## UNITED STATES FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

)

)

In Re:

DIVERSITY COMMITTEE MEETING

Pages: 1 through 62 Place: Washington, D.C. Date: December 21, 2006

## HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005-4018 (202) 628-4888 hrc@concentric.net BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

)

)

In Re:

DIVERSITY COMMITTEE MEETING

Commission Meeting Room Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C.

Thursday, December 21, 2006

The parties met, pursuant to the notice of the

Commission, at 10:20 a.m.

PARTICIPANTS:

LISA M. FOWLKES, Designated Federal Officer FCC Diversity Committee

KEVIN MARTIN FCC Chairman

JONATHAN ADELSTEIN FCC Commissioner

PAULA SILBERTHAU FCC Office of General Counsel

MARIA E. BRENNAN (Via Telephone) American Women in Radio & Television

SHEBA CHACKO BT Americas, Inc.

SUSAN FOX Disney-ABC

DAVID HONIG Minority Media & Telecommunications Council

RODNEY HOOD National Credit Union Administration

PAUL JONES (Via Telephone) Time Warner Telecom

REBECCA KLEIN Loeffler Tuggey Pauerstein Rosenthal, LLP

ANN LUCEY Showtime Network

MAX NAVARRO Operational Technologies Corporation

ANDREW SCHWARTZMAN Media Access Project

TARA SWEENEY Arctic Slope Regional Corporation

KADE TWIST (Via Telephone) Native Laboratories

JAMES WINSTON National Association of Black Owned Broadcasters

1

2

3 MS. FOWLKES: Good morning. Thank you all 4 for coming to the meeting of the Advisory Committee on 5 Diversity for Communications in a Digital Age. As you 6 may guess, I'm not Henry Rivera who is the Chair of 7 this Committee. Henry was actually going to run this 8 meeting by telephone. Unfortunately since he was on 9 travel unfortunately due to a problem with his car in 10 the snowstorm out in the midwest, he was not able to 11 participate today, so he sends his apologies and his 12 thanks to all of you for coming to the meeting and 13 agreeing to participate on the Committee.

As you can see, we have Chairman Kevin
Martin here, who will deliver some opening remarks.
Mr. Chairman?

Thank you, Lisa. 17 MR. MARTIN: Great. First of all, let me say thanks to Henry again for being 18 willing to chair this most of the time, and I do 19 apologize that he's not here and I hope he ends up 20 21 being okay. I had heard this morning that he had had 22 a car accident. Henry's been doing double duty for 23 us. He's also been moderating all of our media 24 ownership hearings throughout the country, so Henry's 25 been certainly helping us a tremendous amount. I'm

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

(10:20 a.m.)

1 glad to hear he's okay, but I'm certainly concerned 2 about that.

3 First of all, let me say I want to thank you 4 all for agreeing both to volunteer your time and 5 energy to this important Committee and appreciate you 6 all taking the time to end up doing that. We've got 7 several new folks who have joined us that I talked 8 about joining, Becky and Rodney, for example, and I 9 really do appreciate you all being willing to come 10 help out.

11 I think it is the testimony of the 12 importance of what we're trying to do that so many 13 highly qualified people that have many other burdens 14 and responsibilities in their industries and in their 15 professional lives are willing to take the time out to 16 try to further the policies and practices that we hope 17 will enhance the ability of all Americans, particularly including minorities and women, to be 18 19 able to participate in the communications industry. Congress has tasked the Commission with a 20 21 responsibility for bringing the benefits of 22 communications services to all Americans. As part of 23 this mandate, the Commission is also charged with 24 trying to promote policies that favor diversity of 25 media and vigorous economic competition and

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4

1 technological advancement.

Now, these are responsibilities that I think Now, these are responsibilities that I think the Commission takes very seriously, and expanding the reach of broadband and other advanced communications services has been a top priority of the Commission during my time as Chairman, and I'm hopeful that this Committee will find ways to ensure that all Americans do have that opportunity to participate in the deployment of these new technologies.

Now, the Advisory Committee today certainly
brings together various sectors in the
telecommunications industry including minority owned
companies, finance sector and organizations that are
committed to ensuring that there's broader
participation in the communications sector. And I
want to thank all of you all for being willing to
continue that participation, and, as I said, Henry
Rivera particularly for his willingness to chair.
J also appreciate many of the groups that

I've worked with in the past, MMTC, and, David, I appreciate your participation. James, I appreciate yours as well and the many other groups that are willing to end up being represented. I hope that all of the experts here continue to find ways to work together and to ensure that all Americans have the

opportunity to participate in segments of the
 communications industry particularly as we're trying
 to develop and expand with new technologies.

I think that this is a particularly difficult thing when we're talking about the traditional sectors that we've regulated that are more developed, but some of the newer technologies present particular opportunities, and I look forward to some of the ideas that you might have in that regard.

I do again appreciate you all's work on this and look forward to working with you going forward. And I know that the Commission staff, Lisa and Paula, I know are committed to try to help in whatever ways possible as well. And so I will look forward to your group thoughts and any individual thoughts that you ever want to pass on and would like to get together and discuss as well, I'm happy to. Thank you.

MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Also MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Also it just occurred to me as the Chairman was speaking that I did not officially say who I was; I just said who I wasn't. I'm Lisa Fowlkes, the person who has been sending you all the annoying emails telling you about this meeting for the past few weeks. I'm the Designated Federal Officer for the Committee, so I will be working with each of you as you develop

1 recommendations for the Commission.

Barbara Kreisman is the Alternate Designated
Federal Officer for this Committee. Unfortunately,
she was not able to be here at this meeting today, but
I know she is also looking forward to working with all
of you as you move forward on this important task.
The next thing I wanted to do is just go
over the agenda for today. First, I will give

9 basically a brief overview of the Diversity Committee, 10 some background information as well as some 11 information on the mission and the function and duties 12 of the Diversity Committee. Much of the information 13 that I will give you in my presentation is actually 14 laid out in the charter of the Diversity Committee, 15 which is in the packets that we've distributed to you. 16 Each of you has a packet that looks like 17 this, that contains the charter, today's agenda, a list of members as well as some information on the 18 19 Federal Advisory Committee Act and what that Act requires in terms of the work of this Diversity 20 21 Committee.

22 MR. MARTIN: Lisa, if I can interrupt. I 23 know that there are several other Commissioners who 24 actually have -- first of all, I should say thank you 25 all for also agreeing to participate today certainly

1 as we're approaching the holidays and so many folks
2 have their other busy schedules. I also really
3 particularly appreciate that. And indeed, several of
4 the Commissioners -- I know one left last night after
5 our open meeting, and I think one of the other
6 commissioners is taking off today -- but are any of
7 the other Commissioners on schedule to come down?
8 MS. FOWLKES: Yes. Commissioner Adelstein
9 has informed us that he is planning to come down later
10 today.

11 MR. MARTIN: He is going to. Okay. Great. 12 I may go stop by one of the other offices and see if 13 the other folks can make sure they stop by as well.

14 MS. FOWLKES: Okay.

15 MR. MARTIN: Okay. Great.

16 MS. FOWLKES: Thank you.

17 MR. MARTIN: Thank you, all. Thanks.

MS. FOWLKES: I'll go over a brief overview of the Diversity Committee. Paula, who is with the FCC's Office of General Counsel, will do a briefing on the Federal Advisory Committee Act particularly for those of you who are new to the Committee and who are new to the service on an advisory committee. We will he following that, go around and have each of you introduce yourselves and talk about some ideas that

you may have for the Diversity Committee's
 consideration.

