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MS. KREISMAN: Good morning, Chairman Copps, and Chairman Rivera. Lucky I have two chairmen here.

Welcome, everyone, to the first meeting of the Advisory Committee for Diversity in the Digital Age, under it's newly-reconstituted charter. Thank you for taking time from your busy schedules to be here this morning and also to take time to telephone in.

You have a packet of information before you, and it has in it most of the information that we've already emailed, but there is updated contact information, updated email lists, and I'm sure I've missed some, and there might be some on there that you don't want to be on there. Please email me and let me know so $I$ can contact you in a way that you find appropriate.

Also, we've added to the packet

1 our new DTB brochures. As you know, we are
2 focused on this DTB transition, so anything
3 you guys can help, although it's outside the
4 authority of this Committee, we'd appreciate
5 any help you can. And those brochures are
6 both in English and in Spanish.

8 over to Chairman Rivera.

21 move forward to enhance the -- and redress the
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thanks, Barbara.
Barbara, as you all may know, is our
Designated Federal Officer, so we will be working with her quite closely. You will be working with her quite closely.

I've just wanted to add my welcome to Barbara's, and add my gratitude as well. You all are going to work very hard, and I'll tell you that in advance.

We've got a lot to do, and really appreciate your willingness to take your time and your talent and to lend it to us as we issues around diversity in the ownership of

With that, I'd like to turn this

1 telecommunication facilities.

3 with you. I think we have a great

5 Commissioners who are ready, willing and able
6 to move this agenda, so we've -- we've got a
7 tremendous opportunity in front of us, and I
8 hope that we -- and I know that we will grab
9 the brass ring here. So, I look forward to 10 working with all of you.

22 you want to go ahead and --

1

2

21 from Google.
Media Bureau.

Center.

Showtime.

MS. JOHNSON: Sure. Jamila-Bess
Johnson, Media Bureau.
MR. RATCLIFFE: Bob Ratcliffe,

MS. WILLIAMS: Carolyn Fleming-
Williams. I'm the Director of OCBO.
MS. NARASAKI: Karen Narasaki,
President of the Asian-American Justice

MR. HODGES: Good morning. My
name is Howie Hodges, and I'm with Oje Economy
Corporation here representing our CEO, Ray
Ramsey. And we bring the power of broadband
to low-income communities. We're a nonprofit.
MS. NEWMAN: Hi. I'm Melissa
Newman, and I'm with Qwest.
MS. LUCEY: Ann Lucey with CBS
Corporation, representing Matt Blank from

MR. WINGO: Hi. I'm Harry Wingo

MS. DOZIER: Hi. I'm Erin Dozier

1 from the National Association of Broadcasters.
2 I'm temporarily representing Jane Mago of the
3 NAB, who should be here in a few moments.

4

5 I'm the publisher of the Afro-American
6 Newspapers.
MR. OLIVER: Hi. I'm Jake Oliver.

MS. STEPHENS-GRAHAM: Hi. Good morning. I'm Anita Stephens-Graham. I'm a partner with Opportunity Capital Partners. It's a private equity firm. And I'm also the chairman of the National Association of Investment Companies.

MR. ALARCON: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Raul Alarcon from Spanish Broadcasting System, the largest Hispanicowned radio and TV entity in the United States.

MS. LEE: Hi. I'm Debbie Lee.
I'm chairman and CEO of BET Networks, which is a large global multimedia company, targeted to African-Americans.

MR. SCHWARTZMAN: I'm Andy

1 Schwartzman, and I'm president and CEO of the
2 Media Access Project.

4 I'm president and CEO of the Joint Center for
5 Political and Economic Studies.

7 Kathy Brown from Verizon. I'm happy to be 8 here.

MR. ASSEY: Good morning. I'm
James Assey with the National Cable and
Telecommunications Association.
MR. WARFIELD: Good morning.
Charles Warfield, president and COO for ICBC
Broadcast Holdings, Inc.
MR. BLACKWELL: Good morning. My
name is Geoffrey Blackwell. I'm with
Chickasaw Nation Industries. I'm here also because of my involvement with the National Congress of American Indians, Native Public Media and the National Federation of Community

MR. JOHNSON: Good morning,

1 everyone. My name is Ronald Johnson, and I
2 represent and own Ronson Network Services
3 Corporation and we work with infrastructure,
4 telecom companies around America. Thank you.

6 executive director of the Minority Media and
7 Telecommunications Council.

8
9

21 Brennan, president of American Women in Radio
22 and Television. And I want to know who we

1 thank for the coffee this morning.

4 never to ask again.

6 everyone. I'm Michelle Ellison, and I'm the
7 Acting General Counsel for the FCC.
8
MS. KREISMAN: The FCC approved it. They never do. But only if I promised

MS. ELLISON: Good morning,

MR. BRIOCHE: Rudy Brioche with
the Commissioner Adelstein with the FCC.
ACTING CHAIRMAN COPPS: Mike
Copps.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Well, thank you, everyone. It's -- as you can see, it's a very talented group.

MS. KREISMAN: Phone.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Oh, phone.
Excuse me. Exactly. And Jane's here, Jane Mago. Welcome, Jane. We're glad you made it.

Folks on the phone, could you identify yourself, please.

MR. DE LA VEGA: Good morning, Henry, this is Ralph De La Vega from AT\&T

1 Mobility.

3 Ralph.
4

14 Michael.
please. stations.
you.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you,

MS. PATRICK: Susan Patrick from
Patrick Communications.
MR. ROBERTS: Michael Roberts,
Roberts Broadcasting.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Say that again,

MR. ROBERTS: Michael Roberts,
Roberts Broadcasting. I own three power TV

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you,

MR. ROBERTS: All right. Thank

MR. BLANK: Matt Blank, CEO, Showtime Networks.

MS. ALONZO: Jenny Alonzo, Mio.TV.
MS. CAMPBELL-WILLIAMS: Leonie
Campbell-Williams, Director of Communications
for the Asian-American Justice Center.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Ray Gutierrez, representing Matt Blank, Showtime Network.

MS. SUTTER: Diane Sutter,
Shooting Star Broadcasting and Dean of the
Broadcast Leadership Training Program for the NAB.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Anyone else?
MR. HOOD: Rodney Hood, National
Credit Union Administration.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Hi, Rodney.
MR. HOOD: Good morning, Henry.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Anybody else on the phone?

MR. HILLARD: Yes. Steve Hillard with Council Tree Communications.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Hi, Steve.
MR. HILLARD: Good morning.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Anyone else on
the phone?
(No verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: All right.
Well, thank you for joining us on the phone.

1 I know Jenny Alonzo tried to make her airplane
2 in New York, and it didn't go, and the next
3 flight was full, so she turned around and went
4 back to the office. So, we appreciate her
5 making the effort and being with us on the
6 telephone.

8 Henry.

11 very talented group, and we are looking
12 forward to making use of all of your

20 many, many, many years, and it's really
21 gratifying to see Geoffrey here. So, thanks
22 for being here, Geoffrey.

And with that, I will yield the floor to Chairman Copps.

ACTING CHAIRMAN COPPS: Well, thank you, Henry, and good morning all. Let me be the first to welcome you to this initial meeting of our re-Charted Diversity Advisory

I want to thank each of you for being willing to step up to the plate and, for your service, I know how vital this work is, not only to me and to the FCC, but really to all of the American people.

And I know how busy you all are.
You know, there's an old saying, "When you want something done, ask a busy person." And that's what we're -- we're doing here.

When I was over at the Commerce Department during the Clinton Administration, I had the privilege of running and overseeing the Advisory Committees over there. There were a bunch of them.

We could not have done our work

1 without the input of those Advisory
2 Committees, and I really saluted the
3 willingness of folks to sacrifice and step up
4 to the plate and give of their time and use
5 their resources to come to Washington and to
6 do their jobs, and in return you deserve to be
7 heard and your recommendations need to be 8 seriously considered.

11 are new to the Committee. We are really
Many of you have previously served on this Committee. Welcome back. Some of you excited about you being here. This Committee has always cared very, very deeply about the issues and has been willing to step up to the plate for years.

So, what has changed is not so much the composition or the bent, really, of the Committee, but the priority that your issues we're going to have here at the FCC. You will be heard.

The sad truth is that these issues haven't been the priority they should have

1 been around here for the last eight years or
2 so. It is time to turn the page. We are
3 turning the page.
4 I wanted to express special thanks
5 to my friend and former FCC Commissioner,
6 Henry Rivera, for his willingness to chair
7 this Committee one more time and for the
8 vision and leadership he has brought to these
9 issues through a long and distinguished
10 career, both in government and out.
Henry brings a wealth of vision and experience and practical good judgment that has served this Committee very well in the past, and I know will do so again. So, thanks to you, my friend, for stepping up and doing this again.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you, Mr.
Chair.
ACTING CHAIRMAN COPPS: We are all here because we know that American's strength is its diversity. America will succeed in the 21st Century, not in spite of its diversity,

1 but because of its diversity.

