## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

+ + + + +

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON DIVERSITY FOR COMMUNICATIONS IN THE DIGITAL AGE

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

MARCH 24, 2010

+ + + + +

The meeting convened in the FCC Meeting Room at 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, D.C., at 2:00 p.m., Henry Rivera, Chairman, presiding.

#### COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

HENRY RIVERA, Chairman GEOFFREY C. BLACKWELL ANTOINETTE (TONI) BUSH RALPH D. EVERETT DAVID HONIG

KAREN K. NARASAKI
ANDREW SCHWARTZMAN
DIANE SUTTER
CHARLES WARFIELD
JAMES WINSTON

JANE MAGO

FCC COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:
JULIUS GENACHOWSKI, Chairman
MIGNON CLYBURN, Commissioner

FCC STAFF PRESENT:

BARBARA KREISMAN, Designated Federal Officer

JAMILA BESS-JOHNSON, Deputy Federal Officer

CAROLYN FLEMING WILLIAMS, Deputy Federal

Officer

JOHN HORRIGAN, Director, Consumer Research,

Omnibus Broadband Initiative

THOMAS REED, Director, Office of

Communications and Business Opportunities

#### **VISITORS:**

ANNE LUCEY, CBS

JON P. GANT, Joint Center for Political & Economic Studies

NICOL TURNER-LEE, Vice President, Director,

Joint Center for Political and Economic

Studies

SUSAN FOX, Disney

SYLVIA STROBEL, Alliance for Women in Media

YING LI, Joint Center for Political & Economic

Studies

	Page	3
A-G-E-N-D-A		
Opening		
<pre>Introduction &amp; Agenda Review</pre>		
Media Issues Subcommittee Report & Recommendations		
Remarks by FCC Chairman  Julius Genachowski		
Opportunities (OCBO)		
Broadband Adoption & Use in America - FCC Survey Results 60 John Horrigan Director, Consumer Research, Omnibus		
Broadband Initiative		
National Minority Broadband Adoption: Comparative Trends in Adoption, Acceptance and Use		
Dr. Nicol Turner-Lee VP, Director, Joint Center for Political and Economic Studies Ying Li Research Associate		
New Business		

### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (2:05 p.m.)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Okay, people on the MS. KREISMAN: phone please mute your phones before you speak - I mean, until you want to speak because we have background noise. The people in the control office or booth have already asked me - that they've heard a lot of extra noise. you all could please mute what's there. if you put us on hold, make sure you don't have music going on. And the other general instruction is please speak into the mics and wait a minute because it takes awhile for them to get going. So with those very preliminary matters I'll say good afternoon to members, Chairman Rivera.

Welcome to the spring meeting of the Advisory Committee for Diversity in the Digital Age. I'm told it's beautiful outside so we picked a good day. This is the first meeting of the final year of our present charter and that expires in December - early

1	December of this year so the pressure is on
2	for anything else this group may want to do.
3	Thank you for taking time out from your busy
4	schedules to be here today and also on the
5	telephone. You have a packet of information
6	before you that has - and it also has been
7	emailed to everyone on the phone. It includes
8	today's agenda, committee reports, proposed
9	recommendations and PowerPoint presentations.
10	We'll refer to it through the meeting. Our
11	features today include a presentation by Diane
12	Sutter representing the Media Issues Working
13	Group. John Horrigan has joined us to discuss
14	aspects of the Commission's Broadband Plan.
15	Members of the Joint Center for Political and
16	Economic Studies are here to present their
17	report focusing on broadband for minorities.
18	We thank them very much for joining us today.
19	With that I turn the proceedings over to
20	Chairman Rivera.
21	CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you,
22	Barbara, and welcome all. I'm so glad you

guys could make it and for those of you on the phone, thank you for taking time out of your schedules to be with us. Before we get started I want to turn the floor over to Andy Schwartzman who has a very special announcement to make.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SCHWARTZMAN: Thank you. Ι apologize for what's a little bit inappropriate for a meeting like this, but I think it's with enough pleasure that I can share that I'd like to do this. As of 2 o'clock which is around eight minutes ago Media Access Project announced that I'm stepping aside to become the senior vice president and policy director of Media Access Project which frees me of a lot of administrative stuff, and we're going to have a new president who is known to many of you, Tyrone Brown. He's leaving Wiley Rein and he's coming to Media Access Project, and we're just as excited as can be. And because he's very important to so many of the people in

this community I wanted to take the
popportunity to tell you about it.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(Applause)

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you Andy, and congratulations. Our loss at Wiley Rein is certainly your gain. The other thing I would like to mention before we get started is that the chairman will join us today. He is on the Hill. I'm sure he'd much rather be here with us, but he's running a little late. So whenever he comes in we'll stop whatever it is that we're doing and let him address us and raise whatever matters he wishes to raise with So just wanted to alert you about that. And with that, as Barbara said we've got a terrific agenda for you this afternoon and we want to start with our business matters. I'm going to turn it over to Diane whose subcommittee has been very, very busy these past three months. So Diane, the floor is yours.

Thank you, Henry.

As

MS. SUTTER:

you know, the Media Issues Subcommittee is divided into three task forces, the first being PPM, the second EEO and the third Funding Acquisitions. The PPM task force has been keeping close tabs on what's been going on with the new changes at Arbitron and while they have no recommendation at this point for the full committee to consider, they continue to be actively involved in observing, watching and making sure that the process continues to move with resolution on PPM that is sought by everyone involved I think. You have a statement from them in your packet. go through it in more detail so that you'll have the opportunity to just see that. second task force is our EEO task force and Jane Mago chairs that task force and I've asked Jane on behalf of her group to report on their recommendations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. MAGO: Thank you, Diane. The EEO subgroup as part of the Media Issues
Subcommittee met telephonically a number of

times to discuss the various issues that we thought were relevant to promoting the interest of EEO both - not just in the media industry but across platforms. And the specifics, you have the report that's there in the packet that gives the report from the committee and we have just basically three points that we wanted to make today. One is to recognize and thank Chairman Genachowski for his responsiveness to the earlier recommendations that we had to try to pull together a forum to discuss the EEO issues, and we want to reach out with the folks at the OCBO here at the FCC and make sure that that moves along and goes forward. We're very pleased that that's an action that he recognized and is willing to take.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Secondly, we have two recommendations that we'd like the Commission to try to consider. One is in recognition of the changes in the way that recruiting - employment recruiting takes place, that the

internet has become a very important part of recruiting and the Commission's rules at this point haven't quite recognized that as one of the possible recruiting tools. And so we're making a recommendation that the agency should in fact recognize internet recruiting as one of the potential tools. Mr. Chairman? Henry told us we were going to interrupt, so.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Well, as I told you the chairman had planned to join us and here he is and we have a bonus with Commissioner Clyburn, so we're awfully glad to see both of you. And we said we'd stop everything when you got here and we would like to hear from you, and so the floor is yours sir.

# FCC CHAIRMAN GENACHOWSKI:

Terrific. Well, first of all let me put this here. It's great to see everyone. It's great to see so many familiar faces and it's great to be here sitting next to Commissioner Clyburn who's been just such a fabulous

addition to the Commission. I feel lucky every day that we're working together and I think Rick Kaplan on your team is here. been a - as you all know we're in the middle of a busy time. You know, the agency has been focused on broadband for some time, literally everyone, and some people are still, you know, catching up on their sleep after really quite some time of not getting sleep. Some of the rest of us are getting ready to go testify in Congress which we'll be doing tomorrow. I did want to come by and just talk for a few minutes, mostly to tell you how pleased I am that this committee exists, that you're working on the issues that you're working on. It's incredibly important not just to the Commission, but to all the people who we're focused on in this changing time in these Let me - Henry, and this is so great areas. because I get to call you Chairman Rivera which is -It hasn't gone CHAIRMAN RIVERA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 to my head.

2

(Laughter)

3 FCC CHAIRMAN GENACHOWSKI: 4 But listen, thank you for doing this work. 5 You know, it's a real contribution to our 6 It's important to the Commission and 7 it's a very important thing for distinguished 8 outside participants like you to give of your 9 time and your energy and your knowledge to help advise the Commission in these areas. 10 So 11 I appreciate it very much and we appreciate 12 each of the members of the committee for 13 putting your time into this. We don't take it 14 for granted and we know that it puts an 15 obligation on us to actually pay attention to 16 what you're talking about here, what you're 17 advising us to do and we appreciate your 18 service and we appreciate your 19 recommendations. Thank you in particular the 20 subcommittees on constitutional issues, on 21 media issues, broadband, David Honig, Diane 22 Sutter, Susan Patrick, Toni Cook Bush. Thanks

to all of you for working so hard in each of these areas. Every day I'm sure you wake up and you think there can't be any new issues for us to work on and yet there are more. Thanks very much Tom Reed who I'm so glad you've all gotten to know. I'm going to come back and chat about OCBO in a minute. I saw Barbara Kreisman here, Carolyn Williams, Jamila Bess-Johnson. Thanks to all of you for being the ambassadors of the Commission to this important advisory committee. I think Charisse Smith might be here from my staff. If not, I know she works very hard on these issues. Danny Ornstein also helps on these as do everyone on my staff and I thank them for their work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Let me talk mostly today about broadband. We all have broadband on the brain. But first I just want to touch on some of the steps that I'm happy we've taken over the last few months since I was confirmed.

First, as you know, we've reactivated, re-

energized OCBO which was an office that really 1 2 wanted to be reactivated and re-energized, and 3 I'm so pleased that Tom Reed came here to take 4 on this challenge. It's a hard challenge. 5 Tom as you know has an extraordinary 6 background, very relevant to the work that 7 you're doing now. We're very grateful for you 8 bringing your energy and your knowledge and 9 your background here and thanks to everyone at I know that OCBO has worked on a set of 10 OCBO. 11 coordinated relationships with the different diversity committee subcommittees and that's 12 very important. And as you know, Tom and 13 14 others here have led a series of workshops on 15 these areas around capital formation. 16 you'll probably talk about it a little bit 17 If I remember correctly you did two more. 18 workshops during the broadband proceeding -19 three including the small business one, so 20 three altogether. Very widely attended and 21 important, and actually produced, as did the 22 advisory committee's letters recommendation

that we were able to take advantage of. I know one of the things, Tom, that you did in the capital strategy session is coordinate one-on-one sessions between companies and potential funders, and I think this kind of work, to think really creatively in addition to looking at our rules and our policies, but also thinking how can we play a role in bringing entrepreneurs, small businesses, capital together to increase the relationships, increase the knowledge, increase the chances of success. I know that's something that you're focused on that we spent a lot of time talking about that I think is just terrific.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

OCBO of course has worked very closely with the national broadband team on its recommendations and you see their input throughout the report. And I'm also pleased that Tom and OCBO have been working on developing and strengthening interagency relationships in this area with NTIA of course

but also with SBA and the MBDA. All these things matter. I think we're finding this in a number of different areas. So many opportunities and challenges are developing resulting from and around changes in technology and changes in the communications landscape. And other parts of the government do look to us for information, for facts, for expertise and as I'll come back and talk about in the Broadband Plan, these relationships that Tom and others are building with other agencies, given the horizontal nature of what we do, become very important, can increase the ability of government overall to tackle diversity and other issues in a way that's more important, faster, and can make a real difference. So I think you'll hear more from Tom today. I'm very excited about what's happening with OCBO. As you know, in addition to OCBO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

diversity officer who's been hard at work and

we appointed the agency's first chief

has worked very hard with the Broadband Plan. 1 2 Our Office of Managing Director Steve 3 VanRoekel who I hope comes here at some point, 4 but has been working with Tom, with Mark on a 5 series of practical ideas to think about what 6 we can do with the agency itself in this area. 7 I will confess that some of these ideas came 8 out of suggestions from Commissioner Clyburn. 9 Actually, the best conversation I have, I go meet with Commissioner Clyburn and 10 11 Commissioner Clyburn says quite rightly, you 12 know, maybe we should think about having the 13 agency do this and this. And I say, you know, 14 that sounds like a good idea. And then I call 15 Steve VanRoekel, and I say hey, maybe the 16 agency should do this and this, and he said, 17 oh we're already doing it. And then I call 18 Commissioner Clyburn back and say oh, you 19 know, you suggested it and it's done, and I 20 think this is a virtuous circle. 21 (Laughter) 22 FCC CHAIRMAN GENACHOWSKI: No, but

it's great - what's happening now, the middleman is being cut out and I think it's very healthy. I mean, we've encouraged all of the office heads and bureau heads like Tom and others to communicate directly with the commissioners. There's no monopoly on good ideas and my directive to each of the heads of the bureaus and offices are get the best ideas from wherever they come and do the right thing.