Lastly, we'll talk about next steps, 3 4 upcoming priorities, any other business that we need 5 to address at this meeting, and then we'll adjourn for 6 the day. Now, I understand that there are three 7 Committee members who are participating in this 8 meeting by telephone. Ms. Maria Brennan with the 9 American Women in Radio & Television. Are you there, Ms. Brennan? 10 11 MS. BRENNAN: I am. Thank you, Lisa, and 12 hello, everybody. 13 MS. FOWLKES: Mr. Paul Jones with Time 14 Warner Telecom. Are you there, Mr. Jones? 15 MR. JONES: Yes, I'm here. Thank you. MS. FOWLKES: Great. Mr. Kade Twist with 16 17 Native Laboratories. Are you there, Mr. Twist? MR. TWIST: Yes, I am, and thank you. 18 MS. FOWLKES: Great. Well, why don't we 19 Again, first of all, I'd like to thank all of 20 begin. 21 you for agreeing to serve on the rechartered Advisory 22 Committee on Diversity for Communications in the 23 Digital Age. As I said, my presentation is going to 24 consist of some background on the Diversity Committee 25 and a description of the Committee's mission, function

1 and duties.

As some of you know, the Diversity Committee was originally chartered in 2003. In creating the Diversity Committee, the Commission recognized that its requirement under the Communications Act to make available to all Americans without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex a rapid, efficient, nationwide wire and radio communications service.

In addition, the Commission also recognized the requirements in the Communications Act that the Commission work toward elimination of market entry barriers in order to "promote the policies and purposes of the Act favoring diversity of media voices, vigorous economic competition, technological advancement and promotion of the public interest's convenience and necessity."

18 The Commission believed that these goals 19 could best be achieved by assuring that as broad a 20 cross-section of the public as possible has the 21 opportunity to own and/or manage communications 22 companies. The Commission formed the Diversity 23 Committee to help it ensure that the communications 24 industry was regulated in a manner consistent with 25 these goals.

1 The previous Diversity Committee had a 2 number of accomplishments. For example, and I'm just 3 naming a few, they recommended the creation of what's 4 called the Diversity Resource Directory, which is a 5 web tool designed to assist companies with their 6 diversity efforts. They also issued a report called 7 Best of the Best Practices, which provides guidance 8 for increasing diversity of ownership and fostering 9 career advancement.

10 They issued a Best Practices Report on 11 workplace diversity, and they've also submitted a 12 number of policy and regulatory recommendations for 13 the Commission's consideration in areas such as equal 14 employment opportunity, access to spectrum, access to 15 capital, and diversity of ownership in the 16 communications industry. And many of those 17 recommendations are pending before the Commission and 18 under consideration.

19 The Diversity Committee was rechartered 20 effective December 5 of this year for a two-year term 21 ending at December 5, 2008. The Committee's mission 22 is to make recommendations to the Federal 23 Communications Commission regarding policies and 24 practices that will further enhance the ability of 25 minorities and women to participate in the

1 telecommunications and related industries.

The Diversity Committee's operations are governed by three documents: (1) the Federal Advisory Committee Act; (2) rules promulgated by the General Services Administration implementing the Federal Advisory Committee Act; and (3) the Committee's charter, which as I mentioned you all have in your packet.

9 The Diversity Committee, like every advisory 10 committee that has been established by the Federal 11 Communications Commission, reports to the Chairman of 12 the Federal Communications Commission. And like every 13 advisory committee authorized under the Federal 14 Advisory Committee Act, the function of the Diversity 15 Committee is an advisory-only function.

In keeping with its advisory role, the In keeping with its advisory role, the duties of the Diversity Committee are: (1) to provide guidance to the Commission on policies and practices that could increase the diversity of ownership and create opportunities for minorities and women to advance to managerial positions in the communications sector; make reports and recommendations concerning the need for any guidelines, incentives, regulations or other policy approaches to promote diversity or participation in the communications sector; and to

develop best practices within the communications
 sector for promoting diversity of participation.

As you all are working and thinking about ideas of issues that you think the Committee should address as you move forward on your work in terms of developing recommendations in those issues, there are a couple of things that you should keep in mind, which are actually things that really every advisory committee should keep in mind.

One, you should focus on recommendations for actions and issues that fall within the FCC's jurisdiction and statutory authority. As I explained earlier, the function of this Advisory Committee is to provide advice to the Federal Communications Commission on issues relating to diversity of ownership and management in the communications industry.

So one of the things you want to keep in the back of your head is the types of issues, types of recommendations that would fall within the Federal Communications Commission's jurisdiction statutory authority. And that's always the case regardless of what advisory committee we're talking about that is authorized by the Federal Communications Commission. Two, to the extent you start thinking about

1 issues or recommendations that have constitutional 2 implications, one of the things that you should try to 3 focus on are recommendations for actions that fall 4 comfortably within constitutional parameters. These 5 are two major things that we would suggest that you 6 just keep in the back of your mind as you're trying to 7 figure out, okay, what issues do we think it would be 8 good for this Committee to address? What types of 9 recommendations do we want to make to the Commission? 10 Those are certainly the types of things 11 whenever an advisory committee makes recommendations 12 to an agency that the agency looks at. With that,

13 once again, I want to say thank you for agreeing to 14 serve on the Committee. I, as well as the FCC staff, 15 stand ready to assist you as you embark on this 16 important task. Thank you.

17 Any questions thus far? Going once. Going18 twice.

MS. BRENNAN: Actually, I have a question.20 This is Maria Brennan, Lisa, with AWRT.

21 MS. FOWLKES: Yes?

MS. BRENNAN: Lisa, would it be possible -and I apologize if you might have mentioned this and I missed it -- would it be possible for the members of the new Diversity Committee to receive the collateral

1 that was done in terms of the Best of the Best
2 Practices?

I think we actually have a 3 MS. FOWLKES: 4 list that has been distributed to the members here, 5 and we can get that to you by email in addition to 6 which there's information on the Diversity Committee including some of the recommendations it has made in 7 8 the past that's on the Diversity Committee's website. 9 MS. BRENNAN: Okay. Excellent. Thank you. 10 MS. FOWLKES: And that website, by the way, is www.fcc.gov/diversityfac/. Next, I'm going to turn 11 12 to Paula Silberthau who is with the FCC's Office of 13 General Counsel, and she is here to give you a brief 14 overview of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

MS. SILBERTHAU: Good morning. I'm just MS. SILBERTHAU: Good morning. I'm just going to hit on some of the high points for conducting the business of the Advisory Committee, and there are a couple of guiding principles to keep in mind. Some of you probably know this already.

20 Under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 21 the guiding principles are openness in government, and 22 that guides the rules for how you have meetings, 23 things like that; diversity in membership and advice; 24 and public accountability. And there are a couple of 25 rules to keep in mind, and I'm sure that Lisa and your

1 Chairman will remind you of all these.

I'll just mention them briefly because if there were to be some very serious violations of FACA, then it's possible that the Commission would not even be allowed to consider your recommendations, but these guidelines for conducting your business are pretty general and commonly followed.

8 The first thing is that your FACA meetings 9 have to be open to the public and timely noticed in 10 the Federal Register. For the most part, you can't 11 just decide along with the DFO that you'd like to have 12 a meeting tomorrow. No. Typically you have to find a 13 convenient time for the members and then provide for a 14 15-day publication in the Federal Register. And 15 that's simply to make sure that the meetings are open, 16 that members of the public can attend, and it also 17 serves the useful purpose of making sure that you, as 18 the members of the Committee, can attend.