5 indeed, our very democracy depend upon it.

7 have been one of my top priorities for the
8 nearly eight years I have been here, and while
9 I have been Acting Chair for the last three 10 months, even with the unprecedented effort 11 that we are making on DTB transition, this

We must nurture the individual
talents and genius and creativity of all of our people. Our economic well-being and, And that's why diversity issues effort that you're involved in is one that simply can wait no longer.

So, I have a challenge to you this
morning. It's to be bold, to take these issues and run with them. This is not a ceremonial appointment. This is your chance to make a real and lasting difference, and I hope that you will set an aggressive agenda for yourselves and that you will not hesitate to propose aggressive actions.

I do have a couple of requests.

1 First, along with whatever else you decide to
2 take up, I ask you to take an immediate look
3 at some pressing issues on minority and female
4 ownership of the media so that the Commission
5 can have the benefit of your advice and
6 counsel as we move forward to correct the
7 neglect of so many years.

8

9

I won't go into a lot of detail about the shameful state of affairs in which we find ourselves, although I certainly could. A couple of statistics quickly tell the story clearly enough in a country that is now more than one-third minority, people of color own just over three percent of full-power commercial television stations. Three percent.

Is it any wonder that issues of interest to the minority community so often do not get the attention that they deserve and is it any wonder that minorities are so often stereotyped in caricature, and that positive contributions made by the minority community

1 to our culture and our country are so often
2 overlooked.

4 is also dismal. Women are 51 percent of our
5 population, yet they own 5.8 percent of those
6 TV stations I talked about, and only about six
7 percent of radios. That is not acceptable,
8 either.

21 late 2007 to the realization that we didn't
22 have the record, wouldn't that have been the

1 time to launch the Adarand Studies that are
2 legally-necessary to justify a more targeted 3 approach.

5 we have the factual record to justify a more
6 targeted approach to assess the ongoing
7 usefulness of previous Adarand Studies and to
8 recommend any additional studies that you
9 think may be necessary to help us legally
10 sustain our work going forward.

11

We need your help in making sure

I also hope you will give us a recommendation concerning a possible interim method that has been suggested for increasing diversity, something called full-file review.

The Commission needs to be on solid footing if we're going to think about implementing something like that. I know the prior Diversity Committee did some excellent work in both these areas, the Adarand Studies and the full-file review.

And I understand that your very able Chairman has already distributed a

1 memorandum to you discussing these issues. I
2 need you to address any further concerns as
3 has been raised by any other group, and I
4 think that this collection of folks with this
5 years of experience may think of some other
6 questions to ask or T's that need to be
7 crossed, or I's dotted.

8

I want your best judgment on how we can make aggressive action sustainable and on full-file review, I want your judgment on whether and if so we might implement it on an interim basis while more targeted approaches are being considered by this Committee and by the full Commission.

I reject the notion that we must choose between rules that are effective and rules that are judicially sustainable. We understand and appreciate the sensitivity of the courts, but that doesn't mean we have to be shy of taking any kind of positive action at all, and too often we have been shy.

We can and we must have policies

1 that are both bold and legally sustainable.
2 And we need to act quickly. We're fortunate
3 in this country right now, I think, that a
4 window of reform has been pried open, but
5 history teaches that you never know how long
6 those windows are going to stay open before
7 they close again.

8

9 I would like to have the Committee's
10 recommendations on the Adarand Studies and
11 full-file review, certainly, within the next 12 four months.

14 about broadband and other priority here at the 15 FCC. Congress has given the FCC one of the

So, I know it's asking a lot, but

Let me add just a word or two most important and far-reaching jobs we have ever had, and that is to develop at long-last, a national broadband plan for the United States of America. It's something I've been calling for for eight years.

We have a long, long way to go to
put American at the forefront of broadband

1 penetration and broadband use, but it's a
2 challenge we need to meet. These are, after
3 all, the opportunity-creating tools of the
4 21st Century, and getting them out to all our
5 people -- and I always underline that word,
6 "all."
No matter who you are or where you
8 live or what the circumstances of your
9 individual lives may be, you've got to have
10 access to these opportunity-creating tools.
11 This is simply the most important
12 infrastructure challenge that faces this
13 generation of Americans.

21 country needs to be on the receiving end, not
So, I hope this Committee will
also take an active role in helping us develop a national broadband plan -- we have to submit it to Congress by next February -- that will bring affordable value-laden broadband to all of our citizens.

As I said, everyone in this only of these wonderful new technology tools,

1 but the other point is, everyone in this
2 country should have equal opportunity to help
3 build and manage the infrastructure itself.

5 that I do not expect this Committee to
6 address. That would be the fairness doctrine.
7 I almost hesitate to mention it, because it
8 seems so obvious. But apparently there are
9 some who remain confused. I hope not

21 wrong of generations of denied opportunity.
So, those who claim that promoting diversity and addressing the woeful effects of past discrimination are the equivalent of bringing back the fairness doctrine understanding neither the fairness doctrine nor more importantly, the lack of opportunity that minorities and women have when it comes to owning and operating the enterprises that allow us to communicate with one another. What you are about is righting the When all the statistics show us still heading

1 in the wrong direction, most people without an
2 axe to grind appreciate the wisdom of that old
3 adage, "Justice delayed is justice denied."
4 Resurrecting the straw man of a
5 bygone fairness doctrine to deflect this
6 country's passage to equal opportunity is a
7 kind of issue-mongering that has no place in
8 the United States of American in the 21st
9 Century.

11 here. It's within sight now. After years of 12 not so benign neglect, we are on the cusp of 13 actually moving forwards a media that can 14 reflect this nation's wonderful diversity.

21 Jamila-Bess Johnson and Carolyn Williams from
So, again, thank you for taking this on. If there's anything you need along the way, please ask. Barbara Kreisman, our FCC team are excited about the process of

1 working with you and making sure you get the
2 help you need in order to do your job. And I
3 thank them for their willingness to help you.
4 And I think most of you know, my
5 door is always open. I look eagerly forward
6 to the critical advice that I am confident you
7 will provide, the sooner, the better.

8
9 Your recommendations will receive immediate 10 attention by me and my colleagues. We won't

11 let your recommendations gather dust this time 12 around.

18 Chairman.

21 Mr. Chairman. That's music to our ears. It
So, thanks again for being part of what I think is going to be something historic, not just in the annals of the FCC, but hopefully for the country, too.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you, Mr.
(Applause.)
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Well, thank you, really is, and we appreciate the sentiments

1 you've expressed and the inspirational message
2 that you've given today.

6 thank Chairman Copps for such a moving and
7 inspiring set of comments. I think it's very
8 refreshing to have someone leading the FCC to
9 deliver such a bold set of comments and I very
10 much appreciate that.

21 several Advisory Committees and all of these Brioche, and I serve as Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein's legal advisor on media issues. I'd like to extend Commissioner Adelstein's heart-felt disappointment for not being able to join you here today, this first meeting of the newly re-chartered Advisory Committee on diversity issues concerning the digital age.

As you all know, the FCC has Advisory Committees serve an important role

1 advising and proposing policy solutions and
2 recommendations to the Commission.

5 recommendations and proposals have fallen on
6 deaf ears for many years. They have either
7 been filed in a folder marked "No further
8 discussion necessary," or they've been left to
9 collect dust.
But, as the Chairman has said, unfortunately, many of these policy

The numerous forward thinking and progressive consensus proposals that this very Committee has worked very hard to develop over a decade only saw the light of day and were only considered when it was politically expedient to do so.

In other words, as the Third Circuit Court of Appeals said in the landmark "Prometheus Decision," the FCC's action or failure to act has been inconsistent with our obligation to promote spectrum available to all people without any discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, sex.

As Chairman Copps has said and as many of you have said throughout the movement, justice delayed is, indeed, justice denied.

So, we're pleased that now the Commission, under new, bold, committed and purposeful leadership of the Chairman and the new direction of the White House, it is now time for us to roll up our sleeves and first to get to work.

However, in order for us to do anything meaningful and to have a chance of withstanding any strict scrutiny of the D.C. Circuit and the Supreme Court, we must first define the current state of minority participation in communication, particularly in the media space.