Director's Office, it's been working hard on our procurement contracts - this is one of Commissioner Clyburn's ideas - to make sure that we're taking diversity issues into account in a best practices way in that work. We held the first - the first agency in the federal government to open a dedicated Blacks in Government space for recruitment, education, career development, other programs. I know that OMD is working very hard. I'm not sure if I mentioned Tom Wyatt who we appointed

But to think really hard about our own recruitment practices and training practices and mentoring practices at the FCC to make sure that we're applying best practices, leading practices in this area. I think we have a lot of work left to do, but I know that

as the head of Office of Workplace Diversity.

the team has been working very hard to make progress and they've begun to make progress.

So that brings me to broadband.

It's going to be hard to tell this group
anything that they don't already know, but let
me review some of what our focus has been on
the Broadband Plan. First of all, I'm proud
of the process that was run. I really do
believe it was an unprecedented process in
terms of its openness, in terms of the
participation that it sought and got from very
broad communities that included the workshops,
that ones that we've talked about, many
others, as you know because many of you
participated in them. You know, we held many

of them here, but also streamed online. tried to get as many people into the workshops as they could. In fact, we had a general policy of if there was a topic that we on our own didn't think of to do a workshop on we would take ideas and try to schedule workshops because there's no topic where we shouldn't try to have that discussion. A lot of people when they think about the broadband challenges in the country think about deployment and they stop at deployment. You know, they think hey, there are parts of the country that don't have broadband, how do we get broadband to those Of course, it's very, very important areas. that we do that. It's very important that we think of broadband like electricity, like telephone service. It's a platform for commerce, it's a platform for opportunity, it's a platform for participation in our democracy and it needs to extend everywhere in the country. But to me and to the members of the team it was essential that we not stop

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

there and that we think about the adoption and affordability issues as well. It is a significant thing I think that one of the three main subject headings of the plan itself is inclusion. And it says something about the importance that the whole team and the agency put on the inclusion goal and the inclusion challenges in the Broadband Plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

As you know, thanks to Jon Horrigan the team did a tremendous amount of work getting its arms around what's actually going on in the country with respect to adoption, affordability, usage. You're all familiar with the statistics, but there were reciting because they're very troubling and very important that we address them. So one, the overall average in the United States for broadband adoption is about 65 percent which is lower than other countries in the world that are trying very hard to become global competitors of the U.S., centers of innovation, so 65 percent is just not good

enough for the United States. But as you all know, not only is the U.S. lagging globally when it comes to its overall rate of adoption, but communities inside the United States are lagging, and Jon's survey confirms this, Dr. Turner-Lee's work confirms this, others. in minority communities, in low-income communities, in rural communities, among seniors, the adoption rate is lower than 65 percent, in some cases much lower. In tribal areas the number is so low it's embarrassing even to talk about. The numbers I think that we estimated is roughly a 5 percent adoption rate in tribal areas, and for regular telephone service the adoption rate is the 65 percent that it is for broadband around the country.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

These statistics are you know troubling just when you say them, but when you consider the rising costs of digital exclusion they become even more troubling. And so five years ago, ten years ago, if you were looking

for a job, what would you do? You would get a newspaper and you would look for a job and newspapers are very democratic, right? cost a quarter, they're available to everyone, you know, not a bad thing. Well today as you all know job postings I'd like to say are moving, but the truth is they largely have moved from newspapers to online, obviously creating real issues around newspapers - topic for a separate conversation - but when you think about it, you think about the fact that classifieds have moved online, increasingly companies are doing their job postings on Increasingly companies are doing all of line. their job postings online. Increasingly companies are requiring applications for jobs to be submitted online. So what a number of years ago might have been an inconvenience today is a serious disadvantage. If you're looking for a job you need to have access to the internet. Or think about children, especially children in communities that have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

lower than average adoption rates. We've all 1 2 - well, I'll tell you my experience. talk to school teachers, especially school 3 teachers at public schools in urban areas or 4 5 other areas with high populations of low-6 income students, here's what they say on a 7 good day. They say half my kids or less have 8 broadband and the rest don't. What am I 9 supposed to do? If I want to give out homework assignments, research assignments 10 11 that get these kids familiar with the digital 12 tools they need to be participants in our 13 economy and participants in our democracy. 14 what am I supposed to do? If I give out a 15 homework assignment, a research assignment 16 that relies on the internet the half of my students or more who don't have internet 17 18 access, they're at a disadvantage. At best 19 they have to scramble to find a library or 20 something where they can do it. Tells you why 21 it's so important - I'll come back to e-Rate 22 in libraries and schools in a minute - but

they really, you know, they have to scramble and sometimes they can't scramble enough and they can't participate in those homework or research assignments. And the teacher says well, you know, I don't want to disadvantage those kids, but if I don't give out assignments that require digital tools and skills I'm disadvantaging the kids who do have broadband. And the truth is there is no answer to this problem other than to tackle the issues of digital inclusion very seriously because we cannot continue to educate generations of our kids who graduate from high school without having the tools they need to participate in a digital economy and in our democracy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So the plan as I hope you all saw took this very seriously, included many suggestions on how to tackle these issues. A number of them came from suggestions we received from the outside, including from the Diversity Committee. A number of them came

from Commissioner Clyburn and my other colleagues on the Commission. Just to summarize some of them. The Broadband Plan, by the way, is the gift that keeps on giving and there's no attempt to summarize that can capture everything.

(Laughter)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

FCC CHAIRMAN GENACHOWSKI: I'll mention a few. One is the plan recommends a once in a lifetime transformation of the Universal Service Fund including tackling Lifeline and Link-Up and making sure that they work for broadband. This is the kind of thing that we're excited about, needs to be done, pleased that it's in the plan. The plan has recommendations around e-Rate, making sure that schools and libraries can play the role they need to play. As you know from what I said before, I don't think that's enough. I think it's necessary but not sufficient, but it is necessary. As many of you know we've already made a down payment on

e-Rate 2.0 at the Commission meeting, when was it, two months ago? Last month? Time flies. We unanimously adopted a reform for e-Rate that will allow schools to make their e-Rate funded facilities available to the community, and we hope schools take advantage of that because we need our schools and libraries to be public institutions where people can have access where they don't have them at home. The plan recommends the creation of a digital literacy corps. Like other ideas in the plan, this is modeled on successful ideas that have started to work out in the communities. Economy is an example of an organization that over the years has benefitted, they have their digital connectors program. We think that a real commitment to a digital literacy corps focused on the communities that are lagging behind in the United States will make a real difference. Without getting into tremendous detail the plan includes a series of recommendations to try to tackle the tribal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

gap and we'll take those seriously in a series of ways. I won't do all the - Jeff knows all the recommendations and would be pleased to talk about that more.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I do want to mention one other thing which I'm very pleased about. I think it's quite important and sometimes gets less attention. The affordability and literacy and relevance issues that as John Horrigan has explained are what underlay the adoption gap apply not only to ordinary consumers, but to small businesses as well. We saw this at our hearing in Chicago. We did a hearing on small businesses and we received a lot of input on We need to make sure that all of our this. small businesses have a real opportunity to participate in the opportunities of broadband. The anecdotal information I think we've all We had, you know, whether it's Blue seen. Valley Meats in Diller, Nebraska, which significantly increased its business and its workforce by taking advantage of broadband, or

Warren Love who is the founder of CakeLove 1 2 here in D.C. For those of you who don't know, 3 I really - I encourage you to pay attention 4 the Warren Love CakeLove story. 5 participated in our hearings, he spoke at our 6 small business - our SBA event. He has taken 7 his business, started at 14th and U Street in 8 Washington, D.C., expanded it from, you know, 9 no stores to I believe seven stores now, from 10 zero employees to I believe 40 or 50 employees 11 in these last few years in difficult, challenging economic times. And here's what 12 13 he said to us at one of the input events that 14 we did. He said I owe this expansion and the success of this business to broadband. 15 16 said I just realized that if I want to 17 succeed, if I want to grow a business, if I 18 want to create opportunity and hire people I need to go to where the customers are and the 19 20 customers are online and they're on their 21 mobile phones. And he's doing really 22 innovative things, you know, very forward-

looking in terms of using cloud computing to lower the operating cost of his business, using online marketing techniques to reach people where they are and it works. Well, he, you know, that's the exception, not the rule. Like so many other things that we're finding in the broadband area, we have to take things that are the exception and make them the rule.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And many people who run small businesses around the country in our urban areas and our rural areas our work shows have the same affordability, literacy, relevance gaps as we see with ordinary consumers and so there are a series of recommendations in the Broadband Plan to tackle this. It's something that Tom is really focused on. I think they're very important. We're working on public-private partnerships with the Small Business Administration where we can channel some government funding, bring together some private companies to increase training for small businesses in this area. There are a

whole series of other small business issues that we're working on. Tom will catch you up on all of those.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Let me briefly mention our consumer transparency issues which are also very important and I think will help with literacy, relevance, inclusion, helping people understand the - what's actually happening with broadband, see the benefits of it. won't talk at great length now about the series of recommendations in the plan around making sure that broadband is deployed to promote our country's education goals and healthcare goals and others. I see egovernment in general as a real opportunity. Everyone wins if we can accelerate universal broadband and accelerate the transition of government from paper to electronic. know, the government can provide better services to its citizens for less money, helping our environment and our energy future among other things, but here's the thing that

we learned as part of our Broadband Plan. 1 2 Until we achieve universal broadband 3 government has to maintain two 4 infrastructures. You know, so some people ask 5 - I don't see the Broadband Plan here, I meant 6 to bring it down - but some people ask why did 7 you publish this thing. And you know, and the 8 response is until everyone has broadband how 9 could we do a Broadband Plan that wasn't available to people who didn't have broadband? 10 So of course it's online, we released it 11 12 online, it's in PDF, it's in HTML, it's 13 searchable, but there's a point here that I 14 would just point out to everyone, that 15 actually the FCC like other government 16 agencies have to maintain two infrastructures, 17 We have to do paper, we want to do right? electronic and we will. Until everyone's in 18 19 universal broadband we have to spend more 20 money than we need to spend. The more we can 21 accelerate universal broadband the faster 22 government can provide better services to all

its citizens for less money, saving money that really could be better deployed on a lot of issues that this committee and others are focused on. And so these issues around e-government in addition to simply providing better services to people also will help us tackle some of the funding issues that we face over time I believe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Let me briefly touch on an issue that I'm sure everyone in this room has been thinking about and just make a point about it. This is the spectrum recommendations in the plan. You know, when - at the beginning of the process I think when some people though oh, you're going to do a Broadband Plan, everyone assumed well this is a plan about improving what happens when people plug their computers into the wall and get wired broadband. And of course we concluded that that is not all we need to do, that obviously we need to make sure that we have a worldclass wired infrastructure, but we also need

to make sure we have a world-class mobile broadband infrastructure, a world-class wireless infrastructure. It's an extraordinary opportunity for the U.S. in the decade and more ahead for real job creation, for real small business creation, for real opportunity and it's an area where we have the opportunity to lead the world, but we also have identifiable risks if we don't tackle it. I think if we do it right it can be an engine for job creation, broad opportunity, as strong as anything we've ever had in the country, and if we get it wrong we'll be wondering why leading mobile innovation companies are starting their businesses across the Atlantic or across the Pacific.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Now, one of the recommendations in the plan coming out of the record that we developed involved looking at broadcast spectrum and thinking creatively about how we can have a win-win around the spectrum to free up spectrum for mobile broadband and also

preserve free over-the-air broadcasting for viewers. And I understand and I am more than sympathetic with the diversity concerns that this could raise. It is, you know, not desirable to reduce the number of voices that we have in markets, and broadcast TV stations obviously play an important role. think can be a real win-win for everyone in this area is to look at the opportunities that can come out of broadcast spectrum sharing, a reduction in operating costs and capital infusions that can come out of the incentive auctions that we've suggested. And if a small number of TV stations in a small number of markets share 6mhz channels, they don't go off the air, but they share, we can free up a tremendous amount of spectrum for mobile broadband and all the economic and social opportunities that would create. In so doing, that could provide broadcasters the opportunity to reduce operating costs and as we work to structure an incentive auction,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

generate capital which of course is so sorely And so this is something that I hope needed. we can work on together. I think - I would encourage everyone involved to look at this issue from all sides and to work with us on a real win-win that promotes our mobile broadband future, we all share an interest in that, and also looks at how we can meet some of the challenges and preserve diversity of voices in the broadcast area. You know, call me a bright-eyed optimist, but I do believe there is an opportunity here for a real winwin that works for everyone, and what I would ask everyone to do is work with us, roll up your sleeves and look at all the different ways that this can be structured that really works for the country.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I think we have an opportunity and I hope we can work together on seizing it.

There are of course many other issues that I haven't touched on. I know I've gone on way too long and I suspect that there are people

upstairs who are very angry that I'm still
down here. I'm glad that Tom is here. I know
he'll speak with you. I'm thrilled that
Commissioner Clyburn has taken the time to be
here as well. So let me stop there. Thank
you again for the important work that you do
on this committee and in providing your input
and advice to the Commission. We appreciate
it very much and with that, Commissioner
Clyburn I cede the microphone to you.

