



**MINUTES OF THE
CONFERENCE PREPARATORY GROUP
FOR WRC-2003**

**CPG 2003-4, Jersey
24-27 June 2002**

1 Opening of the Meeting CPG 2003-4

On behalf of the Radiocommunications Agency, the Head of International Policy Unit, Mr Malcolm Johnson, welcomed the delegates in the City of Saint Helier Island of Jersey (British Islands) and addressed the meeting.

2 Adoption of the Agenda

The final agenda is provided in Annex I, the list of participants in Annex II, and the list of documents contributed to the meeting are provided in Annex III. The document distribution lists are provided in Annexes IV-a and IV-b.

3. Activities of other bodies for WRC03 preparation

All the documents mentioned in this Section are drawn to the attention of the relevant CEPT project teams/working groups for further consideration.

3.1 APT preparations

The CPG noted the outcome of the last meeting of the APG (APG03-3, Bangkok, 10-15 June 2002), as provided in document CPG03(02)44. Mr Arasteh, on behalf of the APT, thanked the CPG for its invitation and indicated that the APT intends to hold WRC preparation meetings in August 2002 (Pusan, Korea) and in January 2003 (Tokyo). The CPG chairman thanked the APT and Mr Arasteh for the

opportunity given to the CPG delegation to participate actively in the discussions held at the last APG meeting.

3.2 CITELE Preparation

The CPG noted the report on the last CITELE PCC III preparation meeting, held in Mexico City on 19-22 February 2002. Mr McCaughern, on behalf of CITELE, indicated that at this stage, no definitive positions have been adopted by CITELE on WRC-03 preparation. The 21st meeting of PCCIII is to be held in Fortaleza, Brazil, July 15-19, 2002. The CEPT delegation will include the CPG chairman and Mr de Bailliencourt (CEPT coordinator on 1.15).

The EEC Chairman indicated recent contacts envisaging the possibility of holding a joint CITELE-CEPT meeting in February 2003. The CPG concurred that, if such a possibility was offered, a positive response would be given, provided a suitable time frame can be found for the meeting.

3.3 Arab group Preparations

No input has been considered. However, it was noted that Arab States Preparatory Group (ASPG) had already held three meetings and that the next meeting was scheduled on 24-27 August in Damascus, the following during the CPM and the final one in February 2003.

3.4 African group preparation

No input has been considered.

3.5 NATO

Mr Trautmann, chairman of the Policy Working Group (PWG) of the NATO Frequency Management Sub-Committee, presented document CPG03(2002)43 which contains the preliminary views of this Sub-Committee. It was noted that concerns had recently been raised in relation to Agenda Item 1.5 and 1.23 and may be reported on at a later stage.

3.6 European Commission

Mr Greco (EC) indicated that the EC was developing a communication to the Council and to the European Parliament on WRC-03 policy objectives, to be finalised by the end of 2002, and that another joint EC-CPG consultation meeting with the industry was being prepared for January 2003, as a follow-on to the meeting organised in November 2001. On behalf of the CPG, the chairman stressed the importance for the CPG to be aware of the EC policy objectives for WRC-03 as soon as possible.

3.7 ICAO

Mr Young, on behalf of ICAO, reported on the progress of the ICAO preparation for WRC-03, in particular on Agenda Item 1.15.

3.8 WRC-03 Informal Group

The CPG Chairman reported on the latest meetings of the informal group, held on 26 March 2002 (Geneva), during the last RAG meeting, and on 11 June 2002 (Bangkok), during the last APG meeting. Significant progress was made on the structure of the conference, although several agenda items still remain to be allocated (See document CPG03(02) 45).

The CPG noted that Venezuela had withdrawn its invitation to host WRC-03, and that it was now expected that WRC-03 will take place at the same dates in Geneva, subject to confirmation by PP02. However, since there is a major Conference of the International Labor Bureau during the RA-03 and the first two weeks of WRC-03, hotel accommodation already appears to be very difficult during this period.

4. Progress report from ERC and CPG groups/projects teams on WRC-03 preparation

4.1 Report from CPG/PT1

Mr Frederich, CPG/PT1 chairman, presented document CPG2003(02) 36, the report of the last CPG/PT1 meeting, which also contains proposed revised draft CEPT Briefs for agenda items 1.19, 1.26, 1.28 and 1.30.