That's why Commissioner Adelstein is pleased that the Commission has launched a proceeding to update our Form 323, and to gather reliable data on the precise nature of minority and female ownership in broadcasting.

We need to develop recommendations

1 on the state of the past Commission's Adarand
2 Decisions, the nature of the scope and
3 methodology of any further studies and updates
4 and we need to develop recommendations on a
5 full-file review process that the FCC can
6 implement on an interim basis as the
7 Chairman's proposed, and as you have proposed
8 and have considered previously.

11 need to look to reform the FCC's actions
12 concerning advanced services as well.
But in addressing the inequities of broadcasting is only the first step. We

For instance, last year, in the 700 MHZ auction, women-owned bidders failed to win any licenses and minority-owned bidders won less than one percent of all licenses in this rather historic auction. And this is despite the fact that women constitute over half of the population and minorities, onethird of the population.

As Commissioner Adelstein said then, and I quote, "It's appalling that women

1 and minorities were virtually shut out of this
2 monumental auction. It's an outrage that the
3 FCC has failed to counter the legacy of
4 discrimination that has kept women and
5 minority from owning their fair share of the 6 public spectrum.

7 "Here we had an enormous
8 opportunity to open the airways to a new
9 generation that reflects a diversity of
10 America and, instead, we just made a bad
11 situation even worse."

21 Chairman Rivera and many of you here, experts
In closing, he said, "This gives a whole new meaning of the term 'white spaces' in public spectrum."

The result of the 700 MHz auction were unconscionable, disappointing and contrary to the statutory obligation of the Commission.

We believe that the Advisory
Committee as it is constituted today, led by and practitioners in law, business,

1 broadcasting, that we can now begin to make
2 real, meaningful, lasting changes.

4 Adelstein, than you for volunteering the time,
5 since I'm aware that no one is getting paid
6 for this, at least by the FCC. 8 we look forward to working with you and our

9 doors are always open, so please feel free to 10 contact us whenever you need anything, and 11 we'll be as responsible as possible. So,

12 thank you very much.

21 are just some technical matters that I think
But, thank you for your time and

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you, Rudy.
Appreciate the good remarks, and please tell the Commission we missed him.

All right. Do you want to go
through this section, Barbara?
MS. KREISMAN: Is Paula here?
Paula, why don't you come on up. Paula is with the General Counsel's Office, and there it would be good to just mention briefly. We

1 won't take a lot of time on this.

3 call to your attention the charter, which is
4 a very short document, three pages, and it
5 would be good to read it, because that really 6 is very broad.

8 look at it, because that is the scope of what 9 we're supposed to be doing here at the

10 Committee. And again, it's written in a very
11 broad manner.

21 free-flowing discussion in private with
But, first of all, I'd like to

But, at your convenience, take a

Paula is going to give you a briefing, but the bottom line is that there is a whole Federal Advisory Committee Act that dictates how we proceed. And the bottom line is that, is that any recommendations by this full Committee is done in a public manner so the public has input, the public sees what's going on.

However, you are entitled to a respect to any of your working groups so that

1 you have to worry about that being publicized.
2 You are free to give your opinion, and there's
3 across, and back-and-forth.
4 And Paula is going to give you the
5 professional way to handle this.
6 MS. SILBERTHAU: Barbara has now
7 stolen my thunder.

8

9
10
11

MS. KREISMAN: Sorry.
MS. SILBERTHAU: She just
summarized the critical facts here. There is a statute. It's very short. It governs FACA operations and the guiding principles are openness in government, diversity in membership -- which is clearly satisfied here -- and public accountability.

And those principles illuminate the few rules that are established to carry out FACA. The first major point is that the FACA meetings, like this, have to be open, have to be available to the public.

It has to be timely noticed. You can't just call a meeting tomorrow, because

1 that's sort of inconsistent with providing
2 advance notice, and this agency does all it
3 can to make the notices available to everyone,
4 even beyond what is legally-required.

6 is: What are meetings? And meetings are not
7 just meetings in places like this. They could
8 be meetings by teleconference. It could be
9 meetings by video conference, and it could be

21 arises is coordination with the DFO. It's
22
One question that arises sometimes meetings by internet communications.

So, that's something, you know, to keep in mind. You can't sort of -- not that anyone would want to, but you can't just sort of set up a chat room and have live communications back and forth and say, aha!

That's not a meeting because we're not there personally. Obviously that doesn't work, and it would be inconsistent with the spirit of FACA and the letter of the law.

The other question that sometimes very important to remember we can't call

1 impromptu meetings, and that the times and the
2 places have to be coordinated, in this case, 3 with Barbara.

4 And that actually goes for the
5 subcommittees as well. Subcommittees need to
6 be the agendas and the timing need to be
7 coordinated through the FCC representative.
8 And sometimes there's confusion on that,
9 because people think it's just a subcommittee,
10 it's not official.
But, in terms of -- there are looser rules, but in terms of the coordination of the meetings and setting things up and what you're going to do, that should be done through the DFO.

Some other minor points. The minutes of the meeting are kept open to ensure public access, and the chairperson has to certify the accuracy of the minutes. And again, this is so that if someone wants to come and just find out what's going on, members of the public, they can do that.

Meetings can only be closed in extreme cases with the approval of the head of the agency. I don't think that's going to be a major issue here. There are some groups where there are discussion of trade secrets or classified governmental materials, national security matters. In those rare instances with advance approval, meetings can be closed.

A reminder that the records are kept open and that they're subject to also disclosure under FOIA, and all documents that are submitted are maintained by the FCC. On the key point of the difference between the full meetings of the FACA which have to be open and the functioning of working groups, there is an exception in FACA to some of the openness requirements for working groups, but to make sure that that -- that these exceptions are maintained, the Act looks at how the groups function.

And typically, working groups can gather information, develop working plans, do

1 studies, draft reports and discuss preliminary
2 fundings, but the subcommittees cannot speak
3 on behalf of the FACA, and therefore, anything
4 that's developed by your working groups goes
5 up to the full Committee, and everything needs
6 to be fully debated at the full Committee
7 level because obviously in the working groups
8 you don't have everyone's input.
So, the key thing that courts look at is, is the working group just preliminary or are its actions being debated at the full FACA level, and basically the test is, are the working groups acting like a FACA or are they, you know -- and are their actions being rubber stamped.

You don't want rubber stamps. You want everything to come up to this entire group to be evaluated and formalized.

I think the other point on that is that the subcommittees shouldn't be making direct recommendations to the FCC. It's just a subcommittee report. Again, it flows

1 through the full Committee.

3 working groups, generally our advice is just
4 try to set them up so that it's not a quorum
5 of the members. Because, if you start having
6 so many people in a working group, just -- you
7 know, it starts looking like a full Committee.

9 in mind as you're setting the groups up.

11 even though all of you are members of the
And in terms of the size of the kno

So, that's just something to keep

Finally, just to remind you that FACA's, you're still subject to ex parte rules as individuals. So, if there is a communication with the Commission or with agency staff about the merits of a pending rulemaking, you don't sort of get a free pass in that regard for being a member of the FACA. If you do communicate in any
capacity on the merits of an ongoing rulemaking, you would need to follow the ex parte rules and within 24 hours provide a written communication -- you know, file

1 something that demonstrates that you have had
2 that communication with the staff or the
3 Commission.
4
And I think those are the key
5 points.

6
7 Paula?

8
9 So the ex parte question, so that means if we 10 discuss something in this room like today,

11 that the subject of a proceeding with the
12 Commission, would you file an ex parte about

21 know, well-advised.
22
MS. DOZIER: May I ask a question.

1 Like in an open forum there's generally an
2 exception under the ex parte rules when it's
3 an open and public forum, that if there's a
4 presentation that's made, that that does not
5 require an ex parte filing, would that not be
6 the case in the course of this meeting as
7 well?

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thanks, Michele.
Thanks, Paula.

1
2
3 you who don't know, Jane Mago is a former
4 Designated Federal Officer of this Committee.
5 So, she -- yes, the original. Right.

9 are available on the web, I think. We are -10 the public is here. The press is here. So

11 you might bear that in mind as you want to
12 formulate your thoughts.
MS. SILBERTHAU: Okay.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: And for those of Okay. I just wanted to mention a couple of things building and what Paula said. Our meetings here are public, as she said. We

We have a website. You might want to check into our website. It's on the FCC master site, and you will go from there into the Federal Advisory Committee section and to our website.

So, all of our stuff is going to be there, so anytime you need something, check there.

I just want to reiterate that the decisionmaking process, in the past what we

1 have done is divided ourselves into
2 subcommittees or task forces, depending on the
3 area that we're working on, and I will ask you
4 to, once we set those up, and we'll discuss
5 that in a minute, I'll ask you to decide what
6 subcommittees you'd like to be on.