19 The rules that you agree upon would set 20 forth however many people would be a quorum. At the 21 meetings, they have to be open, minutes of meetings 22 are kept, records are kept, and these will be provided 23 as documents that are available to the public in a 24 place that I think Lisa has noticed already on a 25 handout. The Chair of the Committee has to certify

1 the accuracy of the minutes.

They don't have to be elaborate, but they should indicate who's in attendance and who talked and just the gist of what's going on, so if someone is interested in the work of your group and can't attend, they could actually get reasonable minutes to keep them abreast of what's happening.

8 Meetings typically cannot be closed, but 9 there are some exceptions in limited circumstances I 10 think that wouldn't typically be involved with this 11 group, but it's possible. If there are serious trade 12 secrets, if you're going to be considering classified 13 government materials, or if there are national 14 security matters related to foreign policy, in those 15 cases there are provisions in the Act for closing 16 portions of meetings. But absent that, everyone can 17 attend, and everything is open to the public.

18 The records of the Committee are open. When 19 people speak and they hand out documents, the Chair or 20 Lisa will retain a set of the documents, and they'll 21 be available for you to review and for everyone else 22 to review at a public place. Typically, a lot of work 23 can get done through smaller working groups, and over 24 time, there have been questions about the difference 25 between a working group and a full committee.

Basically, working groups can gather information and develop plans or recommendations to bring to the full committee. Working groups can draft reports, perform studies, discuss preliminary findings. And a working group, if it stays within hose bounds, it's not subject to the requirements of being open to the public or of having to be announced in the *Federal Register*.

9 It's really to give flexibility to you folks 10 to be able to get your work done and to be able to do 11 it efficiently. The DFO, in this case Lisa, needs to 12 be advised and typically would be attending the 13 meetings of the working groups. And that's provided 14 for in the GSA rules that govern FACA.

So just as a reminder, if you guys are getting together and you want to have a working group of however many people and have a meeting, that really needs to be coordinated through Lisa, and that's true whether you're meeting here or whether you're meeting in someone's office. It's just very important to have the DFO involved, and, in fact, it's a requirement under the controlling regulations to coordinate with the DFO.

To make sure that the working groups are just treated as working groups and that you can have

1 these informal meetings, it's very important that 2 recommendations you have, if they get brought to the 3 Full Committee, are not rubber stamped. In other 4 words, that when the recommendations come forward, 5 there needs to be real debate on it. Because if 6 there's no debate on the recommendations of the 7 working group, then basically what's happened is the 8 working group has been transformed into a full 9 committee.

10 So the working groups can make 11 recommendations, but they can't bind the parent 12 committee. The working groups shouldn't be sending 13 preliminary recommendations to the full Commission. 14 It's just a working group, and people will give it 15 deference, but there has to be discussion and a vote 16 on those recommendations.

And another thing to be a little careful of is when you have the working group, it's better if it y stays at a number that's less than a quorum of the full Committee. Because if you have 20 people on the Committee and there are 12 people or something like that at a working group, people could complain that that's the equivalent of having a full meeting. So if you have more than a quorum, it

25 doesn't necessarily force it into something that's

treated as a full committee, but it's just a little
 bit easier to see that it's just a working group if
 you keep under the quorum. And let's see what else.

Another issue that comes up occasionally are the interplay between FACAs and our exparte rules. Because FACAs are only advisory, members are subject to exparte rules. So, in other words, if there's a communication with the Commissioners or with agency staff dealing with something about the merits of a pending rulemaking, that communication from any of the members would be subject to our exparte rules.

So it doesn't mean that you lose your rights to communicate because you're a member of the Commission, but if you do have that communication, a little summary of the communication with the staff or with the Commissioners needs to be put on the record within 24 hours.

18 If it's about something that's not pending 19 before the Commission that's just some new idea you 20 have; it's not within the scope of any rulemaking, 21 then there's no problem. But if you do have a 22 substantive communication about something that's 23 pending, then you need to consider the ex parte rules. 24 You could check with Lisa about it if you don't quite 25 know whether you've hit that threshold, or you could

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

20

1 call me up, that's fine, as well.

I think those are the main points. And as Lisa said, this is an advisory group only. It has no independent authority to function or to run programs or things like that, but it has the important role of providing recommendations about things that are before the Commission that the Commission might be able to take action on.

9 Does anyone have any questions? 10 (No response.)

MS. SILBERTHAU: Okay. Then I'm going todisappear.

MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Paula. Next, I MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Paula. Next, I think we'll go into the introductions. Basically what Henry and I were looking for is each of you to introduce yourselves, and to the extent you've got ideas for issues that you think it might be good for leas the Committee to consider, you can briefly talk about those or suggest those.

Because of the number of people here and because of the timing of the meeting, I would say probably about no more than five minutes for each person.

And what I'd like to do is start with the 25 folks on the phone, so, Ms. Brennan, why don't you go

1 first?

2 MS. BRENNAN: Hello, once again, everyone. 3 It's Maria Brennan. I am the Executive Director of 4 American Women in Radio & Television. Is that it? 5 Sorry. I apologize.

6 MS. FOWLKES: Did you have any ideas or 7 suggestions that you wanted to make in terms of issues 8 that the --

9 MS. BRENNAN: I think you covered it really 10 well, Lisa. One of the things that I wanted to make 11 sure that the group understood is that there was a 12 tremendous amount of work and effort and deliverable 13 charge with the last Diversity Committee, and I was a 14 member of the first chartered group as well.

I wanted to make sure that as we march forward, we're mindful of the work that exists and some of the potential programs that may even be implementable. So I think you covered that well and by providing everyone with that collateral, I think it's a good first step in educating the group as to what's already happened as we move forward into what can also happen in the future.

MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Ms. Brennan.
Mr. Jones?
MR. JONES: Yes. Paul Jones. I'm General

1 Counsel with Time Warner Telecom. A couple of

2 thoughts. I've been in the broadcast end of the 3 business, the cable business, and now with the telecom 4 business, and it's a world of change and convergence 5 of platforms suggests that there may be emerging 6 technology that we as a group would benefit from 7 hearing about as we think about the role and 8 participation of minorities on a going-forward basis 9 in what could become growth sectors.

I agree with Maria, having said that, that a starting place ought to be that we review the recommendations of the prior Diversity Committee and that we also look at programs that have been implemented to understand the degree to which they've worked, whether they've made a contribution, or whether they were good ideas but just didn't quite work in the form in which they were offered up so that we can consider avenues that might bring some additional success to programs that have already been either recommended or implemented as well.

21 That's all I have to say. Thanks.

22 MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Mr. Jones.

23 Mr. Twist?

24 MR. TWIST: Yes. Hi. My name's Kade Twist. 25 I'm President of Native Laboratories and one of the

founders of the Native Media and Technology Network.
 I'm a Cherokee from Locust Grove, Oklahoma, so
 obviously the core issues that I'm concerned with are
 those that impact Indian Country tribal land. Chief
 among them are access to spectrum and access to
 broadband.

So other than that, I'll reserve my
comments, keep my ears wide open. I'd like to say
first and foremost that it is an honor --

10 (Electronic interference.)

11 MR. TWIST: -- of this Advisory Committee, 12 and I just would like to thank the FCC for providing 13 me with this opportunity and for extending an 14 opportunity out to an Indian person. Thank you.

MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Mr. Twist. Now, what I'd like to do is basically just go around the table and have each of you introduce yourselves, and I'll start over here.

19 Ms. Chacko?

MS. CHACKO: Yes. Hi. I'm Sheba Chacko. I'm with BT, and I head up the regulatory affairs here in the Americas region and cover global operational regulation matters as well. We are very honored. On behalf of BT, I'd like to thank the Chairman and the Commission for inviting us to participate in this.

It's something that we are committed to and believe is
 very important.

I don't want to make any recommendations at this point. Going through the package that's been provided, I can see that a lot of ground has already been covered, and I'd like to absorb and understand what's already been covered.

8 MS. FOWLKES: Thank you.

9 Mr. Honig?

10 MR. HONIG: I'm David Honig, the Director of 11 the Minority Media & Telecom Council. I guess at the 12 outset it's worth recognizing the fact that this is 13 the fourth Advisory Committee that the Commission has 14 had to focus on matters relating to diversity. The 15 first one was in 1977. Chairman Wiley established 16 that, and it led to the creation of the tax 17 certificate and distress sale policies.

18 There was a second one in 1982 that Chairman 19 Fowler established. Henry Rivera was the Chair of 20 that Committee. He was a Commissioner here at that 21 time. That was focused primarily on attribution 22 issues.

23 MR. JONES: Excuse me. Paul Jones. I'm24 having difficulty hearing.

25 MS. BRENNAN: David, for those of us on the

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

25

1 phone, we can't hear you.

2	MR. HONIG: Can you hear now?
3	MS. BRENNAN: No. Only a little better.
4	MR. JONES: A little.
5	MR. HONIG: Goodness. Well, it's an irony
6	that the FCC sometimes isn't able to communicate as
7	well as it would like. Should I just linger?
8	MS. FOWLKES: We're going to ask the
9	technical people to see what's going on with that, but
10	why don't you continue.
11	MR. HONIG: Okay. There were 22
12	recommendations that came out of that Advisory
13	Committee, some of which are still pending before the
14	Commission in various forms. There was a third one in
15	1992 that Chairman Sikes created, which came up with
16	the idea of an incubation program that the Commission
17	put out for comment, and that remains pending in
18	another rulemaking proceeding.
19	And this is the first one that has been
20	rechartered and the first one that has been
21	rechartered twice. It was created in 2003 by Chairman
22	Powell. The members I know have put in an enormous
23	amount of work as has been said.
24	I took the liberty of printing out a
25	summary, which in the interest of time I won't talk

about in detail, that's entitled, "Achievements of the
 FCC's Advisory Committee and Diversity for

3 Communications in the Digital Age, September 29, 2003, 4 to November 29, 2006," enumerating the 56 matters that 5 the Committee has taken up; 5 of which the Commission 6 has approved, 43 of which are still under 7 consideration, and 6 of which are pending still before 8 various subcommittees.

9 The status of those is not clear, and I 10 guess it will be for this body to decide what to do 11 with them. But it's commendable that the Commission 12 is recognizing that this is an issue that is 13 intractable; that requires a long-term effort; that is 14 not going to go away with just one or two 15 recommendations that hopefully are going to be thrown 16 against the wall and see whether they stick.

One point five percent of the asset value of broadcasting is controlled by people of color, women about the same percentage or maybe slightly more. Telecommunications, that percentage is almost zero notwithstanding programs intended to correct this. And I wanted to also acknowledge there is a trade association of minorities in broadband.

The Director of Counsel is here today, 25 that's Darius Withers, who is another FCC lifer, and

1 his organization is the Multicultural Broadband Trade
2 Association. And in the work that this Advisory
3 Committee undertook over the last three years, really
4 three broad principles sort of overlay all of what we
5 did. One is this recommendation that this is an issue
6 that will require the same kind of systematic approach
7 that any serious issue requires, whether it be DTV or
8 space technology or anything else that matters.

9 A solution to it is going to have to include 10 multiple means of addressing access to capital, access 11 to spectrum, and access to opportunity.

12 Second, that Congress has spoken first in 13 Section 151, the first section of the Communications 14 Act, mandating that the Commission oversee a system of 15 communications without discrimination; and second in 16 Section 257 adopted in 1996, which requires the 17 Commission triennially to report on efforts it's 18 undertaken to reduce market entry barriers so that the agency doesn't unintentionally have the position of a 19 gatekeeper and tend to keep out those who in a free 20 21 market without regulation might have had greater 22 opportunity. This happens to be the third triennial 23 year of this next cycle for having such a report. 24 And the third principle that I think has 25 overlaid the work that we've done is that diversity

1 and competition are not at odds with each other at 2 all; that in fact initiatives that promote diversity 3 also make the industry in the long run more 4 competitive, for many reasons that we're all familiar 5 with, and that, therefore, the recommendations that 6 were adopted on ANO (ph) and the discussions in the 7 Subcommittees and in the Full Committee that we had 8 were focused not just on the moral case for them, 9 which is very substantial, but on the business case 10 for them as well.

11 Now, briefly and just in the interest of 12 time, I wanted to just highlight a few of the matters 13 that remain pending or that have not been taken up 14 that may be promising for this Committee to consider 15 in really two subjects; one being access to capital 16 and financial issues, and the other being the 17 development of new technologies.

18 With respect to access to capital and 19 financial issues, one subject that wasn't really 20 explored in depth before that certainly has come up 21 and been in the news a lot is structural ownership 22 abuse; how the Commission can prevent the abuse of its 23 policies and make sure that when it adopts one, the 24 line will be strict and the public can have confidence 25 that rules that the Commission adopts will in fact be

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

29

1 enforced and no one can take unfair advantage of them.

2 That has great resonance because of course 3 that is one of the reasons that we lost the tax 4 certificate policy in 1995. Restoration of that 5 policy is another subject that has always been of 6 great concern. The Committee did pass a resolution on 7 it in 2004 and had another one, which has been 8 distributed here, that was not able to be taken up at 9 our last meeting in April because it wasn't in the 10 *Federal Register* notice, nor is it appropriate to be 11 taken up right now, but it could be considered.

12 Third would be the question of transactional 13 best practices and whether as we did with EEO in the 14 area of transactions and sales and awareness of 15 opportunities and recruitment and so forth, there's 16 more that companies could know has worked in 17 heightening and capturing demand for assets, which 18 really benefits the industry as a whole and the 19 sellers because then you get greater demand, better 20 prices and terms.

And fourth is what should really be the constraint of the search agenda for minority and female entrepreneurship, a subject that I know has been kicked around in various proceedings but never addressed systematically. In the area of new

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

30

technologies, there are four other items that perhaps
 suggest themselves.

3 One which we spent a lot of time discussing 4 in the Subcommittee level in 2004 is the universal 5 service fund and whether it should be recalibrated in 6 some way. There are a number of industry trade groups 7 and others that have looked at this question of 8 whether the fund could reach a point of collapse; what 9 happens with the rural services and so forth and how 10 to protect them; and whether the presence of broadband 11 really affects the definition of what we should 12 consider to be universal service.

13 Second is to review the effectiveness or 14 lack of effectiveness of broadcast and wireless 15 auctions in promoting diversity, another subject that 16 was examined in 2000 in some studies the Commission 17 did pursuant to Section 257, but not taken up since, 18 and of course we have a lot more experience with 19 auctions since.