With some modifications, the CPG adopted the revised draft CEPT Briefs on agenda items 1.19, 1.28 and 1.30 as contained in Annex VI. On Agenda Item 1.21, the CPG noted that there had been no change with respect to the previous version of the brief, pending the result of the ITU-R studies.

On Agenda Item 1.26, the CPG noted that the Annex to the proposed updated draft CEPT Brief, a draft WRC Resolution on ESVs, required detailed additional work within CPG/PT1, in particular in respect of the minimum ESV antenna diameter and of the ESV specified bandwidth. The CPG also noted that UK and Italy still have reservations on this draft Brief.

On Agenda Item 1.28, the CPG stressed to the ICAO the importance of not placing additional constraints on the broadcasting service and that additional work was required in order to ensure this, noting that work is continuing within the ITU.

On Agenda Item 1.30, the proposed revised draft Brief was amended to add the CPG conclusion that the time limits contained in No. 11.44 relating to the date of bringing in service of satellite networks needed to be strictly applied. This view is

also that taken by the APT and is in response to two recent requests made to the RRB to extend such limits in particular cases.

The CPG also noted the response from CPG/PT1, as contained in Annex XI of document CPG03(2002)36, to a request made by Eurocontrol to the CPG on interpretation of No. 5.357A and Resolution 222 (WRC-2000) (See document CPG03(2002)23). The CPG agreed to the conclusions reached by CPG/PT1 on this issue and requested the CPG chairman to forward these conclusions to Eurocontrol.

The CPG noted that CPG/PT1 continues to provide a very useful forum for CEPT administrations to exchange views and harmonise their positions and contributions on the RRB activities, in particular on the preparation and adoption of its Rules of Procedure, and on the preparation of the Special Committee.

The CPG also noted a contribution from Bulgaria (document CPG(2002)52) relating to the satellite network backlog issue and referred this contribution to CPG/PT1.

The CPG also noted a contribution from France (document CPG(2002)39), intended for presentation at the Special Committee on the provisions 4.1.18 to 4.1.20 of Article 4 of Appendices 30 and 30A. This followed the request made at the last meeting of CPG/PT3 (Helsinki, June 2002), where CEPT administrations were invited to contribute to the above subject in order for the CPG to address the preparation of the SC (2-12 July 2002) on this issue. A working group of the CPG was convened in order to discuss the details of this contribution. This discussion allowed to make progress toward a better understanding of the mechanisms necessary to ensure that these provisions are applied with no adverse impact on administrations. The CPG noted that most of the comments made during the discussion had been taken into account in the final version of the contribution to the SC, where further consideration will be given.

The CPG noted that, as reported in Annex III to the CPG/PT1 Report, the inclusion in Appendix 30B of a large number of subregional systems had recently been proposed by EUTELSAT IGO on behalf of some European countries. This number does not appear appropriate to many administrations within and outside Europe. The CPG concurred that if urgent action by the concerned administrations is not taken to drastically reduce this number, it is expected to have serious adverse consequences for European positions in the upcoming Plenipotentiary and World Radiocommunications Conferences. The CPG decided that the the CPG Chairman will send a letter to the CEPT administrations concerned in order to draw their attention on this issue.

Finally, the CPG noted CPG/PT1 conclusion that, given the number of deficiencies in the procedures currently applicable in Appendix 30B, the review of these procedures by WRC-06 may be considered by CPG under WRC-03 Agenda Item 7.2. CPG/PT1 was requested to provide the CPG with such a proposal at its next meeting, using the agreed template.

4.2 Report from CPG/PT2

Mr Meens, CPG/PT2 chairman, presented document CPG2003(02) 38, the report of the last CPG/PT2 meeting. The CPG adopted the proposed revised draft CEPT Briefs on agenda items 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.12, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17, 1.20, 1.24, 1.31 and 1.38, with a few modifications, as contained in Annex VI. The CPG also adopted the proposed new or revised draft ECPs on agenda items 1.3, 1.5, 1.6, 1.12, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17, 1.20, 1.24, 1.31 and 1.38, with a few modifications, as contained in Annex V.

On Agenda Item 1.3, the CPG noted the continued reservation of Sweden. After some discussion, the CPG considered the need to separate the Public Protection (PP) requirements from the Disaster Relief (DR) requirements and requested CPG/PT2, with the assistance of FM38, to continue to review the possibility of achieving this separation.

On Agenda Item 1.5, the proposed draft CEPT Brief was amended to suppress the reference to a possible application of the e.i.r.p. mask in the vertical plane for RLANs, since this appears premature at this stage.