9 want to be on all of them, that's fine. If
10 you want to be on one of them, that's fine.
If I don't hear from you about your preference, I'll assign you to one, and then if you have second thoughts about that, we can discuss moving you to another one.

So, the subcommittees are the folks who -- that's really where the work gets done. That's where the rubber meets the road in terms of the way we get things done. They produce reports and recommendations to the full Committee.

They use -- they can make use of people who are not on the Advisory Committee.

1 We call those people subject matter experts.
2 You can bring them in to talk to them. You
3 can talk to them individually, and they will
4 help you formulate the recommendation.

6 the full Committee where the subcommittees
7 makes a report, and then the full Committee
8 votes on the recommendation and discusses it.

21 subcommittees. We will assign specific topics
So, that's sort of the way we make decisions. And I think I've talked about the subject matter experts and so forth. Okay. Are there any questions about any of this?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: It sounds a little more complicated than it is, but once we get -- roll up our sleeves and get to work, it works pretty well.

We will have -- we will designate
chairmen of these subcommittees to call meetings and to move the agenda of the to these subcommittees to work on, and there

1 will be deadlines and so forth.

3 recommendation from us on full-file review and
4 the Adarand Studies no later than September,
5 so the subcommittees that will be working on
6 those recommendations has got to get cracking.

9 that would be pretty tough for all of us to do 10 that. But -- so, he relented to 90 days. But

11 you can see, he's very intent on getting our
12 recommendations with regard to those
As you heard, the Chairman wants a We -- he wanted to make it 90 days, and I told him that was the summer and particular things.

I have told you by email that I would bring these two issues up, and I've sent you a recommendation that this Committee sent to the Commission in, I believe it was October of last year.

Is that right, David? Was it October? Yes. On full-file review. And I'm going to ask Joycelyn James to come over here. Joycelyn, where are

1 you? You can sit right here. And just give
2 you a synopsis of that document and the work
3 of the Committee that worked and produced this
4 really fine piece of paper.

7 good piece of work.
8
9 because she was the rapporteur for that

21 Commission adopted an Eligible Entities
If you haven't had an opportunity to look at it, I commend it to you. It's a

I've asked Joycelyn to do this subcommittees, and she has done a lot of work on it. So, Joycelyn, the floor is yours. MS. KREISMAN: The report is in your packet if you want the full report. MS. JAMES: Good morning, everyone. As Henry just mentioned, I assisted the eligible entity subcommittees last year with the preparation of this eligibility subcommittees report and recommendation.

And, as you're aware, the last year in the Broadcast Diversity Order, the paradigm that mirrored the small business

1 definition. And many commenters felt that
2 that definition was too dilute.

4 subcommittees was to explore other paradigms
5 that could increase -- do better to increase
6 ownership for minorities and women-owned
7 licensees.

8
9 subcommittees interviewed subject matter
10 experts in constitutional law and education
11 policy, and in FCC practice and procedure, and 12 came to the conclusion that, a socially and

21 has rebuttable presumptions, something that
And so, to that end, the economically disadvantaged business definition would do more to increase FCC licensees for minorities and women. However, there did not seem to be enough data to base such a definition at that time.

We hope to have one with
flexibility similar to the Department of Transportation's that does not use quotas, it could stand constitutional review.

2 based on current data before the agency at the
3 time. And as we're aware, the Chairman just
4 mentioned, the FCC does not necessarily have
5 that current data right now. We have Section
6257 reports from 2000.
However, those programs all were

There was some reports done in 2007 -- that were issued in 2007, but many researchers found that the data the FCC provided, that they based these reports on was woefully inadequate.

So, what they proposed to do at that time was to look at full-file review. And what full-file review is, is an admissions program that States such as California and Texas adopted after those states passed legislation that barred race-based academic admissions.

And what that does is, it looks at the entire application. It looks at the applicant's entire application for factors of diversity that do not include that -- are not

1 base solely on race. Race is still a factor, 2 but it is not the sole determining factor.

5 FCC practice and procedure and concluded that
6 it could be done if the proper -- with the
7 proper administration. And there are a lot of
8 questions that would have to be answered, and
9 many of those questions are found in page, I

11 report.

21 scrutiny is set up at this point is that you
22 have to -- an agency needs to pursue race-

1 neutral proposals before it can pursue race-
2 based proposals.

4 have just been adopted last year. Some of
5 them have not been implemented, so the
6 Commission will now need time to assess how
7 that implementation is going, how these race-
8 neutral proposals are increasing minority and
9 female ownership before it can get to a point

18 to -- for the Commission to continue to
So, at this point, these proposals where it can justify having an SDB definition.

In the interim, the subcommittees suggested that it adopt full-file review, and full-file review does not trigger the same type of race-based scrutiny that an SDB program would because it is not -- it does not turn on race as the sole determining factor.

So, the final recommendation was collect data on minority and female ownership, and to initiate notice and comment on fullfile review, based on some of the questions provided in the report.

2 Joycelyn. Very nice job. Appreciate it.
3 Don't go anywhere.

4

5 Joycelyn, about this?

7 initiatives that have been adopted by the
8 Commission, an evaluation of those and a
9 determination of whether they're working, and
10 from there, if they're not working, the
11 Commission could move into a race-based type

21 be a matter for further discussion with the
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you,

Are there any questions for

So, it's basically race-neutral of criteria if it were backed up by appropriate studies.

In the interim, the Commission could move to this full-file review process.

Susan.
MS. FOX: One question --
MR. DE LA VEGA: Henry, this is
Ralph De La Vega. I have a question for you.
MS. FOX: -- which is -- this may Commission. Do you, or does anyone have a

1 sense for the race-neutral initiatives that
2 have already been adopted, but not
3 implemented, when they will be implemented, or
4 what the time frame is there, because that
5 obviously affects --

6
7
8

9

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Right.
MS. FOX: -- everything else.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Right. David, do you have an answer to that?

MR. HONIG: Yes.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Okay.
MR. HONIG: Well, of the initiatives that the FCC adopted in December 2007 that went into effect July 15th, 2008, the most important was the advertizing nondiscrimination rule, which certainly is focused on trying to eliminate a major market entry barrier that's persisted for many years.

There is, as yet, no person on the Commission staff assigned to implement this rule, and the way the rule is written, it really turns upon an obligation that adheres

1 at license renewals, so it would take a few
2 years probably to implement it for best
3 practices to be designed and for the
4 Commission to be able to measure its impact.

5
6 years, realistically, as well as many of the
7 other initiatives that were adopted.
8

21 one other question, but -- so, were there any
I also wanted to just add that full-file review can be designed in a way which is -- in which race, itself, is actually not a factor. It can be designed either way.

For example, it can look at whether an applicant for a program has overcome disadvantages which could include race discrimination or many other factors, the overcoming of which is predictive of success. That's a race-neutral criteria.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Is that responsive?

MS. COOK-BUSH: Well, I just had policies adopted that went to the question

1 related to ownership?

3 discrimination really goes to existing
4 broadcasters and existing ownership, but were
5 there any policies adopted that go to the
6 question of, you know, using for example, the
7 DOT definition to see whether or not that had
8 a positive impact on a number of new entrants
9 that resulted.
In other words, the advertizing

MR. HONIG: Sure. Just to give a few of the examples, the Commission in 2007 -and all of these were on the recommendation of this Diversity Committee -- relaxed the equity debt-plus rule in order to try and bring the broadcast seller paper market back.

It announced that it would waive the construction permit extension rule. If you could get a longer period to build-out a station if you sold it to or if you were a small business.

And it restored the distress sale policy in more dilute form, but still it's

1 there in the event that there's a hearing and 2 someone loses their license, but then they can 3 sell to a small business for a discount.

These are examples of initiatives
5 which are probably modest in scope. They are
6 race-neutral. There are a number of them, and
7 an assessment would need to be made as to
8 these and others as to how effective that they 9 are.

11 have one sort of follow-up question which is

MS. JAMES: Now. The issue with
adopting the DOT policies is that the DOT

1 policies were based on studies that the --
2 that Congress had in front of it that was --
3 and at this point, the Commission does not
4 have current studies.

6 there's no expiration date on the staleness of
7 data, but courts have said that it is better
8 for an agency to have -- to make decisions on
9 current, reliable data.

11 is ten years old. As I mentioned, the data,
MR. DE LA VEGA: It's my question,
Henry.

1
2 ahead.

4 is, since the prior Committee recommended that
5 data be refreshed, has anything else been done
6 about that or has that been sitting since
7 October with no action taken, how long will it
8 take to get the studies done and how much will
9 they cost?