Third is the various issues associated with the DTV transition, among them being multicast must carry and vouchers and how those will be implemented and how they'll affect the availability of a marketplace that's diverse and thus can stimulate and allow the modernization of new companies that serve

1 those markets.

And fourth and last is whether DTV channels could possibly be sold, licensed to, and so forth socially and economically disadvantaged businesses or those who have not had the opportunity to develop programming assets and to be able to control them, especially in television. There are many more, but in the interest of time, that's just to give a flavor of some of the wealth of unaddressed matters that in its discretion this Committee might consider.

11 MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Mr. Honig.

12 Mr. Hood?

MR. HOOD: Yes. First of all, I'm Rodney Hood. I'm the Vice Chairman of the National Credit Union Administration. We are the regulatory body that oversees 8,600 credit unions in the United States. I have been in financial services for I guess about 20 years.

I would like to leverage a lot of the energy that I've devoted to providing access to capital. I'd like to leverage a lot of that with this Committee as you all seek to empower minority groups and women and individuals who really want to be active participants in the digital communications age. So whatever input I can provide not only from the regulatory side but

also from having access to banks and credit unions,
 I'd like to work with the Committee in again helping
 provide access to capital.

I think that's something that will really be helpful as we assist budding entrepreneurs. Thank you. And also, I'd like to thank Chairman Martin for this wonderful invitation to be with you all.

8 MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Mr. Hood.9 Ms. Klein?

MS. KLEIN: Good morning. I'm Becky Klein, MS. KLEIN: Good morning. I'm Becky Klein, and I'm a Managing Partner of Loeffler Tuggey Pauerstein Rosenthal Law Firm both in Texas and in Washington, D.C., former State Utility Regulator, and Very honored and privileged to be here.

And I do not have some crystallized ideas And I do not have some crystallized ideas about what to focus on, but very anxious, as my colleagues have indicated already, to learn about what ideas have worked, what ideas have been left pending, as Mr. Honig has already made us aware of, and where we might want to prioritize this group's focus and vision for the next two years. So thank you, and I look forward to working with each of you.

MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Ms. Klein.
Ms. Fox?
MS. FOX: Hi. I'm Susan Fox. I'm with

Disney-ABC here in Washington, and I want to thank the
 Commission for reconstituting the Committee and I'll
 also say that we're pleased to be a part of it.

My colleague, Bob Mendez, who's centered in Los Angeles, is going to be a member of the Committee. He runs on diversity efforts for ABC and our media properties, which many of you are acquainted with. Bob actually testified at one of the recent hearings out in Los Angeles in August about some of the work that he's done at ABC.

I want to sort of follow on from David's thoughts and Lisa's thoughts. I was part of the Committee last time. Alex Wallou, who is a Business Executive with the network, was part of the Committee Is last time, and we spent a lot of time with the work that is done with the last Committee.

And I agree that I think the best place to start is either with the best practices that have been developed and how to communicate them, making sure that the work that has been done has been communicated and the relevant folks and the relevant business lines are aware of it.

23 Secondly, as David said, taking on the 24 recommendations. I think we all know in the world we 25 live in how much effort it takes to get from a

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

34

recommendation to a policy and trying to look through
 them what's prioritizing and figuring out a plan of
 action from there. So those are my opening thoughts,
 and other than that, we're just pleased to be a part
 of the Committee again.

6 MS. FOWLKES: Thank you.

7 Mr. Navarro?

8 MR. NAVARRO: Thank you for allowing me to 9 be here this morning. Max Navarro. I'm Chairman of 10 the Board for Operational Technologies. It's a 11 minority firm based in San Antonio, Texas. I'm 12 looking forward to serving on the Committee.

David, you have a whole slew of resolutions David, you have a whole slew of resolutions here that somehow never got adopted, so I'm looking forward to finding out more about the resolutions here perhaps on a priority basis.

But just like Becky and Sheba, I'm anxious But just like Becky and Sheba, I'm anxious l8 to learn, so I'll just reserve some comments until I have the opportunity to review some of the past resolutions or perhaps discussions with new technology coming onboard. Thank you.

22 MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Mr. Navarro.

23 Mr. Schwartzman?

24 MR. SCHWARTZMAN: Hi. I'm Andy Schwartzman. 25 I'm President and CEO of the Media Access Project,

1 which is a nonprofit public interest law firm. Both 2 at Media Access Project and before that at the United 3 Church of Christ Office of Communication, I've worked 4 on empowerment of minorities and women for 35 years, 5 so I'm very pleased to be able to have the opportunity 6 to participate in this Advisory Committee's 7 activities.

8 I guess the thing that I would emphasize is 9 of the items mentioned thus far, the one that could 10 have the greatest impact so far as I'm aware and the 11 one that is most achievable is a recommendation 12 pursuing the existing recommendation to reestablish 13 the minority tax certificate policy. And I certainly 14 will press for this Advisory Committee to play an 15 active role in that.

16 With respect to other initiatives, I 17 certainly want to get the input from the other 18 members. I might, depending on receptivity, want to 19 pursue avenues for empowering minorities and women in 20 the broadband area through municipal ownership and 21 particularly through obtaining greater amounts of 22 unlicensed spectrum for broadband use. And I'll be 23 happy to work with my colleagues on those as well. 24 MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Mr. Schwartzman. 25 Ms. Sweeney?

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

MS. SWEENEY: Good morning. I apologize for my scratchy voice. I'm getting over a cold. My name is Tara Sweeney, and I'm the Director of Government Affairs for Arctic Slope Regional Corporation whose headquarters are in Barrow, Alaska. I'm an Inupiaq Eskimo and a shareholder of ASRC as well, and I appreciate the opportunity to serve on this Diversity Committee.

9 I do not have specific recommendations at 10 this time. This is an area that's extremely new to 11 me. I'm probably a freshman, a greenhorn, with 12 respect to FCC issues, so I'm more than willing to 13 roll up my sleeves and get to work and contribute to 14 the business at hand. Thank you.

15 MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Ms. Sweeney.

16 Mr. Winston?

MR. WINSTON: Good morning, I'm Jim Winston. I'm the Executive Director of the National Association of Black Owned Broadcasters, commonly referred to as NABOB. NABOB is a trade association representing the interests of African-American owners of radio and television stations. It was formed in 1976 and has been intimately involved in promoting minority ownership here at the FCC in all of the various proceedings that David listed over there over the

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 years.

And I want to begin by welcoming all of our new members to the Committee. I think you'll see from just a little bit of information that's in the folder here the Committee has done a lot of very useful and valuable work. The information in your folders does not contain all of the recommendations by any stretch that the Committee has made.

9 And I think you will want to read if you go 10 to the FCC website, as Lisa had said, there's a lot 11 more information there on the recommendations in 12 greater detail. And I think I would recommend that 13 all new members go there and start getting acquainted 14 with that information because I do think the first 15 place for this Committee to begin is with the 16 recommendations that have already been made by this 17 Committee and that we should try to seek 18 implementation of some or all of them.

I want to reiterate what my good friend, Andy Schwartzman, has just said. The most important recommendation that has come out of this Committee that could have a meaningful change in the broadcast industry is the reintroduction of the tax certificate policy. For those of you who are not familiar with the policy, I'll simply describe it very briefly.

1 The tax certificate policy allowed a seller 2 of a broadcast property, and that included radio, 3 television, and cable television, to defer the capital 4 gains on the sale of such a property if that property 5 were sold to a company controlled by minorities. That 6 policy was the single most effective policy for 7 promotion of minority ownership for just African-8 Americans.

