cybersecurity Expenditures**

Question	Check the appropriate box		If Yes, Amount Expended (\$)
1. During the annual period ending December 31, 2014, did your state expend funds on cybersecurity programs for PSAPs?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	Unknown

Question	Total PSAPs
2. During the annual period ending December 31, 2014, how many PSAPs in your state either implemented a cyber security program or participated in a regional or state-run cyber security program?	Unknown

Question	Yes	No	Unknown
3. Does your state or jurisdiction adhere to the National Institute of Standards and Technology <i>Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity</i> (February 2014) for networks supporting one or more PSAPs in your state or jurisdiction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**K. Measuring Effective Utilization of 911/E911 Fees**

- 1. Please provide an assessment of the effects achieved from the expenditure of state 911/E911 or NG911 funds, including any criteria your state or jurisdiction uses to measure the effectiveness of the use of 911/E911 fees and charges. If your state conducts annual or other periodic assessments, please provide an electronic copy (*e.g.*, Word, PDF) of the latest such report upon submission of this questionnaire to the FCC or provide links to online versions of such reports in the space below.**

In 2005, the Oklahoma Legislature created the Statewide 9-1-1 Advisory Board to assist in the implementation, operation and improvement of 9-1-1 service statewide. The Board is advisory only, it has no state funding or paid staff. As of this writing, no legislative changes have been made concerning the Statewide 9-1-1 Advisory Board and its responsibilities. No authority has been given to the 9-1-1 Advisory Board or funding to perform any type of assessment concerning the effects of funding expended on 911/E911 or NG911 funds.

As the Governor's Representative to the FCC for E911 issues, I and the members of the Statewide 9-1-1 Advisory Board continue to educate and inform the members of Oklahoma's Legislative body as to the importance of providing funding for a Statewide 9-1-1 Coordinator, office and the authority to require PSAPs to report information that this annual report is requesting.



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

MICHAEL C. THOMPSON  
COMMISSIONER



MARY FALLIN  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF OKLAHOMA  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

SUPPLY DIVISION

TROOP/DIVISION TROOP XA WILL ROGERS TURNPIKE DIVISION 600

DATE OCTOBER 1, 2015

CHARGES FOR THE MONTH OF: SEPTEMBER 2015

DESCRIPTION	REQ#	AMOUNT	SUBTOTAL
OFFICE	15 - 025	\$ 248.60	\$ 261.10
	15 - 027	12.50	
UNIFORMS	15 - 027	11.40	\$ 55.40
	15 - 008	44.00	

BALANCE DUE: TOTAL \$ 316.50

\*NOTE\* Please sign & return to DPS Finance