(Applause)

COMMISSIONER CLYBURN: Before you leave, Mr. Chairman, first of all - oh, okay. My pleasure. I want to thank you for a whole host of things. Thank you for your friendship, for your advice, for listening to this person who seems to always brag about being a very southern person, very outsidethe-Beltway, but I really sincerely want to thank you for even the ideas where you can tell that I'm pulling them from all sorts of places that I can't even define. You at least

listen and pretend that all of my ideas are sound.

(Laughter)

COMMISSIONER CLYBURN: But I want to say to you that, you know, the Chairman has touched on many things and I don't think I have anything left to say, but we will be best friends for life let me say in front of all these witnesses if you were to continue that tomorrow when we're in front of that committee so that - and maybe I can get out of there and feel okay.

But I want to thank all of you. I know for many of you this has been a long journey. For many of you you might have questioned at least for a minute, you know, why. Why do I stay committed to this when maybe I haven't been listened to as much. Why do I stay committed to this when maybe you don't see the types of change that you expect. But I think in listening to the Chairman and seeing the direction in which we are headed at

this agency you will not have another moment of why. You've got five individuals who are willing to listen, who get along most days, right? But who recognize that we can no longer do things in the same way. You've brought that forth. I love what you said "making the exception the rule." I think those are words that if we truly embrace in their fullest context that again we will never ask why we are here involved in these critical issues and involved in making this communications space more robust and more inclusive for all Americans. You can rest assured that we are actively involved at every level of this agency in reviewing the recommendations that you've put forth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

As the Chairman mentioned - and thank you - we worked with his office closely and with Tom Reed to take a look at every single recommendation you've had to date. I'm going to ask a couple of things of you. Many of the recommendations that you put forth kind

of met us here. They were here long before they even heard of a Mignon Clyburn. Well, they've might have heard of Julius.

(Laughter)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

COMMISSIONER CLYBURN: So you know, quite frankly and honestly we've got a lot of catching up to do. And in addition to that, I think there are seven or eight recommendations that have been circulated since we've been here. I anticipate, and the Chairman has brought forth that too, that we're going to move on many of those recommendations. But there are a number of those that might need to be tweaked, might need to be discussed a bit further, and for various reasons may not be doable at this time, but that in no way is a negative reflection on our commitment to this committee and our commitment to diversity. I see our efforts with respect to diversity as a team effort, and I look forward to continued collaboration. If there are any issues that

you find with respect to process I encourage 1 2 you to let me know, I encourage you to let the Chairman know, and all of us know because 3 we're your partners in all of this. So with 4 5 that engagement and with that dialogue any 6 concerns that you have I think can be 7 So again, I think the Chairman resolved. 8 basically said everything that I would have 9 said and plus everything that he's supposed to say, but I want to affirm to you that you have 10 11 a partner. You not only have a partner, you 12 have a friend and I'm looking forward to the 13 next few months and the next years, at least 14 for me, the next two and a half or so years, 15 where we're going to make incredible changes 16 in this country, and again, we'll never, never 17 ask why. Thank you. 18 (Applause) 19 FCC CHAIRMAN GENACHOWSKI: Thank 20 you, everyone. 21 Thank you both CHAIRMAN RIVERA:

It really meant a lot to all

22

for being here.

of us to have you here and to hear your words of encouragement. Thanks so much. All right.

Jane, thank you for -

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. MAGO: I was in mid-sentence.
(Laughter)

6 CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Yes, I know you 7 were.

MS. MAGO: As I was saying - but I digress. No, back to the report. As the Chairman indicated I think in his remarks so eloquently, one of the key areas for recruiting is in the internet. And so we're pleased that one of the recommendations that we have here is to have the Commission recognize internet recruitment as one of the checklists of recruitment options for complying with the EEO plan in the broadcast arena, and we think that that's something that's very important. He also touched on I think a little bit the other recommendation that we have which is to ask the agency to look at a rulemaking proceeding that would

look at how you apply EEO rules across all of the platforms. I think we as a group have talked a number of times about that it's not just about broadcasting, or it's not just about the telecom area, but rather EEO is an important aspect of all of the businesses. And while the Commission recognizes on a broad level that there's EEO across - requirements that go across all of the businesses, it really is always focused on broadcasting and has most specific rules when it comes to the broadcasting arena. So one of our recommendations was to look at a rulemaking that would look across all of the various platforms, especially as we now converge more and more and consider how that important goal should be applied across platforms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: All right, thank you Jane. So, the chair will take as a motion the first recommendations - we'll break them up so we can discuss them intelligently if we need to do that - on internet-based

Page 44 recruiting. Is there a second to that? 1 Yes, 2 all right. Is there any discussion? 3 (No response) 4 CHAIRMAN RIVERA: All right. All 5 those in favor say "Aye." 6 (Chorus of ayes) 7 CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Opposed? 8 (No response) 9 CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Motion carries. 10 Second recommendation is the apprenticeship of EEO rules - or the recommendation actually 11 12 would be for the Commission to institute a 13 rulemaking to apply EEO rules across 14 platforms. The chair will take that as a motion from the committee. Is there a second? 15 Discussion? 16 17 (No response) CHAIRMAN RIVERA: All those in 18 19 favor say "Aye." 20 (Chorus of ayes) 21 CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Opposed? 22 (No response)

1 CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Motion carries.

2 Thank you, Jane. Diane?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. SUTTER: Thank you. Funding for Acquisitions task force has been very busy not only with making recommendations but following up on them. We appreciate the Chairman as well as the commissioners' support for the recommendations that have been made and toward that effort the chair of the task force which is Susan Patrick and I had an opportunity to spend time with Carolyn Williams and Tom Reed to really meet with them since most of the recommendations we made get sent to them to implement. We met with them to sit down and talk about the recommendations we had made in December, what had happened with those and how we might support their office in making them a reality. We were really thrilled that they gave us a great deal of time, they were very receptive to our discussion and I think we have identified ways that we can - especially as it comes to

funding for acquisitions for small and medium and smaller deals that we can actually get an educational process started for lending institutions whereby they understand more lending to this.

And we are looking at the FCC website. We had conversations about the relaunch of the FCC website and we have since that time supplied them with some examples of the materials that we think could be used as possible inclusion on the website that talk about how to lend to broadcast, the nuances of it and how to be successful in doing that. So we're hoping to continue that relationship and to keep moving toward that.

The second aspect with the implementation of working with the other agencies, I think you heard the Chairman reflect that that's an issue for them. Also we've been talking with them about how we might coordinate with that. And in the process we have also talked about TDF and the

question that has been raised by the budget process as to whether or not it will be You may know that that is probably renewed. the only official opportunity for funding that exists within the sector right now specifically for telecom and the inclusion of broadcast. It was budgeted for potential elimination. We had conversations with both Tom and Carolyn about whether or not that might be something that we should look at. That is funded by auctions. As you know, there have not been any auctions recently, hence the funding is severely limited. Of the \$80 million that they originally had they have less than \$2 that has not yet been deployed, but they have a number of companies that they are currently working with that are still in the process. So if it were to be eliminated, then the question of how those companies would be handled going forth is still an issue. We had a conference call that took place with Jim Pastoriza and he of course

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

talked about what his plans are for TDF and 1 2 how we might work with them going forward. And as a result we have a recommendation that 3 4 the Advisory Committee on Diversity recommends 5 that the Commission adopt a legislative 6 recommendation to urge that the U.S. Congress 7 continue to authorize and re-calibrate the 8 Telecommunications Development Fund to focus 9 on access to capital for historically disadvantaged populations and to diversify the 10 11 products, deal sizes and industries to which 12 TDF provides financial support. In re-13 calibrating the fund, we suggested ways in 14 which they might focus on access to capital for historically disadvantaged populations, 15 16 for example, providing greater service to 17 minority and women through race-neutral full-18 file review of applicants. Diversify the products by offering a balanced portfolio of 19 20 loans, grants, equity investments and 21 educational services, diversifying the deal 22 size by providing micro loans and

participating in larger deals by providing mezzanine financing. Traditionally they have been more focused on equity in terms of what they've provided. Diversifying the scope of the industries in which it participates by investing in the many sub-fields of telecommunications such as a greater focus on broadcasting and cable.

The fund itself has done 12 to 14 loans, most of which have been in the telecom space and the recommendations of this task force to the full committee are that broadening the focus of the committee - of the fund as well as how it invests its money and where it invests its money might also provide for greater opportunities in other industries besides the one that it has mainly focused on. So that is the recommendation of the committee.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: All right, the chairman will take that as a motion. Is there a second? All right. So the motion is

1 basically to try to save the TDF. It's been

2 zeroed out of the budget so that's what we

3 | will be voting on. Is there any discussion on

4 this motion?

5 (No response)

6 | CHAIRMAN RIVERA: All right, all

7 in favor say "Aye."

8 (Chorus of ayes)

9 CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Opposed?

10 (No response)

11 | CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Motion carries.

12 Thank you very much Diane and congratulations

to you and your subcommittee chairs, your task

14 force chairs. Really a good body of work

15 here. Thanks so much.

16 MS. SUTTER: I would also like to

thank the subcommittees, the task forces.

18 They have worked in each of their areas, had

meetings beyond and done a lot of work to try

20 and bring this committee these

21 recommendations. So thank you.

22 CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thanks so much.

As Barbara said this is the last year of our charter. Could be that the chairman will recharter this available committee. I hope that he does, but we've got a limited amount of time to get cracking here so I'm hoping that we hear from all the subcommittees at our next meeting which will be sometime in June. Barbara and I will caucus and find out when a good time would be for us to get together. But you can plan on a June timeframe for those of you working on additional recommendations to come forward. So now we will move into our reports and I'd like to call on Tom Reed who heads up the OCBO to tell us what's going on down there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. REED: Thank you. Good afternoon everybody. First of all, I'd like to thank Henry for inviting me here to report to you here today. I'm going to try and keep my comments brief. I'd like to thank the chairman, Chairman Genachowski and Commissioner Clyburn for their remarks. In

fact, I want to take this opportunity to thank all of the commissioners because they have provided OCBO with unwavering support and I think all of you here know that without that kind of support the office really can't do what it's been chartered to do. So that's been really encouraging for me and my staff, and it has sort of increased our ability to do our job. But just to let you know what we've been up to probably in the last few months, since the last committee meeting. You know, fortunately Chairman Genachowski, you know, it's nice when your boss does some of your work for you. He mentioned a lot of things that we've been up to with the Broadband Plan and Diane has mentioned the work that we've been doing with the Media Issues subcommittee and sort of advancing some of those recommendations. As I think I mentioned to Diane and Susan, with respect to the Media Issues subcommittee the educational component that they're discussing you know obviously is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

something that we're very excited about and we are in the process now. We've gotten the materials that Diane and Susan sent to us. We're going to be reviewing those. We have had - I've had a conversation with Austin The only issue with respect to Schlick. materials that we would put on our website would just be vetting them internally because they're materials that tacitly we would be endorsing. So that's not going to be a problem I don't think. So the chairman mentioned Steve VanRoekel and this was in connection with this issue, Steve VanRoekel who is the head of the Office of the Managing Director. He is in the process of revamping the FCC's website which I think everybody here knows needs a lot of work. We are also in the process of redesigning our own portion of that website and so we are excited that we want to be able to provide sort of the educational materials, not just with respect to lending to broadcast properties, but all issues that are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

relevant to our constituent groups so that
there can be one central repository of
academic materials and instruction materials
that will be helpful to small businesses and
diverse businesses. So that's something that
we've been working on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Chairman Genachowski mentioned the work that we've been doing with the broadband team, and I won't go into detail about that, but I will say one of the issues that - a couple of the issues that we focused most on with respect to the National Broadband Plan was to make sure that with respect to economic opportunities that our recommendations put a lot of emphasis on digital literacy and training for small businesses, and making sure that a lot of that would be done through SBA since they are branded, small businesses recognize who they are, they recognize the small business development centers, and we wanted to make sure that the instruction and the guidance through the National Broadband

Plan went through SBA and then secondarily through the Entrepreneurial Development Agency so that the kind of mentoring and guidance that small businesses require when you're dealing with new technology and new media, that they would have at their disposal. So that - those are some of the issues that we focused on most in dealing with the National Broadband Plan.