On Agenda Item 1.6, the proposed new draft ECP was amended to align the wording of a proposed new footnote in Article 5 on that of the draft ECP on Agenda Item 1.5, by suppressing the reference to wireless access systems.

On Agenda Item 1.12, *resolves 1* of Resolution 723 (WRC-2000), the proposed draft CEPT Brief was amended to include additional text in a contribution from Russia (document CPG(2002)51, Annex 3), proposing a primary allocation on the basis of a national footnote of up to 3 MHz of spectrum for telecommand uplinks in the space research and space operation services in the band 257-262 MHz. CPG/PT2 was requested to further study this proposal, together with the corresponding new draft ECP proposed by Russia on this issue, which was kept in square brackets. The previous draft ECPs on *resolves 2 and 3* of Resolution 723 (WRC-2000) have not been modified. The proposed ECP on *resolves 4* of Resolution 723 (WRC-2000) was modified in order to suppress any non-mandatory reference to the ITU-R Recommendations in No. 5.5.36A, since this has no regulatory implication.

On Agenda Item 1.15, a detailed discussion on Resolution 606 (WRC-2000) followed the proposal by Russia (document CPG(2002) 51) to discontinue any consideration of Method B (pfd limits in all the band 1215-1300 MHz). It was noted that none of the methods were entirely satisfactory and this issue was referred back to CPG/PT2 for its further consideration. The need to update the draft CEPT Brief on Resolution 604 (WRC-2000) to take into account the ITU-R latest developments was also noted and referred to CPG/PT2. In relation to Resolution 605 (WRC-2000), it was noted that France has submitted to the SC a contribution developed by CPG/PT2 on the difficulties of applying milestones in the process of limiting the aggregate interference. The CPG also noted the reservation from the Russian Federation on the CEPT position and ECP on Resolution 606 (WRC-2000).

On Agenda Item 1.17, the CPG discussed the possible inclusion of a new footnote 5.426A indicating that radiolocation stations “shall not cause harmful interference to stations in the radionavigation service”. Whilst noting that this had been proposed to respond to concerns from ICAO and IMO, the CPG decided to remove this proposal from the draft ECP. The draft Brief was amended accordingly, by suppressing the option of supporting Method 1 of the CPM report.

On Agenda Item 1.24, the proposed draft ECP and Brief were modified to make the support to Method B of the CPM report (reduction of the minimum FSS earth station antenna size from 4.5 m to 1.2 m and additional technical provisions) provided that an agreement can be found in the ITU-R on appropriate values for the protection of radiolocation, radionavigation and space research services. On Agenda Item 1.38, the reservation from Finland (pending national consultations) was noted on the proposed draft ECP and Brief. Following a subsequent debate on Agenda Item 1.11 (See Section 4.3) in relation to the appropriateness of imposing specific limitations on secondary services in order to protect primary services, the CPG decided to review this issue at its next meeting, and requested CPG/PT2 to address it again in this context.

4.3 Report from CPG/PT3

Mr Jeacock, Chairman of CPG/PT3, presented document CPG2003(02) 41, the report of the last CPG/PT3 meeting. The CPG adopted the proposed revised draft CEPT Briefs on agenda items 1.13, 1.18, 1.25, 1.27, 1.29, 1.32, 1.35, 1.37 and 1.39, with a few modifications, as contained in Annex VI. The CPG also adopted the proposed new or revised draft ECPs on agenda items 1.13, 1.25, 1.27, 1.29, and 1.32, with a few modifications, as contained in Annex V.

On agenda item 1.11, the discussion also included consideration of document CPG03(2002)50, a contribution from France on the relationship between secondary and primary services and document CPG03(2002)51, a contribution from Russia also addressing this issue. The options currently included in the draft CPM report to satisfy the agenda item were considered:

- Option 1 : a secondary allocation without any additional regulatory limitation
- Option 2 : a secondary allocation with additional regulatory limitations to protect FS.

After an unsuccessful attempt to reconcile these options, the CPG decided not to adopt the proposed revised Brief and ECP on Agenda Item 1.11 and to refer the issue to CPG/PT1, since the technical studies have been completed. The CPG noted that 14 administrations were currently in favour of Option 1 (AUT, BEL, CZE, D, DNK, FIN, HOL, HNG, LUX, POL, NOR, RUS, S, SUI) and four in favour of Option 2 (F, G, GRC, POR). It was agreed that CPG/PT1 should use these indications on support or otherwise as a guidance in its further activities.