9 From 1978 when it was adopted, we saw the 10 number of African-American owned broadcast stations go 11 from 1 television station and about 40 radio stations 12 to 240 radio stations and 20 television stations by 13 the time the policy was unfortunately repealed by 14 Congress in 1995. So the problem we have here at this 15 Committee is that, as Lisa said, the FCC can control 16 those things that are within its purview.

17 Reinstatement of the tax certificate policy 18 requires an act of Congress. So we can't get to the 19 finish line from this Committee, but I think a 20 continuing voice from this Committee of the importance 21 of reinstatement of that policy can have some 22 influence as we approach the 110th Congress in 23 January. So with that, I again thank you all for 24 joining us today.

25 MS. FOWLKES: Before we move on to Ms.

Lucey, we have Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein here,
 and he would like to give some remarks to the
 Committee.

Commissioner Adelstein?

4

5 MR. ADELSTEIN: Well, thank you, Lisa, and 6 thank you all so much for volunteering your time and 7 effort to this endeavor, which I think is really an 8 important one. I just want to welcome you all here. 9 I see a lot of old friends and some old members of the 10 Committee, some new members, and so there's some 11 changes going on.

I'm really pleased that Chairman Martin decided to recharter this Committee because it's done such good work in the past, and it has so much I think to offer us on issues that are really fundamental to what we do here. Of course, Henry Rivera I understand hope he's okay. I hear he's doing well.

He managed to survive having chaired some official FCC field hearings for us, and if he made it through those and he could handle all those country stars and TV stars, I think he certainly is up to the task here of doing this. But I just wanted to drop by. I'm about to skip town for the holidays. I swanted to stop by here before I left to say how

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

important the work you do is and to thank you all for
 being willing to do it.

I know how busy you all are in your own careers, and I think it's important that we do take the time to do this because it's really fundamental to the role of the Commission. What you're doing, it really is ensconced in the principal purpose of the Commission as it was enacted by the Communications Act of 1934, which if you look at the first sentence of Section 1 of the Communications Act it talks about the purpose of this Commission being to make the public airwaves available to all Americans.

And that section talks about avoiding And that section talks about avoiding discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, or sex. Sadly, I think the Commission hasn't always lived up to this congressional, constitutional, and moral mandate as much as it could, but having this Committee here I think is a signal that we're serious about it, that we're going to do everything we can, and I think that this Committee and your input is desperately needed today as we try to confront these really fundamental issues to our mission and to what should be the mission of this country.

And you've really done a great job in the 25 past. The earlier Committee I think did a wonderful

1 job of putting things together, but I think we have a 2 long way to go before we can honestly say that we've 3 really achieved the goals of diversity and fairness 4 and equity in all of the many industries that we oversee.

5 Now, I know that the predecessor Committee 6 had a lot of thoughtful ideas, and you've probably 7 talked about a lot of them already this morning. I 8 know I heard Dave a little bit upstairs, and he was 9 going through the amazing list of things that we have 10 seen you propose in the past.

11 Recommendations on increasing minority and 12 women's access to capital, which you've been talking 13 about also I think this morning, lowering barriers to 14 entry, improving the FCC policy to facilitate greater 15 minority participation and greater minority ownership, 16 all incredibly important goals.

I don't know if the Commission has been as responsive as it could be to these things. I'm hopeful that we will be going forward. And that's where you all really come in. The fact that you've been rechartered, the Chairman is showing a real commitment to these issues as a result of that. I think that you really need to have a

24 constructive and meaningful dialogue with each of us 25 and of course with our staff because we really, I

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

think all of us here, all the Commissioners from the
 Chairman and everybody else as well as the staff,
 really have a commitment to trying to make sure that
 we do achieve the goals of the Communications Act.

5 That we do a better job of fostering 6 diversity and making sure that all of the industries 7 that we oversee and the content that they work with 8 reflects the great diversity of this country, which I 9 really believe is our strength and why we are in so 10 many ways leaders around the world in so many fronts. 11 So we really want to do that job. We need your help. 12 I think you need to reach out to all of us.

Come up and bother each and every one of us whenever you can, myself included. But I think to make visits individually when you're here and all of the individual Commissioners and with the staff that you know and the different bureaus really trying to reach out and let us know because if you can figure out what it is that we're thinking about, it really will help you to fill us in on areas that you can help us.

And of course we need to always remember the many great ideas that you've come up with in the past. You need to review those and help us I think prioritize what we need to be focusing on and

1 educating us about how we can move forward. With
2 those ideas and new ideas that you come up with, we
3 have a lot of great, great material there to work with
4 and a lot of experience here on this Committee that we
5 can draw from.

6 So I just wanted to thank you and tell you 7 how much I look forward to working with you over the 8 coming months. And please do feel free to contact me 9 or my office anytime for anything you need or just to 10 talk about these issues and how we can be helpful in 11 trying to move forward on the goals that we all share. 12 So happy holidays, everyone, if I don't see

13 you until next year. But it's been great working with 14 many of you in the past. I look forward to working 15 with this wonderful Committee going forward.

MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Commissioner
Adelstein, and particularly thank you for stopping by
today.

MR. ADELSTEIN: Good luck, everybody. Thank20 you. Happy holidays.

MS. FOWLKES: Okay. Lastly but certainly not least, we have Ann Lucey, who is representing Showtime on behalf of Matthew Blank, who could not be here today.

25 Ms. Lucey?

1 MS. LUCEY: Yes. Thank you, Lisa. Matt was 2 part of the original charter last time and assigned 3 Ray Gutierrez, Head of HR for Showtime, to help out in 4 the Best Practices document that came out in career 5 advancement. I want to echo I think what Susan was 6 referencing, or maybe just said point blank, is that I 7 think what we need is to get these kinds of things out 8 there now, not just have them as documents sitting 9 there and thinking that people are going to come to 10 the documents.

I think we need to take the documents to people and really spread the word and make sure there's an educational training component perhaps, sort of à la MSRC, the Best Practices that came out of that Committee where there was I think an attempt to reach out to the industry through the trade associations and make sure that people knew and know what's in these documents and actually put them into practice.

20 So I think the new and emerging technologies 21 area seems like really fertile ground for further 22 study and areas that we can look into, and we stand 23 ready to pitch in and help in any way we can. Thank 24 you.

25 MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Ms. Lucey. Next

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 what I'd like to do is now that everyone has

2 introduced himself, herself, everyone's had a chance 3 to talk about things that they think the Committee 4 should focus on, I want to just focus a little bit on 5 some next steps. You've heard a lot of talk about 6 informal subcommittees, informal working groups, both 7 from Paula and various members with respect to the 8 previously chartered Diversity Committees.

9 This Committee will also have some informal 10 subcommittees to help facilitate the Committee's work. 11 Henry's intention is to at this point establish two 12 informal working groups. And what we would like to do 13 is just get your sense of whether or not you have any 14 additional suggestions either regarding the creation 15 of these two that I'm about to describe as well as any 16 others you think Henry should consider establishing.

One of the informal working groups that he's proposing to establish is the Access to Capital Group. And under the previously chartered Diversity Committee, this Committee was actually known as the Financial Issues Group.

The Subcommittee would have four objectives: Identify the obstacles to capital access faced by minorities and women in the telecommunications industry; assess current practices regarding access to

capital; develop recommendations and identify best
 practices to address these obstacles; and focus both
 on industry-specific measures as well as issues that
 extend across the telecommunications sectors.