We have been hard at work with, you know, the Constitutional Issues subcommittee. I'd like to thank David for all of his hard work and his team. I know Joe Miller is here and Jackie, I don't see her here, but I don't know if you all see that huge binder that David has in front of him. The pending issues that are before the Commission that have been - that Commissioner Clyburn alluded to, that have been weighing heavily on the Commission for decades, and some of them more recently. David and his team were good enough and kind enough to put

together a lot of intelligence for us as we excavate each one of these issues. going through them one at a time and my staff has been very committed to going through each of these recommendations so that we get as much intelligence as possible so that we can make meaningful progress. The chairman and all the commissioners are very committed to making progress on all of these issues. David and his team met with us for two long sessions as we went through each one so that we can be most informed as we go to each of the bureaus and offices. Barbara knows we had met with the Media Bureau to talk about a number of the issues, finding out which ones have just been languishing, you know. where there in principle isn't - there isn't real opposition to them, but they've been languishing procedurally. We want to identify Those where there are sticking points, those. we want to clarify what those points are to determine whether or not we can redirect or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

find a position that's going to be more viable, and then identifying those that either are docketed or moot so that we can move forward and get the kind of work done I think that this committee believes in and that OCBO definitely believes in. So we've made a tremendous amount of progress there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Before I close I wanted to mention one - there's one workshop that we did I think that we hadn't done at the time that we had that this committee met last, and that's the we did at the tail end of January a round table discussion. Jim was a part of that and Jeff was a part of that. We did a round table discussion which sort of changed gears a little bit in terms of how the other workshops were done on broadband. It dealt with minority radio and finding or discussing digital and new media strategies for minority radio. Carolyn did a tremendous job moderating that panel and did a great job putting that group together so I'd like to

commend her and the OCBO staff that put that discussion group together. It's the beginning We intend and are committed to of discussion. doing that on a regular basis because obviously we aren't going to find all the solutions in one 2-hour session. But we think it's necessary in addition to finding a lot of the solutions that we need to find for small and minority radio we are looking for new solutions with respect to those entities because it's extremely important. And that discussion group acknowledged how important and essential minority radio continues to be, and given the current economic state what we need to do to give them as much assistance as possible. So I look forward to having more conversations, bringing more experts in to talk about what solutions we need to be looking at. So that was one of our sessions I think that received just the most attention and people were most excited about. look forward to doing that again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

thank you.

And I think with that Diane

covered most of what we were doing with that group so I don't think I have to repeat that, but that's essentially what we've been up to.

I would like to say for all the subcommittees
I hope you know by now our door is open. We welcome, not just welcome, we encourage our expertise and your help. I mean, we really do need it and I think it's one of the ways that we can move forward very quickly on some of the issues that are before this committee. So

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you, Tom.

We're very pleased that you're engaged as you are with these issues and in particular I think the notion of your going through these 84 recommendations is very exciting. We need somebody to do that and we're glad that you got the call. And thank you, David, for all the work that you've been putting in to try to move that along. Again, I think it's just terribly exciting and we need to - it needs to

be done. So, and we're glad the Chairman is committed to moving these things along as well. So, are there any questions for Tom?

I certainly would echo his plea basically to the subcommittees to make use of OCBO because the Chairman has dedicated them as the point person to work with our committee and he's, as he said, ready, willing and able to do that.

So if you need some help, Tom's the guy.

All right. Let's move on then to our report from John Horrigan. Thank you for being here, John. Who's going to talk to us about broadband adoption and use in America. The Chairman referenced his study and presentation in his remarks so we're anxious to hear and see it. John, thank you.

MR. HORRIGAN: Thank you very much. It's a pleasure to be here. What I want to do today is go through some of the findings from the white paper that the FCC released prior to the Broadband Plan called Broadband Adoption and Use in America. We

undertook this white paper based on a survey that we conducted in October/November of last year, and the survey itself was key inputs into formulating the recommendations in the Broadband Plan for adoption. So what I'm going to do is go through the slides. slides themselves have more detail than I'm going to touch on today so I'll probably be saying to the people in the AV room "next slide" quite often. But there's extra detail there for you if you want it and I'm going to try to get through them so that we can have a discussion or I can entertain any questions. I have a question already. Karen?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. NARASAKI: Yes, thank you. I noted with interest some of the breakout data for African-Americans and Latinos, and I was wondering what data there was from Asian-Americans in this.

MR. HORRIGAN: Good question and sort of in the spirit of getting the slide show going I'll ask that we go to the second

slide that talks a little bit about what I want to accomplish today. Then I'll ask that we advance to slide number 3 that talks about the sample. We conducted a national random dial telephone survey. Let's skip ahead one slide further. I'm sorry, go back two slides. That slide, yes. There's the slide that describes the nature of the sample. conducted a national random- digit dial telephone survey of 5,005 Americans. national survey that's actually a very large number of respondents. However, even with that large number of respondents you get a small number of Asian-Americans, of Native Americans in the sample which means it's difficult to say anything with statistical certainty. So the questions that asks respondents about their racial or national origin did include questions about whether the respondent's Asian-American or Native American, and we tabulated that. However, there were probably when you include both

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Asian-Americans, Native Americans and others just 120 or so respondents in those several categories which is too small for making statistical inferences, and so for that reason we don't report that. For those kinds of subpopulations, the Asian-American population, the NTIA's Census-based survey which was also conducted in October is really the gold standard for basic adoption numbers among those communities. So they're included yet not reported because of reasons about small sample size.

MS. NARASAKI: And do you have any plan to follow up? Because this is an issue we often have and part of it is designing the study so that you do an over-sample so you can get the numbers so you can have statistically significant data. It's just very hard when you're telling me that you're basing your National Broadband Plan on this data and then the data for two communities, particularly the Native American community which is very under-

1 served, is not there.

too?

MR. HORRIGAN: In the Broadband

Plan we do make some recommendations for

additional research work to get at sub
populations for the very reason that you touch

on. So in the plan we do recommend that that

kind of work be done going forward, and we

hope to be able to do it going forward. Thank

you. MS. MAGO: Can you give

us a cite to the NTIA study that you mentioned

MR. HORRIGAN: I believe it's 
Toni has the -

MS. BUSH: I can circulate it.

MS. MAGO: Thanks.

MR. HORRIGAN: It was released in early February. It should be front and center on the NTIA web page, but worth looking at.

I should say also, a difference between the survey and I'm going to talk about and the NTIA survey is that this survey is a survey that was dedicated entirely to broadband

adoption and reasons for non-adoption. So the respondents got questions only on the issue of broadband and some other related services. The NTIA study only goes into it based out of questions about who has broadband and who doesn't. In 2012 I believe the American Community Survey will have some additional questions on broadband use that means that from a Census-based survey with a very large sample size the ability to go to subpopulations, there will be questions not only about adoption, but some additional questions I believe that can get at some of the more textured issues surrounding information technology. So that's something coming down the line in a year or two that's very important. So back to the survey that we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So back to the survey that we conducted this past October. That's the slide currently before you, the slide labeled number 3 in your packet. You can get a sense of the sample size. We over-sampled non-adopters so

we had just over 2,300 non-adopters which helps greatly in understanding the texture surrounding non-adoption for statistical purposes. For a lot of national surveys that you'll read about online the number of respondents for the entire survey will often be less than 2,300 people. So we consider ourselves very fortunate to have had the resources to do an over-sample of non-adopters to get a sample size for the third of the country that does not have broadband that exceeds the sample size for a lot of national surveys that you read about. The survey did have a Spanish-speaking option in it and it included cell phones in the sample. Increasingly today since a lot of people have cell phones only at home you need to have cell phone numbers in your sample to get a truly representative sample. Next slide. Next slide, please. This slide just gives a high-level snapshot of the results. We found that a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

little more than three-quarters of Americans are internet users, two-thirds with broadband at home and 65 percent of Americans with broadband at home. So that 2 percentage point discrepancy between households and number of Americans using broadband comes from this. When we conducted the survey we asked people whether they have broadband or not. people said no, I don't have broadband. followed up with questions in the survey asking if there's somebody else in the household who uses the internet. Some people said yes. Another follow-up question asked does that person go online in your house with broadband. Some people said yes. So that 2 percentage points represents the yes answers to those two questions, the people who weren't internet users themselves yet lived in a household in which there was at least one other broadband-using person. The survey also found that 86

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

percent of Americans have a cell phone and

about 30 percent of Americans have used the internet on a handheld. Now, that's different than mobile broadband, but it's worth pointing out that, as most of us in this room know, people do go online with their handhelds. When you drill into that data a little bit you find that among people who don't have broadband at home, 14 percent have accessed the internet on their cell phone. So there's a small incidence of people accessing the internet on a handheld even though they don't have broadband at home, but the overall findings point to the fact that mobile internet access is basically a supplementary way of getting online for people, not a substitute for the wire line connection.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SCHWARTZMAN: Where do the people who have access to broadband at school or at work but not at home come in? Because you've got 67 percent are broadband users so they may be using it outside of the home and then 65 percent at home.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. HORRIGAN: We'll get to that

several slides down, but when you start to carve out the 35 percent of people without broadband at home we have 22 percent who are non-users, 6 percent are dial-up and then 6 percent are people who have internet access from someplace other than home. So they could be - typically you're talking about people who go online mainly at the library. There's a small number of people in there who don't have access at home but just use the internet at So that's how the baskets of nonwork. adoption sort out and we'll be getting to that in some detail a little bit later on. slide, please.

This slide shows just at a broad level where the big dividing lines are in terms of broadband access. So really education and income are the big dividing lines on broadband access. For both the education and income bars that you see before you on this slide, particularly for education,

about half of adult Americans are people with a high school degree or less, about half of Americans have at least some experience with college even if they haven't graduated, and you can see the huge discrepancies in broadband adoption rates there: 46 percent for people who are high school graduates or less, 82 percent for people who have had at least some college experience. Similarly for income, those living in households with annual incomes below \$50,000, just about half have broadband at home compared with people who are better off economically in households with \$50k or more per year income, 87 percent have broadband. And then you can see age is a big dividing line as well. Senior citizens are about half as likely as average to have broadband at home. Next slide, please. This slide just provides a lot more granularity in terms of sorting out

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

categories.

And I'll call your attention to

broadband adoption rates across different

the set of bars on the far right which shows differences among white Americans, African-Americans and Hispanics. So against the overall national average of 65 percent you can see that 59 percent of African-Americans have broadband at home and 49 percent of Hispanics. That actually - when you compare that with data from the Pew Internet Project represents a decent growth rate for both African-Americans and Hispanics relative to the prior years. I think when I was at Pew our April 2009 numbers showed that 46 percent of African-Americans have broadband and 40 percent of Hispanics. So those numbers do represent decent increases, although obviously they still lag the national average. call your attention also to the rural/nonrural breakout. You can see that largely because of lack of available infrastructure rural Americans lag the national average considerably with respect to broadband adoption.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Turning next to slide number 8, we did ask in this survey how much people pay per month for broadband and there's a lot of detail in this particular slide, but the key takeaway is that people spent about \$41 per month for broadband. A lot of the other data simply indicates that if you do some different - if you rely on different sources with different methods of collecting data on how much people pay per month for broadband the results are by and large the same. When you look at some trend data, the data shows that that \$41 per month number for broadband really hasn't changed too much over the past several years so prices have been generally steady for consumers in getting broadband at home.

MR. WARFIELD: Going back to Slide
6. When you look at age, is there any data
that indicates how much of a factor income
plays with that 65 and older group?

MR. HORRIGAN: Yes. When you start to dig into the data, which is what I do

a lot of, there's obviously a correlation between age and lower income levels. Older Americans on average have lower incomes than younger Americans. But when you start to sort through some of the analysis, age is clearly an independent factor associated with lower broadband adoption rates. Income in terms of overall magnitude of effect is a bigger deal than age, but both matter a great deal with respect to lower broadband adoption rates. When we start to talk about reasons for nonadoption I'll talk a little bit about the reasons for older Americans. For older Americans sort of possibly counter-intuitive given what I just said. Older Americans are less likely to cite cost as a barrier and much more likely to cite reasons pertaining to digital skills or not knowing about relevant content online. Andrew? MR. SCHWARTZMAN: Did you have a significant number of people because of the bundling report that they didn't know how much

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 they were paying?

MR. HORRIGAN: Yes. Half of
bundlers did not know what they were paying
per month when we asked them specifically,
"Can you tell me what the internet portion of
your bundled bill?" Half of bundlers said
they couldn't specify. So that average number
that I reported excludes those people from
whom we could not get an answer. Karen?

MS. NARASAKI: Yes. Do you have a sense of how independent race is from education and economic status?

MR. HORRIGAN: For both AfricanAmericans and Hispanics the effects are
statistically significant, but not that big.
So it's more of a story of income or
education. Whatever cultural factors may be
associated with the significance on the
African-American or Hispanic variables just
isn't a large impact.