Concerning Agenda Item 1.25, the CPG noted the proposal from the Russian Federation (in Document CPG03(2002)51) to include in the ECP a proposal to enable FSS use of BSS feeder links assignments by modifying No. 5.516. Noting that several administrations consider that this possibility already exists within the current regulations, the CPG decided that this issue should be reviewed after the SC meeting. Noting that the CEPT brief on Agenda Item 1.25 is now quite comprehensive and that it includes, in its Attachment 1, specific positions with respect to each frequency band, the CPG requested CPG/PT3 to align the main part of the text of the CEPT Brief with that of its Attachment 1, as far as possible. Portugal reserved its position on the draft ECP on Agenda Item 1.25.

Concerning Agenda Item 1.27, CPG/PT3 was requested to review the need to include in the Annexes of Appendices 30 and 30A any specified bandwidth for the BSS/BSS feeder link transmissions.

Concerning Agenda Item 1.32, Luxembourg reserved its position on the draft Brief and ECP in relation to the proposed levels to protect Radioastronomy. Concerning the draft ECP on Agenda Item 1.32, the proposed footnote S5.551XXX was put in square brackets, given its lack of regulatory implication and noting that discussions are continuing on this issue in the ITU-R.

Concerning Agenda Item 1.37, the CPG noted the establishment by CPG/PT3 of a correspondence group to continue the work on the development of limits to protect terrestrial services from HEO systems in the various bands mentioned in Section 4 of the preliminary CEPT position. The CPG also noted that a joint meeting of this group had been scheduled in Interlaken in September. CEPT Administrations are encouraged to participate in this group (contact : Philippe Tristant - Email : ptristant@compuserve.com)

4.4 Report from CPG/PT4

Mr Lansman (chairman, CPG/PT4) presented document CPG(2002)35 , the report of CPG/PT4. With a few modifications, the CPG adopted the proposed draft ECPs and Briefs on Agenda Items 1.2, 1.7, 1.9, 1.10, 1.14, 1.23 and 1.36.

Concerning Agenda Item 1.2, the CPG noted that there is still square brackets on the draft ECP on the Table in the Annex to Recommendation [EUR/1.2/YYY] since ITU-R SG6 is still working on the subject. The CPG also noted that Italy had raised concerns on the wording of No. 5.134.

Concerning Agenda item 1.7, the Russian Federation earlier indicated its preference to keep the Morse Code. With the discussions regarding the Morse code issue in mind, the PT4 chairman urged Russia to attend future CPG/PT4 meetings.

Concerning Agenda Item 1.9, in relation to the date of final phasing-out of the old distress and safety system, the CPG noted the proposal by Sweden to consider the date of 2010. The CPG also noted the indication by the Chairman of CPG/PT4 that although most of the current provisions relating to the distress and safety on 500 kHz are now obsolete, the draft ECP did not propose to suppress them because this would require extensive efforts. It was also noted that Japan had undertaken this task and that, if it was carried out, the ECP proposed NOC would need to be reviewed.

Concerning Agenda Items 1.23 and 1.36, the CPG noted the CPG/PT4 chairman's indication that the draft ECPs may still require substantial changes.

Concerning Agenda Item 1.10.1, the CPG requested CPG/PT4 to further study the implications of the *instructs the Director of the Radiocommunication Bureau* in the proposed modified Resolution 344.

Concerning Agenda Item 1.14, the CPG noted the support of ICAO for the proposed draft ECP.

Concerning Agenda Item 1.23, the CPG noted that France was still not in a position to accept the proposed ECP at this stage, but is willing to continue to work for a possible compromise.

Concerning Agenda Item 1.36, Germany indicated that the adequacy of spectrum for all services in the bands should be ensured, as envisaged in the draft Agenda 2.5 of WRC-06. UK also noted that, with the only exception of the band 4500-4650 kHz, all the bands identified in the draft ECP were the same as those proposed by CEPT at WARC-92. It was agreed that CPG/PT4 would review this point at its next meeting.

4.5 Report from ECC/PT1

On behalf of the chairman of ECC/PT1, Mr Toivonen (Finland) presented document CPG(2002) 37, the ECC/PT1 progress report and documents CPG03(2002)28, 29, 30, which contain the proposed draft CEPT Briefs on Agenda Items 1.22, 1.33 and 1.34.

With a few modifications, the CPG adopted the Draft CEPT Briefs as proposed by ECC/PT1. It was noted that ECC/PT1 intended to develop draft ECPs on this three Agenda Items at its next meeting.