5 The second Subcommittee relates to an issue 6 I think probably all of you raised in your remarks, 7 and that has to do with new technologies. And this 8 Subcommittee would have two objectives: assess what 9 ownership and career advancement opportunities are 10 available in new and emerging technologies such as 11 broadband, digital television, digital cable, 12 satellite and so forth, and the convergence of these 13 technologies. That would be one objective.

14 The second one would be to develop 15 recommendations for facilitating opportunities for 16 minorities and women in new industries as they form. Thus far, those are the two informal working groups or 17 informal subcommittees that Henry has been thinking 18 about establishing. There were two others that he had 19 under the previously chartered Diversity Committee. 20 One was career advancement, and one was 21 dealing with transactional transparency issues. 22 Ι

23 think his sense was that for the most part, those two
24 informal working groups pretty much completed their
25 task under the previously chartered Diversity

1 Committee.

But certainly he wanted to get some idea from all of you whether or not you thought these two were just okay, whether or not people thought he should rethink reestablishing the two others that I've just mentioned, or whether there's something totally new that you think should be established.

8 Ms. Klein?

9 MS. KLEIN: Lisa, I would fully concur with 10 Henry's recommendations for those two Committees. It 11 sounds like just in the bit of conversation we've had 12 today that there's still a good amount of work to be 13 done in those areas.

But one thought came to mind -- and this is a raw thought I put on the table and it really is something that, Susan, you had mentioned -- and that ris, would it make sense to create a third committee that really cuts across these two having to do with promoting awareness however it's labeled, External Affairs or Marketing, but heightening awareness and figuring out strategies that are effective for this community across the nation given the recommendations that come out of the Access to Capital Working Group and the New Technologies Working Group? So just a thought to toss around.

1 MS. FOWLKES: So just flushing out things, 2 would the focus of this particular informal working 3 group be to figure out recommendations or ideas by 4 which the Commission could market somebody's best 5 practices or do outreach or come up with some type of 6 outreach plan that could be used to promote best 7 practices that the Committee has developed?

8 MS. KLEIN: Precisely. How the Commission 9 itself, the FCC, can leverage these recommendations 10 that the Commission ends up adopting, but also other 11 strategies, whether there are particular people across 12 industry sectors that can help the Commission in 13 promoting and really drilling down into the women and 14 minority community that these tools are available and 15 that there is something here to provide help to 16 increase the representation.

17 MS. FOWLKES: Any other thoughts?

18 MR. JONES: Just to add a thought.

19 MS. FOWLKES: Is this Mr. Jones?

20 MR. JONES: Yes.

21 MS. FOWLKES: Okay.

22 MR. JONES: Yes. This is Paul Jones. I'm 23 sorry. Just to add a thought to that good suggestion 24 is that to the extent that an action like encouraging 25 Congress to consider new legislation around a minority

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 tax certificate idea would gain momentum and support 2 through a committee that has as its primary objective 3 increasing awareness beyond Congress, but with 4 initiatives that would target the encouragement of 5 Congress to adopt a new piece of legislation as well. 6 MS. FOWLKES: Okay. Any other thoughts? 7 Yes, Mr. Navarro.

8 MR. NAVARRO: When does Henry -- does he 9 have a timetable when the establishment of the 10 Subcommittees will be made? And do members -- will 11 they be able to provide their preference on what 12 committees they may want to serve on?

MS. FOWLKES: You're getting ahead of me.
MR. NAVARRO: You made the appointments
already?

16 MS. FOWLKES: No. Basically what he had intended to do at this meeting, what he's asked me to 17 do, is lay out the two that he's thought about and 18 19 basically get feedback from all of you, get feedback on any other informal working groups or informal 20 21 subcommittees that you think should be established. 22 And I will of course take those ideas back to him. 23 Also, when I say "you're getting ahead of 24 me" because the next question I was going to ask or 25 the next thing that I was going to say is, depending

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 on what informal working groups are actually

2 established -- and I think with respect to the two I 3 mentioned, unless there's some big objection here, 4 he'll probably go on ahead and establish those; others 5 I will have to take back to him and see where we land 6 -- but with the two, if there's a particular one or 7 both that you want to be considered for in terms of 8 working on, you should let me know that so that I can 9 pass that on to him.

Because I think what Henry is looking to do Because I think what Henry is looking to do is probably in the next couple of weeks move forward in terms of, okay, here are informal working groups; here are the people that are serving on each informal working group; here's the Chair of the informal sworking groups; okay, everybody, let's get to work.

And I think he's looking to do that in the next couple of weeks, but he wanted me to get the feedback from you guys from this meeting today about the two that he thought about, whether there should be any others. And certainly either during this meeting or after this meeting if there's a particular subcommittee that you want to serve on, you can let me know that either here or you can send me an email or whatever. So he's looking to do all of that quickly. MR. NAVARRO: Okay.

MS. FOWLKES: Any other ideas or feedback?
 Come on.

3 MS. LUCEY: I like the idea of an Outreach 4 Committee or Subcommittee. And just to reiterate what 5 I was saying, just not to let the work of the past 6 Committee just sit there. And so if we can find ways 7 to help the FCC disseminate this and get people 8 involved, I think it's maybe a good idea to have some 9 kind of committee that does outreach on the past work 10 and maybe thinks about going forward how to publicize 11 and make known whatever is going to come out of this 12 Committee.

MS. FOWLKES: Okay. Are there any other --14 I'm sorry. David?

MR. HONIG: I had the benefit of talking through with Henry the recommendations in the past and this Committee structure, and everything that has been voted out and everything that remains pending in fact does fit within this two-working-group or subcommittee structure. The idea of having an Outreach Committee is a very good one because there really are three stories that haven't been told apparently well enough, or we would have already had much more of this work done.

25 One is kind of why this is so important a

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

subject, which you can never say enough of, and what
 is the business case for this; second is, what
 resources are available; and third is, what works?
 And we didn't set out when we began to address those
 questions because our plate was full with kind of just
 figuring out what might be necessary.

Now that we've done probably 70 or 80
8 percent of figuring that out, the moment really is
9 right for this kind of outreach-type working group,
10 and I think it's a wonderful idea.

MS. FOWLKES: Okay. Just so I have it correctly, the purpose of this Outreach Committee -is this the right description -- developing recommendations for conducting outreach on best practices and other initiatives to address the issues of diversity of ownership and management in the communications sector. Is that accurate, or is it something else?

MS. KLEIN: That describes it, wouldn't you 20 say?

21 MS. FOX: Yes, I think also the Advisory 22 Committee itself could explore ways to make its prior 23 work more accessible and have more people in the 24 industry have a greater level of knowledge about the 25 tools that are already there, because we've developed

quite a tool set; we just don't know that enough
 people know about it.

I also think David makes an excellent point on the business case, which is a very important thing to establish for companies especially who may not have established practices in this area.

7 MS. FOWLKES: Okay. Are there any other8 ideas for any other type of -- Jim?

9 MR. WINSTON: And I'm sure we've had this 10 described to us previously, but it's probably worth 11 repeating for the new members and to refresh my 12 recollection. The recommendations of the Committee 13 are already set forth. Many of them request specific 14 action by the Commission.

15 MS. FOWLKES: Yes.

16 MR. WINSTON: What is the state of the 17 Commission's review of those, and what should we 18 expect as the next steps there?