Let's move on to - we're going to skip through Slides 9, 10, 11 and we're going

to go to 12 which gets us to non-adoption. 1 2 It's not to say that the data on those other 3 slides was not important. We actually in the 4 survey asked lots of questions about what 5 people do with their broadband internet 6 connections and what they value about being 7 connected online, and I would recommend you 8 look at that and I'm available by email if you 9 have any questions specifically about that, but I thought in the interest of time we'd 10 11 move to non-adoption. And let's then move to 12 Slide 13 and goes right to the question Andy asked earlier: what's the nature of non-13 14 adoption. And as I said before, when you 15 focus on the 35 percent of Americans who don't 16 have broadband at home, 22 percent of all 17 Americans are non-internet users, 6 percent 18 still have dial-up at home, 6 percent going 19 online from somewhere other than home, at 20 We asked people about whether they have work. 21 broadband infrastructure where they live. 22 Four percent said that they do not have

broadband where they live in - this was data gleaned from the survey. The deployment team for the Broadband Plan using different methodology to try to figure out where infrastructure is and where infrastructure isn't actually converged on about the same number of Americans saying they don't have broadband where they live. Our deployment guys find that 5 percent of Americans don't have broadband where they live. The survey finds that 4 percent say they don't have broadband where they live. All of this is by way of saying that for the most part the nonadoption problem is not about infrastructure availability, it's about something else. Roughly 31 percent of Americans do not have broadband because for some reason they choose not to get it, but that reason does not include lack of available infrastructure. John, just curious in MS. MAGO: terms of people self-reporting whether they have access to broadband, how did you drill

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

down to ask that question in terms of someone can understand they've got dial-up
service versus DSL or something like that, but
if they - how did you determine whether they
had access to it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. HORRIGAN: It's a good question. It's simply self-reporting. People were permitted in the survey to select from a menu of different possible reasons why they don't have broadband: cost, lack of skill, lack of interest and one box that they could effectively check is it's not available where I live. Now, from a survey design perspective you don't want to ask too many questions in a survey that ask people to try to figure out what's going on with infrastructure in their neighborhood. Not the best technique to use, or rather overuse in a survey because quite obviously they could be incorrect about that. They're much more likely to be correct on a whole other set of questions about things they face on a daily basis. But it's a question we

have to ask since it's a legitimate reason for not having broadband and it isn't a perfect measure, but it's not half bad either in that it converges with what our deployment team found as well.

MR. WINSTON: Excuse me, John.

When you were doing the survey, did you find some people didn't even know what you were asking them when you asked about broadband?

Did you have to define it in some way for them?

MR. HORRIGAN: That's another good question. And we had to take up some space on the survey to really get to a reliable or what we felt was a reliable measure of whether somebody has broadband at home or not. So we asked people off of a list of different types of connections you could have to tell us do you have this at home to get online. So do you have a cable modem, do you have DSL, do you have fiberoptic, do you have wireless. So they could actually check more than one box.

And I'm using "checking the box" figuratively since this was done on the phone. And as it happens people don't really know with much specificity what their broadband connection is at home. People check multiples boxes when it's probably not the case that they have DSL and a cable modem, yet for a bunch of reasons not least of which is Census encouraged us to use the question they used, we employed this technique because it's an imperfect way to get at whether somebody has broadband, but all the other ways are even more imperfect. So we asked them to list from the menu which types of broadband connections they had. asked them - including dial-up. Then we asked them do you go online with a slow speed connection like dial-up or something else, and we got an answer to that. Then we asked them again, just to confirm, is your current internet connection a dial-up internet connection at home or not. And that sort of stripped away false positives on that and got

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

us to the 6 percent number that I cited for dial-up at home with everybody else being classified as having broadband. Again, it's perhaps not a perfect way to go about it, ideally you could look at their bill, or look over their shoulder, but we can't do that in a survey, yet we feel like it hones in on the people who have dial-up and we feel like people understand whether they have dial-up or not, and then sorts everybody else into some category of broadband connection. But we're not getting a very close read on who has cable modem versus DSL versus fiber, that sort of thing. So it's a long way of saying it was an opt-in technique, but it was a technique we went to great pains to try to really pin people down on dial-up versus broadband.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Next slide, Slide 14, just discusses the techniques we use for understanding the reasons for non-adoption.

And one reason we did this was really to try to improve on techniques used at the Pew

Internet Project in the past to try to understand non-adoption. At Pew we tended to ask people if you don't have broadband, well why not, and then code the answers accordingly. Here, we undertook a two-step approach of giving people a list of different reasons that they may or may not - different reasons of why they don't have broadband, let them list those reasons for us and then do a follow-up question asking, okay, what is the most important reason, or what is the main reason why you don't have broadband at home. So it kind of anchored a list of reasons in people's heads as step one, and step two, pin them down with a question on so what's the most important reason that you don't have broadband at home. And Slide 15 I won't go into any great detail, but Slide 15 lists the menu of reasons that we asked people about as to why they may not have broadband at home. So you can look at them there. There are a whole range of reasons, the ones in green

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

pertaining to cost, the ones in red pertaining to digital literacy, and then the ones in blue pertaining to those who we're going to categorize as not having awareness of relevant content online.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So the results on the next page are really in some way some of the core findings of the survey, and these are the main reasons people do not adopt broadband at home. So 36 percent cite reasons pertaining to cost. In the white paper itself we have analysis on how these factors vary across different demographic groups. So overall 36 percent of non-adopters cited cost as a barrier to adoption. For Hispanics actually 52 percent of Hispanics cited cost as the reason they do not have broadband at home. Forty-two percent of African-Americans cited cost as the main reason they do not have broadband at home. So those two minority groups had a higher incidence of citing cost as a reason for not being online. Twenty-two percent cited - of

non-adopters cited reasons pertaining to 1 2 digital literacy as the reason that they're 3 not online, then 19 percent cited issues 4 pertaining to not being aware of relevant 5 content. What's also important to recognize is that although the data in this slide focus 6 7 on - in Slide 16, the data in Slide 16 focus 8 on the main reasons that people don't have 9 broadband to home, in fact since people in the prior question in the survey could cite more 10 11 than one reason for not having broadband at home, another important takeaway from the 12 13 survey is that there are in fact multiple 14 reasons for non-adoption. So people who cited cost were quite likely to cite digital 15 16 literacy and relevance as factors that they 17 have in not having broadband at home. So the 18 survey enables us to see the main reasons for people not having broadband at home, but also 19 20 understand that there are typically multiple 21 reasons for people not having broadband at 22 home.

1

2 time is jus
3 20 is real?

5

4

6

7

9

10

8

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

22

21

time is just skip ahead to Slide 20, and Slide 20 is really the output of a bunch of analysis that I describe in the several slides leading up to it. And what we wanted to do again in understanding the different reasons underlying non-adoption is really understand the many dimensions of non-adoption. So what this slide shows is a result of a little segmenting exercise which asks the question is the reason for non-adoption having to do with attitude or overall level of technology assets or some combination thereto. So what do I mean by One, people who don't have broadband at that? home aren't necessarily divorced from modern information and communication technology. Many of them have cell phones, many of them have computers. Secondly, people who don't have broadband at home aren't monolithic in their attitudes towards broadband. people think information technology is a good

What I may do in the interests of

thing, some think it's a dangerous and scary

sort of thing. Other - some people think 1 2 information technology is a good thing for 3 learning, others rate lower on those indices. 4 So this typology sorts the 35 percent of non-5 adopters into four categories based on a 6 likelihood that they may adopt broadband. 7 the green box of near converts are 10 percent 8 of the population who in fact have a high rate 9 of computer ownership and a high rate of comfort with information technology and 10 positive attitudes towards it. A lot of them 11 are the people that Andy asked about earlier 12 13 who are internet users, but just not at home. 14 These people stand a pretty good chance 15 probably of getting broadband since they have good attitudes toward it and some experience 16 17 with the internet to begin with. They cite 18 mainly cost as their barrier to getting online so if you could help them with cost you could 19 20 get a pretty quick conversion rate of them to 21 broadband. The red box is the opposite end of 22 the spectrum, that's the digitally distant.

That's 10 percent of the general population 1 2 who lack the skills and resources to get online and also have negative attitudes 3 4 towards cyberspace. So this is your toughest 5 sell for getting the 35 percent of non-6 adopters online with broadband since they lack 7 the skills, they face significant cost hurdles and have sour attitudes about information 8 9 technology. The two categories coded in yellow are sort of in between and I won't go 10 into them in any great detail, but that's 15 11 12 percent of the population who show in 13 different ways some glimmers of hope to 14 getting online, but also face some significant barriers having to do with either digital 15 16 literacy or understanding the relevance of broadband. 17 So with that I'll conclude, field 18 19 any additional questions or open it up to 20 discussion if we have any. Karen first, then 21 Charles.

Thanks John, this

MS. NARASAKI:

is very interesting. Did you find any racial differences for the Slide 20, the four categories? Were there differences among the groups in terms of which boxes they were more likely to fall into?

MR. HORRIGAN: Yes, and I'm trying to recall that. For I believe it was the digitally uncomfortable group that was heavily - I'm sorry, the digitally hopeful group was heavily Hispanic. I think that group had 26 percent of Hispanics. So that was the one group which had a notable racial difference across the four groups. Charles?

MR. WARFIELD: Mine was just generally. Was there any, and I don't know whether you had this data, but geographic relevance to any of this data in terms of their attitudes towards the internet for the non-adopters?

MR. HORRIGAN: It was - given the sample size even though, as I've said it was rather large for national surveys, it's

difficult to get down to geographic levels for any of the categories of non-adopters unfortunately. One day I need a big Census survey.

MR. BLACKWELL: Thank you for this. There are parts of it that are very encouraging, and there are parts of it that are troubling for me for the nation as a whole. But I wanted to ask you a follow-up on a question that Karen had asked earlier, but I - from a higher level, how do you regard this study as being relevant for Native America?

MR. HORRIGAN: As the Chairman said, we are aware of and sorely understand the need for more research on Native American populations. I think - again, this grows out from some of the workshops we had which included representatives from the tribal lands. Relevance could be a prominent barrier for the population subgroup because we just heard in our workshops how once infrastructure

is made available in many of these locations there develops quickly an active set of users and a community of users, so I think for the tribal areas in particular once you solve the infrastructure problem, moving toward training to help people understand the relevance of broadband and building digital skills are the challenges you want to address right after that. And again from our workshops there's some indication that there's some latent demand there and that people quickly become converted to this stuff.

MR. BLACKWELL: Our best estimate says - to pin a broadband penetration rate on tribal lands is 10 percent. That's reflected in the Broadband Plan and we all heard what the Chairman said, and he himself has stated this to tribal leaders. That comes from a couple of - more than a couple of years of discussion among tribal businesses and tribal leaders nationwide, and every one of the commenters from Indian country to the National

Broadband Plan underscored that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I want to draw your attention to a study, the first ever study on broadband in Indian country. It's a study that was performed by Native Public Media and the New America Foundation. And if you've not seen this I want to get a copy of it to you because it underscores what you just said, that on tribal lands it is largely a function of lack of infrastructure, but that certain of the results in your study are - there was a different take in Indian country. There is a faster adoption rate. There are particular literacy challenges. And on your work going forward I would encourage you to use a very special tool that you have in the government, the government relationship that the Commission shares and recognizes in this plan for - to ping the tribal governments themselves as those who can help you doublecheck your results, some of those who know their communities better than anyone. There

is a great opportunity in Indian country for the deployment of broadband. I think what you will also learn is just how in the next study and what the implications of your study lead us to conclusions about where - what sort of industrial plans will succeed, what sort of business models will succeed. One thing that this study, the New America and Native Public Media study represents is that it's often those that - in Indian country, it's those business models that are going to meet that particular economic challenge, those extreme economic challenges and the extreme literacy So even though it didn't include needs. Indian country to a great depth, I'm hopeful that with the plans that you have that we can see that in the future.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. HORRIGAN: We are definitely going to try to have the recommendations implemented and bring life to them so we can get better data and continue the dialogue that we've already established with the tribal

leaders.

Very much, John. We appreciate your taking time to come and speak with us today. It's very interesting. Now we're going to hear from our friends from Joint Center. Ralph, thank you very much for making these folks available to us. Dr. Gant and Dr. Lee you have the floor with the caveat that I promised to let these people go by 4 o'clock. So you need to move along on this. Thank you.

DR. GANT: Okay, thank you
Chairman Rivera and thank you for inviting us.
And it's a real pleasure to be able to share
our results, especially after John Horrigan's
results were reported as well too. And I'm
here with Dr. Ying Li and Dr. Nicol Turner-Lee
was also here but she had another speaking
engagement. But thanks for having our team
here today, and we also thank Ralph Everett
for all his support on this too, for the extra
coffee bills and so forth that we gave him to

try and stay up to get this done on time.

MR. EVERETT: You're welcome.

(Laughter)

DR. GANT: But our report really tries to zero in and focus on the experience of minorities in terms of adopting - using internet - broadband internet. And you know, as was really clear with all the very exciting studies that's out there, there is a very large opportunity to take advantage of the internet, but of course then the great challenge of trying to figure out how to make the whole experience more inclusive.