11 take that, David?

21 ownership.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Okay. Yes, go

MR. DE LA VEGA: About that issue

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Do you want to

MR. HONIG: In everyone's packet today is the text of an order that the Commission released two days ago. This is the Form 323 report, the fourth report in order, also acting on a recommendation that this Committee made to revise the format for collecting data on broadcast station ownership, just to get a good photograph at a point in time by race and gender of broadcast

So, that has just occurred. It's

1 a fine order, and certainly the studies that
2 could be based on data such as that which will
3 be elicited by the reports submitted by
4 broadcasters in response to this order are yet
5 to be forthcoming.

7 that question? I'm sorry.

8
9

21 of collecting current, reliable data. La Vega.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Okay. Ralph. Thank you.

MR. DE LA VEGA: So does this order then begin the process of getting the data that the prior Committee recommended so that the approach that SDB recommends could perhaps be implemented in the future? Is it sufficient to take that kind of scrutiny?

MS. JAMES: Yes, it does.
MR. DE LA VEGA: Okay.
MS. JAMES: It begins the process

MR. DE LA VEGA: And when do we

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Yes. Who asked

MR. DE LA VEGA: That was Ralph De

1 think that data will be collected? When will
2 that be finished?

4 the order, broadcasters have to submit their
5 reports in November, I believe, November of
6 this year, and every two years after that. Is
7 that correct?

8
9

11 very much.

21 forward, $I$ think that the scope of the data
22 needs to eventually go beyond just the

1 broadcast ownership area.

5 That's a very good point and those kinds of
6 things, hopefully, will be dealt with at the
7 Committee level, subcommittee level, and then 8 brought to us in a new recommendation.

21 data is collected this year, how long will it
And, you know, do we have plans to try to go that route?

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you.

Any other questions on it?
Yes, Gary.
MS. NARASAKI: I apologize if it's in our packet, but I'm hoping that we could get a list of all of the recommendations that were made and which ones are in progress, and how long they're going to take and why recommendations that were not adopted, why they were not adopted. In other words, are there things that we should be considering now.

And, the second thing is, if the take to have enough data to do the studies

1 that would be required to be able to follow
2 what the Department of Transportation does?

8 And we can get you a more comprehensive list.
9 Or, actually, it's on the website. You can 10 access it yourself.

In terms of why certain recommendations were not adopted, won't be able to answer that question. Don't know -don't know the answer.

Do one of you want to take the how long is it going to take?

MR. HONIG: The Form 323, of course, is just for broadcasting. There is also not especially good data for telecom, and there would need to be another proceeding on collecting that data as well, and for cable and for the other industries.

2 probably could be produced based on it,
3 assuming the resources are available in six to
4 nine months, including a period at the end for
5 peer review and for presentation and
6 discussion here.

11 we're -- how old will we be then? Probably

21 appropriate for a subcommittees, but I think
Once that data exists, studies

Implementation of new regulations or deregulation based upon those reports add another nine months or so, and then evaluation of the programs, add perhaps a year. So, about two or three years, total. It's in the best case.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: It's not a short-term fix.

Yes, Jim. I'm sorry.
MR. WINSTON: Given the timetable that Dave just talked about, I think one of the things we might want to consider and, as you said, these issues are probably we need to look at the possibility of the

1 Commission commissioning an outside study of
2 ownership statistics, separate and apart from
3 the 323 and separate and apart from any other
4 proceeding they do for telecom, but I think --
5 and, you know, an outside entity with the --
6 you know, objective of collecting the data as
7 opposed to analyzing the data. I think that
8 might be a place to begin.

21 Studies document that I sent you in concept.

1 but Joycelyn, can you summarize that for the
2 folks.

4 this I mentioned earlier regarding where we 5 are with the studies, and as I mentioned, as 6 most of us are aware Adarand v. Pena made it 7 so that race-based regulations are subject to 8 strict scrutiny and must be narrowly tailored 9 to meet a compelling government interest.

21 mentioned -- as I mentioned -- yes. We have 22

MS. JAMES: Okay. Yes. Some of And the issue in front of us is the narrow tailoring as far as the regulations go. The subcommittees identified numerous possible compelling government interest that a full-file review could meet.

But the issue we're having is the narrow tailoring, and in order for something to be narrowly tailored, there has to be -the agency will have to have the proper data in front of it.

And -- let's see. I think I -- the FCC has not exhausted its race-neutral

1 alternatives. And before you can consider any
2 race-based proposals the Supreme Court has
3 determined that you have to exhaust the race-
4 neutral alternatives.

6 race-neutral proposals are currently waiting
7 to be implemented and they have not been
As we discussed earlier those
-a

$$
8 \text { implemented. }
$$

So, after these race-neutral
alternatives have been implemented when the Commission has had time to assess how valuable they were as far as increasing minority and female ownership, then you can turn to racebased alternatives.

And those race-conscious programs such as the SDB programs have withstood strict scrutiny when the government has actual record evidence of disparities.

And as I mentioned before at this time, the government has evidence of those disparities, but the evidence is stale, and would not likely stand the strict scrutiny

1 review that current courts are applying to
2 race-based measures.

4 Thank you, Joycelyn.

6 right. Thank you very much. Nicely done.

9 Rob.

21 started on.
So, I'm delighted you all are

1 coming back together, and it's great to see so
2 many faces here. Many of them familiar, some
3 new, and that's great.
4
I think it's important to note
5 that as I have read about this Committee
6 reconvening from many different sources, that
7 if there are folks who want to be on this
8 Committee who aren't, please contact my
9 office, and we want to keep this Diversity
10 Committee as diverse as possible from all --
11 any definition of that word.

12
So -- but, please do contact me because this is rechartered for a couple more years, but the door doesn't have to close on who's going to have a say here. So, I think it's very important.

I really do look forward to the advice and the debate that this Committee will put forth, so thank you very much for signing on to commit your heart and soul and brains to this endeavor, and many thanks to the Chairman here for his continued leadership.

1
2 active supporter on several initiatives to
3 support new and existing minority
4 broadcasters, including of course, the ban on
5 advertizing, the discrimination in
6 advertizing, in other words, the bar against
7 any -- no urban, no Spanish dictates.

9 before the current economic slump that that might have added another hundred million dollars into the pockets of broadcasters who desperately needed it. And I think that was very, very important to do.

Also, I think relaxing certain
attribution rules to encourage greater investment in licenses controlled by small businesses, including those owned by women and people of color. I think that has been a priority as well.

You know, this week one of my all time heros passed away, Jack Kemp. And I have a former staffer, my chief of staff, Angela

1 Giancarlo used to work for Jack Kemp, and one
2 of the many things he used to say was, "You 3 can't have capitalism without capital."

And so I think for this Committee
5 we need to really put a priority on access to
6 capital and what we can do. I think we have
7 a tremendous opportunity in the midst of this
8 economic hail storm when there are
9 broadcasters who will be going out of business
10 or having to conduct fire sales, to make
11 lemonade out of those lemons.

21 initiatives the FCC can do is more important.
What can we do if those assets are being put up for sale, to see what we can do to encourage small businesses of all kinds, but especially those owned -- to be owned, hopefully by women and people of color.

The bottom line there, though, comes from what we discussed last summer, access to capital, and how do you do that. So, I don't think, really, any So, let's figure out how we can do this, and

1 this isn't going to be easy.

5 answer to that question we will be able to
6 solve these problems.

21 Thank you all very much.
I don't have the magic bullets for you, but I do want to work with you on that issue, because I think that once we have an

So, any case, I will keep it short -- or maybe I've already blown that opportunity. Sorry about that -- and let you carry on with your good work and thank you all very much. Look forward to working with you. And, of course, all of you know -or if you don't, you should, Rosemary Harold, right there, my legal advisor for all things media, and again, if there folks who want to serve in this Committee who are not on this Committee, please let her know, in particular.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Good work.
Thank you.
COMMISSIONER McDOWELL: Good work.
(Applause.) 4 the -- I wanted -- I've asked David to give us 5 a brief reprise of what the Commission -- this 6 Committee, excuse me, has been doing in its

7 past two iterations, and he's not going to 8 tell us everything, but sort of hit some high

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you, Commissioner. We appreciate those words.

All right. As I was about to say, points, I think.

So, David.
MR. HONIG: Thank you, Henry. On the Commission's website there is a comprehensive list, as well as copies of all of the recommendations that the Committee, since 2003, has taken up and adopted and considered.

I think this -- Barbara has distributed sort of a Cliff Notes version that we put together, and just to highlight four big points.