MS. FOWLKES: What I can tell you at this point is that they are under review at the Commission. The Commission's looking at them to determine what steps it would need to take to implement them. So they're looking at each of them and trying to make a determination of what steps that it would need to take in order to implement them.

1 MR. WINSTON: Is that happening through an 2 internal committee? Is that disbursed among the 3 bureaus? How is that being effected?

MS. FOWLKES: I think given the number of issues and the different areas that the issues cover, it's in different parts of the Commission. I can try to find out more regarding that.

8 MR. WINSTON: Okay. And my last question 9 would be, is there anything that this Committee can do 10 to help that internal review?

11 MS. FOWLKES: I can check into that.

12 Any other questions or comments? First of 13 all, is everyone pretty much in agreement regarding 14 establishing the Access to Capital and the New 15 Technologies informal working groups?

16 How about you guys on the phone?

MS. BRENNAN: Hi. This is Maria. I think that it's right on, otherwise I promise you I would be commenting.

20 MS. FOWLKES: Okay.

21 MS. BRENNAN: And I do like the idea of also 22 having a structure that looks at the previous work as 23 well.

24 MR. JONES: Paul Jones here. I think those 25 are the right committees with the addition of the

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 Outreach Committee as well.

2 MS. FOWLKES: Okay. Are there any other 3 ideas that -- I'm sorry.

4 MR. HONIG: Just a point of clarification. 5 The terminology that you're using is "informal working 6 groups." How does that differ procedurally from a 7 "subcommittee"?

8 MS. FOWLKES: It doesn't. I'm doing that 9 probably because this Committee has always called it a 10 "subcommittee," but there are other committees that 11 I've worked on that have called it "informal working 12 group." It's the same thing. They perform the same 13 function.

And I think in that handout that I included about the Federal Advisory Committee, I think I wrote something like "informal working group" or "subcommittee." So I tend to use them interchangeably because this is my second Advisory Committee and I'm now trying to switch over to a term that some of you have used in the past regarding the subcommittees, but it's the same thing. There's no difference. It's just a difference in term.

23 MR. HONIG: So the way that the 24 subcommittees proceeded in the past was that of course 25 they were able to meet informally; they didn't require

1 Federal Register notification; often the meetings were
2 by conference call; everyone on the Committee and the
3 subject matter experts, there were about 20 or so of
4 them, were invited to all of them; but the protocol
5 that the Chair of the Full Committee established was
6 that before the Full Committee would vote on a
7 recommendation, it needed to be vetted and voted out
8 and kind of sent up to the Full Committee by one of
9 the subcommittees I think with one exception, which
10 was an emergency item.

11 No. I think even that one actually came out 12 of two subcommittees. All of the recommendations were 13 voted on by subcommittees first. And in fact, all of 14 them were voted on without dissent. And the idea was 15 that if we couldn't get everyone to agree, something 16 was wrong, so we needed to fix it so that anything 17 that the Full Committee voted on would have had 18 unanimous approval except for maybe people who had to 19 abstain.

Is that kind of the way that you're thinking 21 we ought to continue?

MS. FOWLKES: I think that's certainly one way to do it. I don't want to get out in front of Henry, who's the Chair of the Committee, in terms of how he wants things to come up for the Committee's

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 consideration.

But certainly what you're describing is typically one way that an informal subcommittee would work is in that they would get together, do the research, talk through the particular issue, talk through a proposed recommendation, and basically once they've reached some type of consensus, they may, coordinating with the Chairperson of the Committee and then the Chairperson coordinating with me as DFO, get they is a genda to be raised with the Committee.

12 So that's certainly one way in which that 13 can be done. I would assume that that is probably a 14 structure that Henry has in mind, but I don't want to 15 get out in front of him in terms of saying, yes, 16 definitely that's how it's going to be done. But as I 17 said, that's certainly one method in which advisory 18 committees have used to get things up before the 19 Committee.

20 Any other questions, suggestions on the 21 informal subcommittee? Any other ideas for any other 22 informal subcommittees that you think should be added 23 at this time? Okay.

MR. HONIG: One thing that actually just coccurred to me as a follow-up to Jim's point regarding

1 the recommendations that have already been voted out 2 that the Commission has under consideration. It may 3 be that some of us, including the new members who have 4 special expertise as to many of these recommendations, 5 might volunteer to serve as liaisons should that be 6 deemed useful in talking them through with Commission 7 staff people to facilitate the review and 8 consideration of some of them.

9 MS. FOWLKES: So your suggestion is perhaps 10 some of the Committee members who were involved in the 11 previously chartered Committee could sort of act as 12 liaisons to the Commission staff as their --

13 MR. HONIG: Or new members who have14 expertise as to some of these.

15 MS. FOWLKES: Okay. All right. I'll take 16 that back. Okay.

17 MS. BRENNAN: Lisa?

18 MS. FOWLKES: Yes?

MS. BRENNAN: Sorry to interrupt the flow, but this is Maria Brennan. I have a hard stop at 11:30, so I have to drop off. I thank you all, and I look forward to working with each of you.

MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, Maria.
MS. BRENNAN: Bye-bye.
MS. FOWLKES: Bye-bye. At this point, do

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 any of you have in your mind an idea as to whether or 2 not you would want to be assigned to these two 3 Committees? If so, I can take that back to Henry as 4 well. MALE VOICE: Yes. 5 Capital. 6 MR. HOOD: Yes. I'd love to be on the 7 Capital Committee. 8 MS. FOWLKES: Okay. 9 MS. KLEIN: I would, too. 10 MR. NAVARRO: If appropriate, I would like 11 to serve on the Promotion Technologies Subcommittee. MS. FOWLKES: Okay. 12 13 MS. CHACKO: I have a hard stop as well, so 14 thank you very much. 15 MS. FOWLKES: Okay. Yes. Anyone else? 16 MS. SWEENEY: Technologies. 17 MS. FOWLKES: Okay. Anyone else? 18 MS. LUCEY: There may be a third, though? 19 MS. FOWLKES: There may be a third. Basically I have to take the idea back to Henry, and 20 21 then Henry and I have to have a conversation. I have 22 to also run it through on my end since under the 23 Federal Advisory Committee Act, the FCC has to okay 24 any changes to the structure. 25 So I'll take that back to Henry as soon as

1 possible, and we'll let you know if we establish that 2 last committee and then people can tell me if they 3 want to be on it. Anybody else have an idea at this 4 point?

5 MR. JONES: Paul Jones here. No ideas, but 6 I would love to serve on the Technology Committee but 7 would be open to serving wherever Henry thinks I can 8 be helpful.

9 MS. FOWLKES: Okay. All right. Is there 10 anything else? Is there any other business that we 11 need to address? Any other questions that we need to 12 address at this point? No?

13 (No response.)

MS. FOWLKES: Okay. Once again, I'd like to MS. FOWLKES: Okay. Once again, I'd like to thank all of you for taking the time out particularly during the holidays to come to this meeting. I look forward to working with all of you. And those of you who are having to travel back to somewhere, please have a safe travel back to your homes. Once again, thank you.

21 ALL: Thank you.

22 MS. FOWLKES: Meeting is adjourned. 23 (Whereupon, at 11:35 a.m., the meeting in

24 the above-entitled matter was concluded.)

25 //

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

DOCKET NO: --CASE TITLE: Diversity Committee Meeting HEARING DATE: December 21, 2006 LOCATION: Washington, D.C.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date: December 21, 2006

Mona McClellan Official Reporter Heritage Reporting Corporation Suite 600 1220 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-4018

Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888