So a goal of our work which is

Slide I guess 3 here is really to try and look

at the issue of acceptance and use of

broadband internet among minorities. It's one

slide back. Thank you. And also to let this

become the basis of a longitudinal study of

analysis that we'd also like to do at the

Joint Center. And this study is really the

first study to really zero in, focus on

minorities. And we do have the same issue that John Horrigan mentioned, that when you start looking at minorities the sample size is a problem with having a sample size that's representative and large enough. In this study it was the first to do an over-sample based on minorities. Our numbers are a little bit larger than John's in terms of minorities but still there's an issue of having statistically significant data as well too.

And so with this - next slide,
please. And with our work we partnered with
the Princeton Survey Research Group. This is
the group that works with Pew to do their
study, and many of our questions actually are
modeled after Pew so there's similarities
between our study, the FCC study, Pew study as
well too. The studies were done in bilingual
as well, as we've just heard. And the other
thing that we've done is we supplement this
with field research that our team is doing in
Chicago, San Francisco and East St. Louis,

Illinois. And one very particular thing that distinguishes our study is our model about acceptance and use. We're trying to figure out was there a rich way of sorting out all these different factors. And so if you go to the next slide, please. And so we've taken this model which we call broadband acceptance and use to try and organize all these different factors because we believe that being online and staying online is about cost, it's about relevance, but it's about other things too. It's about how easy is the technology to use. If I get online, if I finally get online, am I going to be able to do the things that I really want to be able to do? Are there resources that are available, not only in the community by being able to go into a library, learning through school, or at the job, but frankly what we learned through a number of our field research studies was that people learn from each other. And it was very interesting to hear studies of people

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

learning for - this inter-generational 1 2 learning. We did a study, a field research in Chicago where there's a woman there who's the 3 4 executive director for a very large non-profit 5 doing a lot of great things there and so we 6 asked her how did you learn. She said frankly 7 it was because my 12-year-old son was 8 learning. I had questions, and I knew I could close the door and ask him and I wouldn't be 9 embarrassed. If I asked my staff I wouldn't 10 11 be able to get the same kind of response. 12 she said she learned a lot from her son. So there's - so this is an important factor. 13 14 of course, you know, you control for things 15 like age, your prior experience, and things 16 like that. And so we're using this as a model 17 to try to estimate the likelihood of people going online so we can try and estimate the 18 19 size of this digital exclusion and the cost of 20 exclusion, and also to think about policy 21 options where oftentimes because of budgets 22 and so forth a lot of policy options are sort

of piecemeal. And we were trying to figure out which factor is going to be the most important as we do think about and begin the policy discussion as well. So this framework really helps us to do that. So this is what we're going to be working on in the short term and in the long run as well too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

All right, some of the key highlights, and a lot of things kind of really piggy-back off the FCC study so next slide, please. And it was actually very important that there was some corroboration with our data with the three major studies that are out there - or the four, the Pew, the NTIA and the FCC study. So our data, it fits right in line with everybody else's, but again we drilled down further into trying to break out things by race. So of course as John mentioned one of the key things is income, and with our data we confirmed this as well too and we actually break this out by income. And you can see that income is a major - there's a large gap

between - based on income. But then within each income bracket there's actually similarities based on race. And so this really helps to reinforce that income is a very important factor in explaining the differences.

Similar with - the next slide

please - with education. Education also

there's a very large gap with folks with a

college degree or better, an average of about

80 percent are online. Rather, folks with

just a high school or less, you know, it's

more than half of the country is not online.

So we can see that education is a factor and

then again with our data we can see there's a

similar pattern across the races. Not

necessarily significant differences, but we do

see similar patterns. And then the next

slide, please.

And then we get into age, and here age becomes a really interesting story.

Again, confirms what other studies are saying,

but here we're starting to see that even though there is an age gap there's also an age and race gap as well too. And in fact, it's very significant when we were looking at older Americans that are 50 years or older, that race really does play a big difference, a significant difference in terms of broadband adoption. So that's, you know, raises concern in seeing that a number of seniors are left behind. And I think the Broadband Plan does talk about initiatives to try and bridge that gap, but that's a very significant gap that really needs to be underscored as well.

And as the Chairman Genachowski and Commissioner Clyburn reported, you know, there is a great promise for broadband. The uptake rate is significant, it's good, but the other thing that's real subtle here is that - next slide, please - we've also noted that there is a big demographic difference in who are the newcomers and who are the folks that are really well established on the internet.

When we break down the data in this way we see that minorities are over-represented as newcomers to the internet, and so this really raises questions about what does that mean. Well, from a personal anecdote, you know, thinking of my family, they get online now and I've been online for, you know, 20 years, but they always email me about things that were relevant five, ten years ago, you know, the latest viruses and things like that. really raises the question of if minorities are over-represented as newcomers, what also needs to be done to really help newcomers understand the whole culture of the internet. Not only literacy, but there's also a culture component of being online to understand. then, is the internet ready for the newcomers because it's kind of a dynamic space where it should evolve and grow and should be - and as I heard in a talk earlier this week, it should be an echo system that also takes in and evolves as new people come on as well too. So

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

it's going to be exciting to see how this happens.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

In terms of where folks are getting online, we see that there's a difference in who uses public access points like libraries, for example, and we find that African-Americans and Hispanics tend to use public access points like libraries significantly. And this also raises a question because as we report later, a number of minorities, a high percentage of minorities go online for job apps, educational, things like that, which tend to be media-rich type of applications. But then if you're depending on libraries and schools for those places, those are places where you also have caps on the amount of data that you can download in a session. You know, you may only get a half hour of time, but you're limited in the amount of video you can watch and things like that. I personally - I teach - one thing broadband has done for me is that I'm here in

Washington, D.C. but I'm still a professor at the University of Illinois, and I'm teaching my classes online on Tuesday nights and Thursday nights from my condominium. And so I'm able to do that because of broadband. But then if I have students that have to go to a library, they can't watch my entire lecture. I don't guarantee they do when I'm there anyway, but nonetheless though.

(Laughter)

DR. GANT: You know, so there's limits on what you can do in public places in terms of internet. So that's an important issue as well too. And next slide, please.

Our report is rich with lots of data and so forth, so again I'm just really touching on highlights. But we also ask what are folks - what are they doing online, and broke that down by race as well too. And next slide, please. And one thing that stands out is a significant racial difference in terms of looking for a job, where 78 percent of

African-Americans report that they use the internet for looking for a job, and there's a significant difference there. Next slide, please. And again, what's nice about our data is that we can break this down further. So then we said, okay, how does that look based on income. And when we look at income we see that the lowest income bracket, 92 percent of African-Americans that make less than \$20,000 are online looking for a job. And again, our data teases that out, and so I think it can add some sharpness to our discussion about how broadband is being used. Next slide, please.

And again, similar type of analysis for education where again, when you look at sort of the end of the spectrum where folks are high school graduate or less, again we see this big difference. We know education is a difference and we also know that there's differences in what people do online, and then when we break it down by race we see that there's a strong racial difference as well

1 too. Next slide, please.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The next slide talks about where people get online, work, library and so forth. We did some fun analysis. You know, Ying is always careful to say when we cut our data, you know, we can't cut it too thin because of the significance, statistical significance, but this is one question where we looked at work, right? We all get online at work, but again when you break it down by income you see there's a big racial difference. Because folks that are on the lower income level don't have the same time in their job to get online So then subsequently we see kind of a there. higher use by lower income at the public library and also with schools as well too. Next slide, please.

And then device ownership. Cell phone is king so far and - next slide, please - and we see that's pretty consistent by race that roughly 80 percent of the population is using the cell phone, but - next slide, please

- but when we look at cell phone activities, again, at the first level we don't see this richness but when we start breaking it down a little bit further then all of a sudden we see wow, there are some big differences in how folks are using the cell phone, and I think it's very exciting. We see that sending text messages and emails are obviously very popular, but we're seeing things that our data also talks - there's a racial difference in terms of who's looking for jobs, government information, and things like that. And so it really becomes a question of just how that robust is that interface and then why are some pockets of our population adopting it more than others, and what can we learn from that if we want to try and see more widespread adoption as the Chairman also noted as well too. Yes? John, can I ask one MS. SUTTER: question on that? The cell phone activity, I've got to believe that there's an age factor

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

difference.

DR. GANT: Yes, there is.

MS. SUTTER: - that is significant
in this, because it's hard for me to believe
that more people are downloading a ringtone
than sending an email. So I'm gathering that
there's a real differentiation by age on this
particular slide that would make a big

DR. GANT: Yes, absolutely there is. The ringtone folks are the young folks that are out there, our millennials that are out there. Although, you know, I was in church with my mom the other day and there are a lot of -

MS. SUTTER: She was downloading a ringtone?

DR. GANT: Yes, she's got - yes,

you know.

MS. SUTTER: Way cool mom.

DR. GANT: And she's in that 65 -

oh, I can't say her age. She's in the older

1 age group, you know.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(Laughter)

MS. NARASAKI: Younger people also aren't using email, they're texting.

DR. GANT: Yes, they're texting a lot, they're texting a lot.

MS. SUTTER: Right, but as I say, this slide seemed to me it would be a different viewpoint if we had it by age too.

Right, I agree, I DR. GANT: agree. Just in interest of time, this question of non-adoption is very important. The FCC study over-sampled for that as well And this is the sticky part of the How do we deal with the nonquestion. adoption? What I appreciate about the FCC study is that segmentation. We're going to put that frame here with our data as we analyze it more, but it's certainly trying to understand of course cost is an issue, but there's this large group that says look, I'm just not interested. And so we've got to try

and understand what does that mean in terms of thinking about the future of internet, broadband, and so forth. And then - next slide, please - and then we also asked if you could get online, what would you do? Most folks want to use it for social, stay in touch with family and friends, and that type of thing, and also government information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So I know we had to rush through The study is available online, but this. again, what it does, it really compliments the earlier work with our over-sample that we can really focus in on minority issues. I wish it were more robust per Ms. Narasaki's comments about all groups. We tried to look at it, but Dr. Li you know was very careful to say we're cutting it too thin and we can't say anything statistically significant. We could see trends with this, but - and we would like to be able to get richer data, so probably what we're going to do is a longitudinal study to look at that. We also have another study

looking at mobile use, that's zeroing in on the mobile question to try and understand that more clearly, and then also we have Joe Miller who's here in our audience who's also looking at some policy issues around this as well too. So we've got a lot of work in front of us, but that's really what we're zeroing in and focusing on.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Thank you very much and in particular thank you for minding the time. It's very interesting and you did a wonderful job. Toni, I'm hoping that you will find these two studies rich field for your subcommittee. Maybe you can come back to us with some recommendations, particularly working with Joe who's developing some policy recommendations around this. So all right. Is there anything else to come before the group? All right, Susan. I mean Karen, I'm sorry.

MS. NARASAKI: Yes, I just want to note, I met with the executive director of the

White House Initiative on Asian-American and Pacific Islanders. The President had signed the executive order late last year and so it's up and running at the Department of Education and they have an interagency task force. And I was very pleased to hear from her that the FCC, even though it wasn't called out in the executive order, actually volunteered to be part of the interagency task force. So I just want to commend the FCC and find out who at the FCC is actually going to be doing that.

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: Do you know the answer to that question, Tom? Okay. Is that responsive, Karen? Okay. Toni?

MS. BUSH: One thing I was just going to raise is in connection with both

Native Americans, but also particularly with

Asian-Americans. I think that there is - it's an important area for the FCC and for this committee to look at because there is very little information on if, for example, the Arbitron which keeps track of radio listening

has very little information on Asian stations even though there are significant Asian stations and there are challenges. you know, I have a client who has an Asianfocused television station and he, you know, has programming in 10 different languages and so I'm sure it's complicated, you know, how you do it, but I do think it's an area that the FCC and the federal government you know should be spending time given the size of the Asian-American population. And I know there's been a lot of discussion on Native American population, but I think the difficulties in gathering that information shouldn't preclude us from trying to get it and really working in that arena because I think not just in connection with broadband, but generally in connection with use of technology and media it's an area where we don't have a lot of information and the government and I think the American people would benefit from having more information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

ask you to think about that a little more and make some suggestions about where we might pigeonhole that in our committee? Because I agree with you 100 percent. We need somebody to dig in and work on it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. BUSH: Yes, we'll work on that.

MS. NARASAKI: I'd be happy to do that. I think the challenge is because the little data that's out there that looks at the Asian community broadly shows us it's in pretty good shape, but the few little research that's been out that dug a little deeper showed the disparity that's within the Asian-American community by ethnic group. So the challenge is you have to do the research down to the ethnic group level to really understand what's happening, and we do know that there are people who are falling behind so I would love to work with Toni on that.