The Committee has not just adopted recommendations. It's also thought through

1 issues in a way in which those who don't make
2 decisions on the 8th floor could use them. It
3 has issued two comprehensive reports on
4 workplace diversity, including a very thorough
5 best practices book.

6
7 report we've spoken about on the definition of
8 eligible entities and full-file review. It
9 held, on July 29th, 2008, in New York City at
10 the Schomburg Center for African-American
11 history and culture, the first conference on 12 access to capital that the Commission has held 13 in about 30 years, and that was really held at

14 the initiative of Commissioner Deborah Taylor 15 Tate.

21 that the Commission should be looking at its
And fourth, and just the day-today work of the Committee has really been to follow though in the spirit of Section 257 of the Telecommunications Act, and it's 47 USC 257 Codified, which adopted in 1996, provides rules comprehensively to determine whether any

1 of them may be imposing barriers to entry,
2 particularly but not exclusively with respect 3 to participation by minorities and women, and 4 where necessary, lift those barriers.

6 report on that to Congress every three years.
7 This is actually a triennial year, 2009, when
8 such a report will be due at the end of the 9 year.

11 been rather prolific. It's offered nine

21 transactional nondiscrimination rule that

## And the Diversity Committee has

 recommendations that the Commission has approved, most of them in that December 2007 order, some of those that I'd spoken of earlier, as well as 44 recommendations that the Commission still has under consideration. The most significant of the recommendations in terms of potential impact, perhaps, that the Commission has adopted that the Committee recommended was this Commissioner McDowell spoke about, and that1 really, after 24 years crossed the finish line
2 because of his initiative, really, and
3 Commissioner Adelstein's initiative. That's
4 how that happened.

6 we've done. And for the rest of it, if you go
7 to Www.fcc.gov on the right-hand side, hit the
8 link to the Diversity Committee, everything
9 else will pop up.
So, that's a quick summary of what

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Any questions for David about what we've been doing?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: All right. I'd like to throw it open at this point to all of you to get some ideas if you've got any about what you think we ought to be taking up. And again, process would be to move these ideas into subcommittees. We'd form subcommittees, and then move these ideas into subcommittees for work and recommendations to be brought back to this Committee.

So, the floor's open. Jim.

1

2 probably falls under the access to capital
3 subcommittee. As we talk about access to 4 capital in the current recession, one of the

5 problems that is confronting the broadcast
6 industry is existing broadcasters having
7 difficulty with their current financial 8 obligations due to constraints placed upon

9 them by their lenders.
As Commissioner McDowell pointed
11 out, there are companies that are probably

21 many of them that are actually, you know, able
MR. WINSTON: A subject I think going to be going out of business in this recession. Right now it appears that far too many of those companies may be minority-owned companies.

And so, I think that one of the first things we have to look at is how do we save some of the minority companies that already exist.

And what we are finding is that to survive on an operating basis, in spite of

1 the economic downturn are having difficulty
2 with their lenders because of technical
3 defaults, meaning they are out of compliance
4 with their covenants, so that their ratios are 5 out of whack.

9 And many of these lenders, of course, are the 10 same lenders who the Government is bailing out 11 with TARP money, TALF money.

21 something that I'm not exactly sure. Toni
And, you know, supposedly that money was going to make capital available, and instead, these banks are actually tightening constraints on existing borrowers so that -so that we have the exact opposite result, that they are using the taxpayers' money to put some of these important companies out of business.

So, you know, I think that that's Bush and I have been talking about that for

And even though they are making
current payments on their loans, they are being declared in default by their lenders.

1 some time about how we put that in front of
2 the group here, but I want it to be floated 3 out there as something for an agenda item for 4 us to look at.

9 is an important issue and it came up at the
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Terrific.
MR. HONIG: I don't know if Toni wanted to add on that at all.

MS. COOK-BUSH: Well, I think that MMTC policy luncheon with Acting Chairman Copps some time ago, and he did express an interest on that, and I think that would be an important area to move on, and fairly quickly, because I think that we're going to see the negative impact of this fairly soon on minority and woman-owned broadcast stations.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Good idea.
MR. WINSTON: Let me add just one more point, if I may.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Yes.
MR. WINSTON: Because, when you mentioned Chairman Copps -- one of the things

1 that Chairman Copps raised over a year ago in
2 a transaction that was pending before the
3 Commission, was the influence of private
4 equity funds and hedge funds in ownership in
5 the broadcast industry, that because these
6 entities are unregulated on many levels, the
7 Commission had very little information about
8 these entities.

And the hedge funds are very much
a key component of the pressure being placed on minority-owned companies today, and many of them are lenders in these consortiums that broadcast companies have found themselves in.

So, I think a subpart of that question might be looking at private equity and hedge funds and the impact, because their reach seems to be far greater than the Commission may have even discussed in that prior order about a year or so ago.

So, I think that that's something that ought to be added to that subject matter.

MS. COOK-BUSH: And I also want to

1 say that I'm not sure that this is something
2 that -- I mean, while it would be, you know,
3 worthy of a proceeding at the FCC, I really
4 think the issue is much -- is much more
5 imminent and needs to be addressed more
6 quickly.

8 that have been suggested, for example, were
9 for the Chairman to, you know, discuss these
10 issues with the Department of Treasury and
11 other, you know, entities that are looking at
12 it because the reality is, this is a very
13 small subset of, you know, the whole economy,
14 and it's, you know, going to be hard for small
15 station groups to get the attention of, you
16 know, people working on these issues and get
17 any focus on it.

21 discussions. And I know that he's indicated 22 a desire to do that in other contexts, to have

1 more interagency cooperation, you know,
2 related to broadband and other issues.

4 area where, you know, at least those
5 discussions got started fairly quickly, that
6 would be very important.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: All right. We can fast-track that then. Yes.

MR. ALARCON: On this matter,
please, for a moment. And my total agreement with Jim and Toni's comments regarding this question of access to capital, particularly at this time when small broadcasters and minority broadcasters are facing what all of us really are facing in this moment in this economy, but also the effects which I'm sure this Committee has heard about before, and if not, I think it should be brought up.

The question of the new methodology that Arbitron, and particularly in radio I'm speaking of, is using which is a devastating effect to minority radio stations

1 and urban radio.

3 of the -- this looming question of the artist
4 royalty question for radio, which is if,
5 indeed, it is legislated and passed, that's
6 going to be a tremendous hit to minority
7 owners of broadcasting, stations and outlets.

9 the question of the -- the entire question of

11 to this Committee. I'm not sure if there has
And you have also the question now

And so, I couldn't agree more with access to capital. I think -- I mean, I'm new been a subcommittee with respect to this question, but Jim's point about all of these funds going to these institutions, and yet we play such an important role in society, I think, if there is a way for a subcommittee maybe to be created around this question, I think it's an important enough matter that really deserves the attention of the Committee and I absolutely second Jim and Toni's comments regarding that.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you. We

1 had a -- Dave, just a second. We had a
2 recommendation from this Committee on the
3 Arbitron issue which went up to the
4 Commission, and they are apparently in the
5 midst of doing something on it.

8 you to know that we did do something.

21 proportions. foreseeable future.

So, I'm -- that's not to say we couldn't look at it again, but I just wanted

David.
MR. GOODFRIEND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. If I could please go back to something that Rudy Brioche said on behalf of Commissioner Adelstein, looking forward to additional spectrum auctions that this Commission is going to do in the readily

To paraphrase Rudy's comments, the 700 megahertz auction, the biggest in history of that value of spectrum was a travesty. It was a missed opportunity of colossal

And the DE rules were a legally-

1 sanctioned method for addressing all the
2 issues that we're talking about today in the
3 area of minority, women ownership and probably
4 the fastest-growing aspect of the
5 communications space, wireless.

7 make a strong recommendation that any wireless
8 auction from this point forward include
9 strong, meaningful DE rules that have teeth,
10 that have consequence, and that will result in
11 increased ownership of FCC licenses by women 21 consequence whatsoever, those partners were and minorities.

I'll just add one personal note.
I had a front-row seat watching major corporations put together deals in advance of the 700 megahertz auction. Prior to then Chairman Martin releasing the rules for that auction, there were minority firms teed up, ready to partner, part of contractual drafts. When the rules came out with no real DE dropped.

I believe that this Committee must

1
2 happen. If we don't, it won't. This
3 Committee should be unambiguous in making
4 their recommendation.

6 David.

21 Okay. First of all, is it in order to make a
If we want it to happen, it will

Jim go first, because frankly he put it very eloquently and so the issue of access to capital and all of the facets that that entails are very important to membership owners of AWRT as well.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Right. Thank you.