Concerning Agenda Item 1.33, the CPG noted that further review will be necessary after SC, in relation to the proposed consultation procedure intended to replace the current pfd limits to protect the terrestrial services of other administrations from interference caused by HAPS.

Concerning Agenda Item 1.34, the CPG also noted that the possible inclusion of GSO BSS (sound) systems in the scope of the procedure of Resolution 539 (WRC-2000) had been the subject of extensive discussions at the last meeting of WP 6S (March 2002), but that no agreement had been reached as to which Agenda Item would be appropriate to address this possibility. Consequently, WP 6S conclusions on this issue, which had been provided to the CPM, appear to have been lost in the editing process of the CPM. A contribution from an administration may therefore be needed to the CPM in order to address this issue again at the CPM. The CPG also noted the provisional APT views on this issue, as now included in the draft CEPT brief.

4.6 Report from WG FM

On behalf of the chairman of WGFM, Mr Ewers (Germany) introduced document CPG(2002)31, the report of WGFM, containing the proposed updated draft CEPT Brief on Agenda Item 1.1, which was adopted by the CPG, with the addition of updated information from the APT.

The CPG also noted the draft ECC decision by WGFM on the withdrawal of the identification of bands for TFTS whilst maintaining the bands for harmonised European use.

4.7 Report from WG SE

Mr Fournier (chairman, WG SE) presented document CPG03(2002)49, the report of WGSE on Agenda Item 1.8, containing the proposed draft Brief and ECP on agenda item 1.8, which were adopted by CPG with the addition of updated information from the APT.

Mr Fournier also presented document CPG(2002) 40, a liaison statement from WGSE to WGFM reporting on the detrimental interference caused by FSS space stations operating above 10.7 GHz into the Radioastronomy in the band 10.6-10.7 GHz. The CPG noted that this issue was related to agenda item 1.8.2 and decided to review it in the light of the conclusion of WGFM.

4.8 WRC-03 Agenda Item 7.2

Following a proposal by the Chairman, the CPG decided that all the proposals made under Agenda Item 7.2, using the template adopted at the last CPG meeting (Annex VIII of document CPG(2002)27Rev1), would be included in a list of candidate WRC-06 Agenda Items to be considered by CEPT, provided that they do not meet significant opposition within CPG. This list will be updated at each CPG meeting and constitute the draft CEPT brief on WRC-03 Agenda Item 7.2. At a later stage of WRC-03 preparation, this list will have to be considered by CPG and priorities established in order to decide which items should be included in the ECP on this issue. The CPG Project Teams and the other ECC supporting groups have been requested to provide the CPG, at the earliest possible date, and using the agreed template, their proposals relating to any issue on WRC-03 Agenda which is likely to need further review at WRC-06.

France introduced document CPG03(2002)32, on a study by Eumetsat on required frequency band allocations for passive sensors above 275 GHz. Annex 26 to Document CPG03(2002)38 (PT2 report) contains the related proposal using the required template. With a few amendments, the proposal was included as part of the on agenda item 7.2.

Germany introduced Document CPG03(2002)34, which proposed to consider an additional secondary allocation to the mobile service in the band 960 -1215 MHz, with the objective of giving a higher recognition to JTIDS, which have been operated under No. 4.4 for nearly 20 years without reported interference problems. This proposal was supported by FIN, DNK, S and NATO. Concerns were noted however, from F, G and ICAO, that such a proposal could open the possibility for any other type of mobile application to operate in the band, with possibly adverse consequences for both ARNS and RNSS. It was also noted that this proposal raised difficulties in relation to the type of limitations that may be imposed on a secondary service to protect a primary service (see Section 4.3 above concerning Agenda Item 1.11). In view of this, and notwithstanding the view that ARNS and RNSS being safety services, appropriate solutions may be found in accordance with No. 4.10, the CPG decided not to include this proposal at this meeting in the list of items of the draft CEPT Brief on Agenda Item 7.2 and to defer consideration of this issue to CPG/PT1 to investigate the regulatory conditions under which the above difficulties may be resolved. The view was

noted that an approach similar to that taken in respect of Agenda Item 1.5 of WRC-2000 could be envisaged.