MS. BUSH: And then I just have one other thing which is that, you know, I did

want to just say, to congratulate Andy 1 2 Schwartzman on bringing Ty Brown in, but also to thank him for all of his work. 3 stealing from Ralph a trick that he uses, but 4 5 you know, Andy's a friend, a colleague, a 6 supporter, an opponent. He's thoughtful, 7 smart, hard-working, he likes crossword 8 puzzles, he's a music lover, he's committed, 9 he's been a mentor to a lot of us and I just 10 want to say, you know, thank you. 11 (Applause) 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN RIVERA: He'll be around.

He'll be doing his Sudoku and all of his

crossword puzzles still with us. But very

well said, thank you for that. Anything else

to come before the committee? Well, thank you

all for coming. We're going to go ahead and

stand adjourned, and we'll be in touch about

a June meeting. Hope to see you all then.

Thank you.

(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the record at 4:04 p.m.)

	adopt 48:5 82:9	agency 10:5 11:5	angry 37:1	<b>Asian</b> 61:18 111:1
A	85:6	17:6,13,16 18:17	ANNE 2:12	111:2,4 112:11,14
<b>ability</b> 16:14 52:8	adopted 27:3	21:6 39:1,15	announced 6:13	Asian-American
65:10	adopted 27.3 adopters 85:5 86:6	42:21 55:2	announcement 6:6	62:20 63:6 110:1
able 15:1 53:20	adopting 93:6	agency's 16:21	annual 70:10	111:11
60:8 64:8 92:14	105:15	agenda 3:4 5:8 7:16	answer 25:10 74:9	Asian-Americans
95:14,15,17 96:11	adoption 3:14,17	ago 6:12 22:22,22	79:18 110:13	62:14 63:1 110:18
102:5 108:20	3:18 21:1,13,18	23:18 27:2 100:9	answers 67:16 81:4	aside 6:14
absolutely 106:10	22:3,9,13,15 24:1	agree 107:10,11	anticipate 40:10	asked 4:7 8:18 67:7
academic 54:3	28:10 60:13,22	112:5	ANTOINETTE	67:13 74:4 75:4
accelerate 31:16,17	61:5 63:9 65:1,12	ahead 34:5 62:5	1:14	75:13,20 78:9,17
32:21	69:13 70:6,21	84:2 113:17	anxious 60:15	79:13,15,15,18
acceptance 3:18	71:22 73:7,10,12	air 35:16	anyway 102:9	81:19 85:12 88:10
93:16 95:3,7	75:14 76:14 82:15	alert 7:14	apologize 6:8	96:6,10 108:4
access 6:13,15,20	90:13 99:8 105:18	Alliance 2:20	<b>Applause</b> 7:3 37:11	asking 67:11 78:9
23:20 24:18 27:9	107:16	allow 27:4	41:18 113:11	81:10
48:9,14 68:14,18	adult 70:1	alluded 55:19	applicants 48:18	asks 62:17 84:10
69:6,11,18,20	adunt 70.1 advance 62:3	altogether 14:20	applications 23:16	aspect 43:6 46:16
76:22 77:5 101:5	advance 62.3 advancing 52:18	ambassadors 13:10	101:14	aspects 5:14
101:8	advantage 15:1	America 3:14	applied 43:17	assets 84:12
accessed 68:8	27:6 28:22 93:10	60:13,22 88:13	apply 28:11 43:1	assignment 24:15
accessing 68:10	advice 37:8,16	90:6 91:8	44:13	24:15
accomplish 62:2	advise 12:10	American 62:21	applying 19:5	assignments 24:10
account 18:16	advising 12:17	63:22 65:6 88:16	appointed 16:21	24:10 25:4,7
achieve 32:2	advisory 1:3 3:5	111:12,21 112:15	18:22	assistance 58:15
acknowledged 58:12	4:18 13:11 14:22	Americans 39:13	appreciate 12:11	Associate 3:23
	48:4	61:19 62:10,15	12:11,17,18 37:8	associated 73:6
acquisitions 8:4 45:4 46:1	<b>affirm</b> 41:10	63:1 67:1,3,6,22	45:6 92:3 107:16	74:18
action 9:16	affordability 21:2	68:1 70:1,3 71:2,3	apprenticeship	assumed 33:16
active 89:2	21:13 28:8 30:12	71:10,20 73:3,4	44:10	assured 39:14
active 89.2 actively 8:9 39:14	<b>African</b> 71:2,9	73:13,14,15 74:14	approach 81:6	Atlantic 34:15
activities 105:1	74:13	75:15,17 76:7,9	apps 101:12	attempt 26:5
activities 103.1 activity 105:21	African-American	76:16 99:5 110:17		attended 14:20
add 103:12	74:19	amount 21:10	Arbitron 8:6	attention 12:15
add 103.12 addition 11:1 15:6	African-America	35:17 51:4 57:7	110:22	28:8 29:3 58:20
16:20 33:5 40:7	61:17 71:5,13	101:17,19	area 15:22 17:6	70:22 71:17 90:2
58:7	82:18 101:7 103:1	analysis 73:5 82:11	19:6 30:7,22 34:7	attitude 84:11
additional 51:11	103:9	84:3 93:20 103:15	35:9 36:10 43:5	attitudes 84:20
64:4 65:7,12	afternoon 4:15	104:4	110:19 111:8,19	85:11,16 86:3,8
86:19	7:16 51:17	<b>analyze</b> 107:19	areas 11:19 12:10	87:18
address 7:12 21:16	age 1:4 4:19 70:15	anchored 81:13	13:2 14:15 16:3	auction 35:22
89:8	72:18 73:2,5,9	<b>Andrew</b> 1:17 73:19	20:14 22:11,14	auctions 35:13
adjourned 113:18	96:15 98:20,21	<b>Andy</b> 6:4 7:4 75:12	24:4,5 30:11,11	47:11,12
Administration	99:2,2 105:22	85:12 113:1	42:11 50:18 89:4	audience 109:4
30:19	106:7,22 107:1,9	<b>Andy's</b> 113:5	arena 42:18 43:12	Austin 53:5
administrative	agencies 16:12	anecdotal 28:18	111:16	authorize 48:7
6:17	32:16 46:18	anecdote 100:5	arms 21:11	<b>AV</b> 61:9

availability 76:15	behalf 8:18	branded 54:18	80:11,17 81:3,8	43:9 54:4,5,16,18
available 23:4 27:5	believe 19:16 29:9	break 43:20 97:17	81:12,17,20 82:9	55:4 89:20
32:10 51:3 71:19	29:10 33:8 36:11		, ,	
75:8 76:19 77:12		97:21 100:1 103:5 103:21 104:10	82:17,19 83:9,11	<b>busy</b> 5:3 7:19 11:5 45:5
89:1 92:8 95:16	64:12 65:6,13 87:7 95:9 105:22		83:17,19,21 84:14 84:19,20 85:6,15	45.5
108:10	106:4	breaking 105:3 breakout 61:16	85:21 86:6,17	
average 21:17 24:1	believes 57:5,6	71:18	89:7,14,16 90:1,3	C 1:14
70:17 71:4,16,20	benefit 111:21	<b>bridge</b> 99:11	91:2 93:7,17 95:7	cable 49:8 78:20
73:3 74:7 98:10	benefits 31:9	<b>brief</b> 51:20	99:7,10,16 101:21	79:7 80:12
aware 83:4 88:15	benefitted 27:15	<b>briefly</b> 31:4 33:9	102:5 103:13	<b>CakeLove</b> 29:1,4
awareness 82:4	Bess-Johnson 2:2	bright-eyed 36:11	102.3 103.13	calibrating 48:13
awareness 82.4 awfully 10:12	13:9	bring 30:20 32:6	broadband-using	call 11:20 17:14,17
awhile 4:13	best 17:9 18:8,16	50:20 91:20	67:20	36:10 47:21 51:13
Aye 44:5,19 50:7	19:5 24:18 38:7	<b>bringing</b> 14:8 15:9	broadcast 34:19	59:19 70:22 71:17
ayes 44:6,20 50:8	77:17 89:13	58:17 113:2	35:6,10 36:10	95:7
<b>A-G-E-N-D-A</b> 3:1	better 31:19 32:22	brings 19:10	42:17 46:12 47:7	<b>called</b> 60:21 110:7
	33:2,6 70:13	<b>broad</b> 19:19 34:11	53:22	capital 14:15 15:3
В	90:22 91:21 98:10	43:7 69:16	broadcasters 35:20	15:10 35:11 36:1
<b>back</b> 13:7 16:9	beyond 50:19	broadband 2:5	broadcasting 35:1	48:9,14
17:18 24:21 42:9	big 69:17,19 70:15	3:14,16,17 5:14	43:4,10,12 49:8	caps 101:16
62:6 65:18 72:17	74:15 88:3 99:6	5:17 11:6 12:21	<b>broadening</b> 49:13	capture 26:6
93:18 109:14	99:20 103:18	13:18,18 14:18	broadly 112:11	career 18:20
background 4:6	104:11 105:5	15:17 16:10 17:1	broke 102:18	careful 104:5
14:6,9	106:8	19:10,14 20:9,13	<b>brought</b> 39:6 40:11	108:16
<b>bad</b> 23:5 78:3	<b>bigger</b> 73:8	20:13,16 21:8,18	<b>Brown</b> 6:19 113:2	Carolyn 2:3 13:8
balanced 48:19	bilingual 94:18	22:16 24:8 25:9	budget 47:1 50:2	45:11 47:9 57:20
<b>Barbara</b> 2:2 3:2	<b>bill</b> 74:6 80:5	26:3,13 28:17,22	budgeted 47:7	carries 44:9 45:1
5:22 7:15 13:8	bills 92:22	29:15 30:7,15	budgets 96:21	50:11
51:1,8 56:13	<b>binder</b> 55:16	31:9,12,17 32:1,2	<b>building</b> 16:11 89:7	<b>carve</b> 69:3
barrier 73:16	<b>bit</b> 6:8 14:16 40:15	32:5,8,9,10,19,21	<b>bunch</b> 79:7 84:3	<b>case</b> 79:6
82:14 85:18 88:20	42:20 57:16 62:1	33:15,19 34:2,22	<b>bundled</b> 74:6	cases 22:10
barriers 86:15	68:6 69:14 73:12	35:18 36:7 52:15	bundlers 74:3,6	<b>catch</b> 31:2
bars 69:21 71:1	94:8 105:4	54:8,12,22 55:9	bundling 73:22	<b>catching</b> 11:8 40:7
<b>based</b> 61:1 65:4	<b>Blacks</b> 18:18	57:17 60:13,21,22	<b>bureau</b> 18:4 56:14	categories 63:3
85:5 94:7 98:1,3	BLACKWELL	61:5 63:20 64:2	<b>bureaus</b> 18:8 56:13	70:22 85:5 86:9
103:6	1:14 88:5 89:13	64:22 65:3,5,8	Bush 1:14 12:22	87:3 88:2
<b>basic</b> 63:9	<b>blue</b> 28:19 82:2	66:11 67:2,4,6,8,9	64:14 110:15	categorize 82:4
basically 9:7 41:8	<b>body</b> 50:14	67:15 68:3,8,12	112:7,21	category 80:11
50:1 60:4 68:14	<b>bonus</b> 10:11	68:18,20 69:4,18	<b>business</b> 2:6 3:11	caucus 51:8
<b>basing</b> 63:19	booth 4:7	69:20 70:6,12,15	3:25 7:17 14:19	caveat 92:9
basis 58:4 77:22	boss 52:13	70:18,21 71:6,13	28:21 29:6,7,15	CBS 2:12
93:19	<b>box</b> 77:11 78:22	71:21 72:3,6,10	29:17 30:2,19	cede 37:10
baskets 69:12	79:1 85:7,21	72:13,16 73:7,10	31:1 34:6 54:20	cell 66:15,17,17
beautiful 4:19	<b>boxes</b> 79:5 87:4	75:5,16,21 76:1,3	91:7,11	67:22 68:9 84:17
beginning 33:13	<b>bracket</b> 98:2 103:8	76:8,10,12,17,22	businesses 15:9	104:18,22 105:1,6
58:2	<b>brag</b> 37:17	77:10 78:2,9,16	28:12,14,16 30:10	105:21
<b>begun</b> 19:9	<b>brain</b> 13:19	79:4,11,14 80:3	30:22 34:15 43:6	Census 79:8 88:3