Anybody else? Oh, Andy.
MR. SCHWARTZMAN: Is this
microphone on?
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Yes.
MR. SCHWARTZMAN: Does it matter? general statement in addition to --

1

4 Chairman Copps said. On the internet there
5 have been some attacks on this Committee and
6 its function, and I think the people who would
7 wish to undermine the utility of this
8 Committee are, I would hope, simply being
9 naive and not understanding what's going on 10 here.

21 open and transparent manner, and the CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Of course. MR. SCHWARTZMAN: Okay. Because I would like to just briefly add to what

## This is not an ideological

 exercise. This is a Committee composed of people from the left, right and center. It's nonpartisan, it's substantially similar in membership to the Committee which functioned for several years under the last FCC chairmanship.As has been mentioned, diversity is a core objective of the FCC, its mandate under the Communications Act. This run in an membership of broadcasters, civil rights

1 leaders, telecommunications companies,
2 programmers, investors, entrepreneurs,
3 publishers are here because they have a shared
4 commitment to the ideal that a diverse media
5 and telecommunications ecology promotes
6 democracy as well as prosperity.

And I would hope that, you know, this should be on the record as a Committee that is here to work and is not here to target small broadcasters as has been suggested. It's here to promote the prosperity of the broadcast industry and telecommunications industries in general.

And in that connection, I would want to pick up on something Chairman Copps said or pointed to, which is the Commission's mandate to create a broadband plan for the nation by next February.

It would seem to me that it would be appropriate for this Advisory Committee to make recommendations that would become part of the Commission's deliberations with respect to

1 establishing that broadband plan.

4 programming. Internet radio has become an
5 important supplement to terrestrial
6 broadcasting for niche programming, and I
7 think both need to prosper, but that kind of
8 function is just one example of what broadband
9 deployment can bring.
For example, I think internet
platforms can be used to promote diversity and

And I would hope that one way or another, some consideration and recommendations can come out of this Committee.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you, Andy.
Yes. Kathy.
MS. BROWN: Thank you, Henry.
First, let me thank you for inviting me onto this Committee. I am delighted to be back in this room and I'm delighted to be back with all of you.

Let me just build on Andy's notion around this broadband issue. Verizon is

1 obviously one of the major providers of
2 broadband ultra-fast broadband, wired and 3 wireless.

4
5 outreach to our communities, the way we work
6 with our customers and our employees to a
7 diverse workforce. We have a diverse market,
8 and so this is core to our DNA.

21 the internet world, and think about access of
22 women and minority communities to broadband,
It is committed both in its and

As we think about what's happened over the last ten years, I think the world has opened up in new ways for access for many people, using many different types of technologies.

As we think about and should think about the broadcast area -- and by the way, in my view, the opportunities in a DTB world with more capacity available to each and every broadcaster, and I think we ought to think about that piece in the broadcast world.

But we also need to think about

1 to the internet itself, but also programmers, 2 application providers and the formation of

3 capital in what, if I may call the new media.
And I think and hope that -- I see
5 some folks in the room, I think, who would be
6 interested in perhaps a working group on what
7 that looks like. There's not a lot of data
8 on, for instance, application providers or new
9 content providers in this space.

11 FIOS, for instance, that folks who never had

21 with Andy that it would be appropriate for us
22 to give some -- some thoughts and

1 recommendation into the national broadband
2 agenda around those issues.

11 the eight years of nonaction, but it seems

21 involved and women involved in media is just
MS. LEE: First, I want to second what Jim said earlier about the need to speed up the process in terms of collecting data and providing the Commission a platform to stand on, or a statistical record to stand on.

I mean, we can't do anything about incredible to me that it might take two to three years to correct that problem.

So, anything that we can do to speed that up I think would be very helpful, and I think this Committee should be very vocal about that, and the need for a record, because to -- you know, to use as an excuse that we don't have a record to create innovative mechanisms to get minorities unacceptable.

1
2 the consolidation that's happened in the 3 industry with the lack of minority ownership 4 out there it just shouldn't take that long to 5 put the numbers together.

7 I want to second the thought that we need to 8 look at broadband, and because of this

9 Administration's commitment to it, because of 10 the amount of money that's put aside in the 11 stimulus package, and because it is still the

So, I think, you know, with all

So, that's point one. Point two, broad new frontier, I think it does have a lot of opportunities for minorities and women on the distribution side, on the programming side.

Of course, no one's making a lot of money on it right now, but hopefully down the road there will be more opportunities. But I think a subcommittee really focused on what's going on in the digital environment would be very helpful and is an area where there should be a lot of new opportunities.

Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Yes. Karen.
MS. NARASAKI: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. I want to join in support of both doing something on access to capital. Over the last two years, for the Asian-American community, we've already lost two AsianAmerican channels and not gaining very much in that space, so I really believe that access to capital has been one of the challenges, to keep those fledgling efforts going.

And the second is very much on weighing in on the broadband policy. We've been working with the Urban League, the National Council of La Raza, MMTC and the Joint Center on -- we convened a group of civil rights and activists and data users to talk about broadband in the area of health care and education on the application issues. So, it's both being able to weigh in on the structural and access issues, but I think even more importantly, beginning to look

1 at how we can help support the ability to use
2 niche programming for education purposes to
3 minority communities as well.

4
5 opportunity for minority program developers
6 that we could really look into and help
7 support.

9 Karen.

13 Kathy's remarks about broadband. I think
Ron.
MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, I would like to just follow onto Barbara and before Chairman Copps left the meeting, he made a very profound statement about his desire and the Commission's desire to ensure that all businesses would have the opportunity to get involved in the maintenance and construction side of broadband.

I think this is an area where, when we peel back the onion on this whole matter, you will find that that part of the

1 industry certainly lacks the number of
2 minority and small businesses that we would
3 like to see in the industry.

4
5 and hopefully the part of the Adarand Study
6 that we will be engaged in will look at some
7 disparity issues related to that.
8
9 money, as we all know, on the capital expense 10 side of telecom, and it's that side of telecom

11 where we do not have, in my estimation, a
12 representative number of minority businesses
So, I would think that a part of,

We spent an inordinate amount of engaged.

I think this is also particularly important, given stimulus, where we will spend about $\$ 7.2$ billion at the state and local level with nonprofits and others, with some of the distinguished carriers around this table.

I'm not sure we can wait until a year from now to have something in play that will ensure that these businesses have the opportunity to fully participate.

1
2 4 before the full Committee in short order.

5 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
So, I would hope that we could maybe move this idea to a subcommittee level pretty quickly and try to get some resolution

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thanks, Ron. Good thought. Susan.

MS. FOX: I was just going to make
a point about the studies, to construct from listening to Chairman Copps this morning, is -- and from everybody from Joycelyn's excellent presentation is what is essentially gating action.

And it seems that cross-cutting every one of these Committees, every one of the initiates, what's gating it is studies that would allow the Commission to go further. And a lot of us who were involved in the earlier Adarand studies know that -know their weaknesses, know where they are, and also know that in many ways they weren't as extensive as many of us would have liked to

1 have seen.

So, it seems to me that what we need to do is grow -- take a subgroup of folks who cross-cut all the Committees and create a real priority list of what studies need to be done and have consulting with that group a constitutional scholar, one of Michele's fine people in the General Counsel's Office who could also advise that group on what the Commission really needs and what's possible.

Also, I'd love to see somebody on that Committee who's done these kind of studies before, who may not be in this room, whether it's somebody who is outside of our world doing these large-scale studies, but that be something in the immediate four-month time frame that we're looking at.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: All right.
Thanks. Great thoughts. David -- oh, I'm sorry. David, hang on just minute.

Annette -- Anita. I'm sorry.
MS. STEPHENS-GRAHAM: Thank you,

1 Mr. Chairman. Just a couple of points, kind
2 of going back to the access to capital, and
3 following on a couple of comments that David 4 mentioned.

6 need to be mindful of as we develop these
7 policies is that we don't create the
8 unintended consequences of disincentivizing
9 private capital from financing some of the
10 small businesses which certainly occurred in
11 some of the DE rules that came out.

One of the things that I think we

So, I want to -- I think we should pay attention to making sure that we are looking at those types of consequences.

And, secondly, with respect to broadband, because it is an important issue, and I do think there are opportunities for women and minorities, is to give some thought to creating a more patient capital pool, if you will.