The CPG discussed the proposals made by Eurocontrol, ICAO and France respectively in documents CPG03(2002)42, 54 and 47, in support of including in WRC-06 Agenda the consideration of additional allocations for the Aeronautical Mobile (R) Service in the frequency range 100-6000 MHz and a more general review of the definition of aeronautical services. The CPG considered that this proposal may be too ambitious for a single WRC, and after having made changes intended to reduce its scope, decided to include it in its list of items of the draft CEPT Brief on Agenda item 7.2. CPG/PT1 was requested to review this issue at its next meeting and report to CPG.

Mr Ollikainen (Finland) presented document CPG03(2002)53, proposing an additional agenda item for WRC-06, related to HAPS. CPG decided to forward this issue to PT3 for further review.

Finally, the CPG decided to include in the list of items of the draft CEPT Brief on Agenda Item 7.2 the example which had been included in Annex VIII of the Oslo CPG03 meeting, a proposition by Italy for a secondary allocation in the frequency band 9300-9500 MHz to EESS (active) in Regions 1, 2 and 3.

4.9 Review of CEPT draft positions, lead groups and coordinators

The CPG considered the revisions proposed by the group of CEPT coordinators on the draft CEPT positions, and aligned them with the draft CEPT briefs adopted at the meeting. The CPG adopted the updated draft CEPT positions, as given in **ANNEX VII**.

On the basis of the proposals received from the administrations and relevant CEPT groups and project teams, the list of CEPT lead groups and coordinators was also reviewed and updated. The updated list is included in **ANNEX VII**, together with the draft CEPT positions adopted by the CPG.

Mr Stephen Bond (UK) was appointed as coordinator on agenda item 1.5 (in addition to agenda item 1.6). The CPG also decided to split the coordination of Agenda Item 1.8, with Mr Yves Ollivier (France) as coordinator on agenda item 1.8.1, and Mr Willem Baan (The Netherlands) for agenda item 1.8.2.

It was also pointed out by Germany that there would be a need to replace Mr Liebler, who is still acting as coordinator for several agenda items, as chairman of WGFM.

5. ITU activities relevant to CPG mandate

The CPG noted that the activities relating to the RAG, the RRB and the RA preparation were within the mandate of CPG/PT1.

Mr Bruce Gracie (Chairman of the RAG) indicated, at its last meeting, the RAG had decided to dissolve the correspondence group chaired Mr Brooks on the review of the WRC/CPM preparatory process, since the work had been completed. He also indicated that CPG/PT1 may be especially interested in the activities of three of the six RAG correspondence groups still in existence, which relate to Resolution 80 (WRC-2000), Resolution 95 (WRC-2000) (i.e. WRC-03 Agenda Item 4) and the RA preparation. The activities of these groups can be monitored from the ITU website.

Concerning the satellite backlog, Luxembourg indicated that a document will be sent to the PT1 reporting on the results of the last two meeting of satellite operators on the backlog.

The CPG also noted that the CPM would offer significant opportunities to hold meetings between regional organisations preparing for WRC-03, beyond the normally expected meeting of the informal group.

6 Response to ECC/TG1 questionnaire on future role and activities of the CPG

The CPG reviewed document CPG03(2002)46, a contribution from the chairman containing a draft response from the CPG on ECC/TG1 questionnaire, an issue which had already been addressed by the CPG at its last meeting. This document was approved, as given in **ANNEX X**, with the addition of the need to include in the ToR of the CPG that it has the responsibility of adopting the ECPs (and not only to develop them).

7 CPG meeting schedule

The CPG updated the schedule of its activities for WRC-03 preparation, as included in **ANNEX XI**.

8 Adoption of the Minutes of the Meeting

The minutes were adopted as contained in document CPG2003(02)55 Rev1.

9 Closure of the Meeting

The Chairman thanked the United Kingdom administration for the excellent facilities provided, the “barbecue event” and all the work accomplished to make the meeting a success. He also thanked all participants for their cooperation and closed the meeting at 12h15.

List of Annexes

Annex I:	Agenda
Annex II:	List of Participants
Annex III:	List of Documents
Annex IV-a:	CPG Distribution List
Annex IV-b:	Additional CPG Distribution List
Annex V :	Draft CEPT ECP's
Annex VI:	Updated draft CEPT Brief
Annex VII :	Draft CEPT Positions and list of CEPT lead groups and coordinators
Annex VIII:	Letter to Eurocontrol
Annex IX:	Letter to Eutelsat IGO administrations (Appendix 30B)
Annex X:	CPG response to ECC/TG1 questionnaire
Annex XI:	CPG03 schedule of meetings and outline of activities