If you think about private equity
in its traditional sense, you know, it's a

1 very, very focused and a very fast investment
2 cycle, if you will, and a very fast -- a very
3 short horizon.
4 And if we're talking about
5 broadband, which on a development scale, moves
6 much slower, you have a lot of ramp-up, and in
7 a marketplace where there's not a lot of
8 appetite for businesses that don't really
9 become profitable fairly quickly, that one of
10 the things we might want to tackle is how do
11 we create some sort of patient capital pool
12 that would be important and an incentive, you
13 know, for women and minority businesses that
14 are trying to take advantage of broadband
15 opportunities.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Right. Thanks,
Anita. Those are great ideas. Did someone have their hand up?

MS. SUTTER: Mr. Chairman, this is
Diane.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Yes. Go ahead, Diane.

2 back on what Anita was saying, I think we need 3 to realize that, when the access to capital en

4 banc hearing was held in New York last year,
5 that the world has dramatically changed since 6 we even held that hearing.

MS. SUTTER: Thank you. To piggy-

And that I think that you have to divide the access to capital into two different categories, both the debt and the equity, because they now have very different questions and concerns and certainly I think we need to look at them separately, as well as together.

The other thing that we have found is that there's an opportunity, I think, in the smaller regional banks which do not understand broadcast and even broadband lending, for potentially for us to take a leadership role in devising some educational tools for them so that they understand the business in a way that causes them to be willing to lend into these industries. We have found that there are still smaller banks and regional banks willing to lend, but do not understand our businesses in a way that we can be helpful in terms of developing perhaps some guidelines, conducting some seminars to help these regional and smaller banks to understand our business.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Good ideas. David.

MR. HONIG: I took the liberty of going through what the Diversity Committee had done and what's been proposed in this area over the past several years to try to identify a number of items, and some of them have just been spoken to, that might be especially appropriate for consideration, and I wanted to just briefly run through these and tell you how long they've been pending.

Now, this is hard to do when you hear the dates. Whether the Commission should allow AM expanded band station owners rather than having to turn in the license to divest

1 them to a small business, pending since 2006.

4 the local ownership rules around the edges if
5 a company makes a corresponding or greater
6 impact incubation, financial or otherwise of
7 a minority or woman-owned, or disadvantaged
8 broadcast company, also pending since 2006.
Whether the Commission should
allow in some instances relaxation of some of Whether the Commission should undertake a process that could lead in the next several years to the transformation of AM stations into FM facilities on what is now TV Channels 5 and 6, pending since last year. Whether the Commission should adopt the recommendations this Committee made with respect to the revising and updating of the Equal Employment rules relating more to retention than recruitment, recommendation made in 2004.

Whether the Commission should enforce the advertizing nondiscrimination rule that was adopted in 2007 and took effect in
12008.

3 the cable minority business and women-owned
4 business contracting rules, adopted in 1973
5 and never enforced, applicable across the
6 board to all of its regulated industries on
7 the principle of platform neutrality, that
8 recommendation taken up and adopted in 2008.

21 the Diversity Committee in 2003, and first
Whether the Commission should make

And I should add that our colleague, Ron Johnson, who spoke, was our subject matter expert in the development of that recommendation.

Whether, just as a legal issue, when the Commission issues a report and order or an NPRM in a major rulemaking or considers a major merger, it should adopt a statement going to whether it would have any intended or unintended impact on minority and women ownership.

That proposal first considered by made by the National Association of Black-

1 Owned Broadcasters in 1990.

3 Commission should consider borrowing from the 4 energy industry, the cap and trade program, a 5 program of credits that could be tradeable and

6 that would represent a company's contribution
7 to diversity as a means of both capital access
8 and diversity promotion, a recommendation made
9 by the Committee in 2004.

11 probably the highest impact ones that are low12 hanging and with potentially great impact, but 13 have not been considered.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: All right.
Thank you, David.
MR. HONIG: God, that was painful.
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Geoff, did you have something?

MR. BLACKWELL: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
(Foreign language spoken)
I wanted to make sure to introduce

1 myself appropriate to my colleagues at this
2 Committee, and thank Chairman Copps and
3 Chairman Rivera, and our friends and
4 colleagues in OGC for carving out a chair for
5 Indian Country at this Committee.
6 I would agree with much of what
7 has been said around this table. There are
8 500, over 560 federally recognized Indian
9 tribes in the United States. There are 310 10 reservations.

By almost any measure of
communications and broadcast we bury the needle in the red -- no pun intended -- on a lack of service, a lack of listener, a lack of viewership, a lack of ownership.

And we would underscore -- I would
underscore the importance of diversity in Indian Country means the ability to care for one's own people, the ability to care, the ability to tell one's story in one's own

And it is in my generation of

1 American Indians that that ability, that
2 notion of home rule has come back to us, and 3 we do not intend to take a step backward in

4 being able to take care of our own children
5 and care for our own public safety.
6 Indeed, what we've learned working
7 with those in industry who would serve us is
8 that because of the challenges of the rules,
9 because of rules that envision a type of
10 competition that cannot be had in remote
11 America, the most remote portions of America, 12 but often falls upon our tribal nations to

13 become carriers of last resort, to be the last 14 sole voice to be able to broadcast to our own 15 peoples in our own language. gritty of certain issues, I would agree with my colleague that has said that we have had an unbelievable mishap in the 700 megahertz.

However, at the same time in the 2007 noncommercial educational FM window there was an extraordinary participation by tribal

1 entities, over 50 applications, over 30
2 construction permits have been granted, and we
3 are encouraged by what we see in the
4 Commission's most recent rule, radio order for
5 the opportunity of a recognition for tribes to
6 serve themselves.

Now, that having been said, I think part of my challenge and my commitment to my colleagues around this table is to try to find a common ground because we are not just a minority entity in Indian Country, we are not just minorities, we also are political entities.

And we're perhaps maybe the most
-- one of the most recognizable minority entities in the United States. I mean, they've put us on the nickel and they've put us on the dollar.

But, at the same time we're perhaps the most misunderstood, because, one, we suffer from age-old stereotypes and new modern stereotypes that just misunderstand the

1 intentions of tribal governments and tribal
2 firms of Native Americans.

4 as we look at access to capital, it goes
5 beyond just simply access to capital in Indian
6 Country, it also goes to issues of
7 sustainability.
8 And as we see a convergence of
9 technologies, it is true that almost with
10 respect to any critical infrastructure in
11 Indian Country, it only comes because tribal
12 lands are federal enclaves, it comes only as
13 a result of good government and good
14 regulation.

21 these convergence of technologies where this
22 Committee, perhaps one or two of its

1 subcommittees are forced to consider things
2 such as challenging as Universal Service Fund 3 reform.

5 eight tribal TELCO's that exist in the United
6 States. The opening of windows in rural
7 lands, services like low-power FM services and
8 other services that are targeted specifically. 21 framework analysis and more to a community-

That has been critical to the And I would leave you with this thought. One of the most encouraging things, we've been asked to work with the Commission, we've been invited to provide testimony to NTIA and the USDA as they rolled out their broadband stimulus, the BTOP program and the program at USDA.

One of the most exciting -- one of
the most interesting foundational shifts in that bill is that it begins to orient these programmatic efforts at other agencies away from what appears to be sort of a competitive based analysis, and I think that is common

1 ground that we can all share and begin to
2 build upon.

5 aggregation and I look across the table to
6 colleagues and other institutions and thank
7 you for the space here and thank you for the
8 time, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Yes. Thank you, Geoff. Anybody else have anything you want to add?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: If not, I would ask the members of the public who are here, do you have any ideas about things the Committee ought to be taking up?

All right. Hearing none, I would -- just procedurally, I think the way we'll do this is we'll give you some ideas about some subcommittees that we ought to set up, distribute these various issues that have come

1 up around the table to these various
2 subcommittees to task them, send those out to
3 you, so watch your email for that, and ask you
4 if you've got any other ides of issues we
5 ought to take up, and which subcommittees or
6 Committee that you want to serve on.

8 because we've got a deal with this full-file
9 review, and Adarand Studies issue in
10 September. I would think mid- to late
11 September, so we will be sending you notices 12 of that.

14 subcommittees, I would hope, would be meeting
15 and working so that we would have some solid
16 recommendations. Clearly we need them on the
17 Adarand and full-file review studies.

19 there will be others as well, some of these 20 issues that Jim and Toni have raised. So, if

21 that's an acceptable way for us to proceed, 22 we'll do that.

2 they want to bring before the Committee?
Does anybody else have anything
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RIVERA: I would like to just thank again our FCC colleagues here, Carolyn and Bob and Jamila and our Designated Federal Officer and, of course, our very distinguished General Counsel. We appreciate your being here and we appreciate your support very much.

So, unless there's anything else we'll go ahead and stand adjourned. Thanks, all, for coming. Really appreciate it.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 11:55 a.m.)
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