	Ì		Ì	I
Census-based 63:7	changed 57:15	closely 15:17 39:18	37:12 38:4 40:5	108:11
65:9	72:14	<b>cloud</b> 30:1	51:22 55:18 99:15	complying 42:17
center 2:13,16,21	<b>changes</b> 8:6 9:21	Clyburn 1:22	commissioners	component 52:21
3:21 5:15 64:17	16:5,6 41:15	10:12,22 17:8,10	1:21 18:6 45:7	100:16
92:6 93:21	changing 11:18	17:11,18 26:1	52:2 56:8	computer 85:9
centers 21:21 54:20	channel 30:19	37:4,10,12 38:4	Commission's 5:14	computers 33:18
central 54:2	channels 35:15	40:2,5 51:22	10:2	84:18
certain 90:10	Charisse 13:12	55:19 99:15	commitment 27:17	computing 30:1
<b>certainly</b> 7:6 60:4	<b>Charles</b> 1:18 86:21	Clyburn's 18:14	40:18,19	concern 99:8
107:19	87:13	<b>code</b> 81:4	committed 38:17	<b>concerns</b> 35:3 41:6
certainty 62:17	<b>charter</b> 4:22 51:2,3	coded 86:9	38:19 56:4,8 58:3	conclude 86:18
<b>chair</b> 43:19 44:14	chartered 52:6	coffee 92:22	60:2 113:8	concluded 33:19
45:9	<b>chat</b> 13:7	collaboration	committee 1:3,12	conclusions 91:5
<b>chairman</b> 1:10,13	check 77:12 78:22	40:22	3:5 4:18 5:8 8:8	condominium
1:22 3:5,8,9 4:16	79:5 90:21	colleague 113:5	9:7 11:14 12:12	102:4
5:20,21 7:4,8 9:9	checking 79:1	colleagues 26:2	13:11 14:12 25:22	conducted 61:2
10:7,9,10,17	checklists 42:16	collecting 72:9	33:3 37:7 38:10	62:4,9 63:8 65:19
11:20,22 12:3	Chicago 28:13	<b>college</b> 70:4,9	40:18 44:15 48:4	67:7
17:22 26:8 37:13	94:22 96:3	98:10	49:12,13,19 50:20	conference 47:21
38:5,21 39:17	<b>chief</b> 16:21	combination 84:13	51:3 52:11 57:5	confess 17:7
40:11 41:3,7,19	<b>children</b> 23:21,22	<b>come</b> 11:12 13:6	57:11 59:11 60:7	<b>confirm</b> 79:19
41:21 42:6,10	<b>choose</b> 76:17	16:9 18:9 24:21	110:20 112:4	confirmed 13:21
43:18 44:4,7,9,18	Chorus 44:6,20	35:10,12 51:12	113:16	97:20
44:21 45:1,7	50:8	68:19 92:4 100:22	committee's 14:22	confirms 22:5,6
46:18 49:20,21	<b>church</b> 106:14	109:14,18 113:16	communicate 18:5	98:22
50:6,9,11,22 51:2	circle 17:20	comes 7:11 17:3	communication	congratulate 113:1
51:21,21 52:12	circulate 64:14	22:3 43:11 45:22	84:16	congratulations
53:11 54:7 56:7	circulated 40:9	67:6 89:18	communications	7:5 50:12
59:13 60:1,6,14	<b>cite</b> 64:10 73:16,17	<b>comfort</b> 85:10	1:1,4 2:6 3:11	Congress 11:11
88:14 89:17 92:2	82:10 83:10,15	<b>coming</b> 6:20 34:18	16:6 39:12	48:6
92:13 99:14	85:17	65:15 113:17	communities 19:19	connected 75:7
105:18 109:9	<b>cited</b> 80:1 82:14,16	commend 58:1	22:4,7,8,8 23:22	connection 53:13
110:12 112:1	82:18,22 83:1,3	110:10	27:13,18 63:10,21	68:16 79:4,17,20
113:12	83:14	commenters 89:22	90:22	79:21 80:11
<b>chairs</b> 8:17 50:13	<b>citing</b> 82:21	comments 51:20	community 7:1	110:16 111:17,18
50:14	<b>citizens</b> 31:20 33:1	108:14	27:5 63:22 65:7	connections 75:6
challenge 14:4,4	70:16	commerce 20:18	89:3 95:17 112:11	78:18 79:14
91:12 93:12 112:9	clarify 56:21	Commission 1:1	112:15	connectors 27:16
112:16	class 33:22	9:19 11:1,17 12:6	companies 15:4	<b>consider</b> 8:8 9:20
challenges 16:4	classes 102:3	12:10 13:10 26:2	23:13,14,16 30:21	22:20 43:16 66:7
20:9 21:8 36:9	classified 80:3	27:1 37:8 42:14	34:14 47:16,19	considerably 71:21
89:8 90:14 91:13	classifieds 23:12	43:7 44:12 48:5	Comparative 3:18	consistent 104:20
111:3	clear 93:8	55:18,20 90:18	compare 71:7	constituent 54:1
challenging 29:12	clearly 73:5 109:3	Commissioner	compared 70:12	constitutional
chance 85:14	client 111:4	1:22 10:12,21	competitors 21:21	12:20 55:11
chances 15:12	close 8:5 57:8 80:12	17:8,10,11,18	complicated 111:7	<b>consumer</b> 2:4 3:15
<b>change</b> 38:20	96:9	18:14 26:1 37:4,9	compliments	31:5
		,-	_	
	1	1	1	•

consumers 28:11	73:14	76:1 83:6,7 87:16	describe 84:4	106:7
	countries 21:19	87:17 91:21 94:10	describes 62:8	<b>difficult</b> 29:11
30:13 72:16				
content 73:19 82:5	country 20:10,12	97:13,15,19 98:15	design 77:13	62:16 88:1
83:5	20:21 21:12 22:17	100:1 101:17	Designated 2:2 3:3	difficulties 111:13
context 39:9	30:10 34:12 36:17	102:15 103:4,11	designing 63:15	dig 72:22 112:6
continue 8:8 25:12	41:16 66:11 89:22	104:5 105:9	desirable 35:5	<b>digit</b> 62:9
38:9 46:14 48:7	90:4,12 91:1,10	107:18 108:20	detail 8:14 27:21	digital 1:4 4:19
91:21	91:15 98:13	112:10	54:9 61:7,10	22:20 24:11 25:7
continued 40:21	country's 31:13	date 39:20	69:14 72:4 81:18	25:11,15 27:10,16
continues 8:10	<b>couple</b> 39:21 54:11	<b>David</b> 1:15 12:21	86:11	27:17 54:15 57:19
58:13	89:19,19	55:12,16,21 56:10	determine 56:22	73:18 82:2 83:2
contracts 18:13	<b>course</b> 15:16,22	59:19	77:4	83:15 86:15 89:7
contribution 12:5	20:14 32:11 33:19	day 4:20 11:2 13:2	developed 34:19	96:19
<b>control</b> 4:7 96:14	36:1,20 47:22	24:7 88:3 106:14	developing 15:21	<b>digitally</b> 85:22 87:8
convened 1:9	93:11 96:14 97:18	days 39:3	16:4 109:16	87:9
converge 43:15	107:20	<b>deal</b> 45:19 48:11,21	development 18:20	digress 42:9
converged 76:6	covered 59:2	73:8,9 107:15	48:8 54:20 55:2	<b>Diller</b> 28:20
converges 78:4	cracking 51:5	dealing 55:5,8	develops 89:2	dimensions 84:8
conversation 17:9	<b>create</b> 29:18 35:19	<b>deals</b> 46:2 49:1	<b>device</b> 104:18	direction 38:22
23:10 53:5	creating 23:9	<b>dealt</b> 57:17	dial 62:5,9	directive 18:7
conversations 46:7	<b>creation</b> 27:10 34:5	decade 34:5	dialogue 41:5	directly 18:5
47:8 58:17	34:6,11	decades 55:20	91:21	<b>director</b> 2:4,5,15
conversion 85:20	creatively 15:6	<b>December</b> 4:22 5:1	<b>dial-up</b> 69:5 75:18	3:13,15,21 6:15
converted 89:12	34:20	45:16	77:2 79:15,17,20	17:2 53:15 96:4
converts 85:7	critical 39:10	<b>decent</b> 71:9,15	80:2,8,9,17	109:22
Cook 12:22	<b>crossword</b> 113:7,14	dedicated 18:18	<b>Diane</b> 1:18 3:8 5:11	Director's 18:12
<b>cool</b> 106:20	cultural 74:17	60:6 64:22	7:18,20 8:20	disadvantage 23:19
coordinate 15:3	<b>culture</b> 100:14,15	<b>deeper</b> 112:13	12:21 45:2 50:12	24:18 25:5
46:21	curious 76:20	<b>define</b> 37:22 78:10	52:16,20 53:3	disadvantaged
coordinated 14:11	current 58:14	definitely 57:6	59:1	48:10,15
<b>copy</b> 90:7	79:19	91:18	difference 16:17	disadvantaging
<b>core</b> 82:7	currently 47:17	<b>degree</b> 70:2 98:10	27:20 64:19 87:12	25:8
corps 27:11,17	65:20	<b>demand</b> 89:11	99:6,7,20 101:5	discrepancies 70:5
correct 77:20	<b>customers</b> 29:19,20	democracy 20:20	102:21 103:3,18	discrepancy 67:5
correctly 14:17	<b>cut</b> 18:2 104:5,6	24:13 25:16	103:19,22 104:11	discuss 5:13 9:1,12
correlation 73:1	<b>cutting</b> 108:17	democratic 23:3	105:10 106:9	43:21
corroboration	cyberspace 86:4	demographic 82:13	differences 71:2	discussed 40:15
97:12		99:20	87:2,3 98:6,17	discusses 80:19
cost 23:4 30:2	D	<b>Department</b> 110:4	103:20 105:5	discussing 52:22
73:16 77:10 82:1	<b>D</b> 1:15	depending 101:14	different 14:11	57:18
82:10,14,16,18,21	daily 77:22	deployed 31:12	16:3 36:15 68:2	discussion 20:8
83:15 85:18,19	dangerous 84:22	33:2 47:15	70:21 72:7,8,9	44:2,16 45:21
86:7 95:10 96:19	<b>Danny</b> 13:14	deployment 20:10	76:3 77:9 78:17	50:3 57:13,15
107:20	<b>data</b> 61:16,18	20:11 76:2,8 78:4	81:6,7 82:12 84:6	58:2,3,12 61:13
costs 22:20 35:11	63:18,20,21 68:6	91:2	86:13 90:12 95:5	86:20 89:20 97:4
35:21	71:8 72:6,9,12,12	depth 91:15	95:9 107:9 111:6	103:12 111:12
counter-intuitive	72:18,22 75:2	<b>Deputy</b> 2:2,3	differentiation	<b>Disney</b> 2:19
		<b>1</b>		
	l ————————————————————————————————————	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	l ————————————————————————————————————	I

110.14
disparity 112:14
disposal 55:6
distant 85:22
distinguished 12:7
distinguishes 95:2
diverse 54:5
<b>diversify</b> 48:10,18
diversifying 48:21
49:4
diversity 1:3 3:5
4:18 14:12 16:15
16:22 18:15 19:1
25:22 35:3 36:9
40:19,20 48:4
divided 8:2
<b>dividing</b> 69:17,19
70:16
divorced 84:15
<b>doable</b> 40:16
docketed 57:3
<b>doing</b> 7:12 11:11
12:4 14:7 17:17
23:13,14 29:21
35:19 46:13 52:17
54:8 58:4,22 59:2
78:7 94:21 96:5
102:18 110:11
113:13
door 59:6 96:9
double 90:20
download 101:17
downloading 106:5
106:16
<b>Dr</b> 3:21 22:5 92:8,8
92:12,17,17 93:4
102:11 106:2,10
106:18,21 107:5
107:10 108:16
draw 90:2
drill 68:6 76:22
<b>drilled</b> 97:16
<b>DSL</b> 77:3 78:20
79:6 80:13
dug 112:13
dynamic 100:18
<b>D.C</b> 1:10 29:2,8
102:1
1

E e 31:14 33:4
earlier 9:10 75:13
85:12 88:10
100:20 108:12
early 4:22 64:17
East 94:22
easy 95:12 echo 60:4 100:21
economic 2:14,16
2:21 3:22 5:16
29:12 35:18 54:13
58:14 74:12 91:12
91:13
economically 70:13
<b>economy</b> 24:13 25:15 27:14
educate 25:12
education 18:20
31:13 69:19,21,22
74:12,17 98:8,8
98:14 103:15,18
110:4
<b>educational</b> 46:3 48:21 52:21 53:20
48:21 32:21 33:20 101:12
<b>EEO</b> 8:3,16,21 9:3
9:12 42:17 43:1,5
43:8 44:11,13
<b>effect</b> 73:8
effectively 77:12
effects 74:14
<b>effort</b> 40:21 45:9 <b>efforts</b> 40:20
eight 6:12 40:8
either 57:2 78:3
86:15
electricity 20:16
electronic 31:18
32:18
eliminated 47:18 elimination 47:8
eloquently 42:11
else's 97:16
email 75:8 100:8
106:6 107:4
., , , ,

emailed 5:7

**emails** 105:8 embarrassed 96:10 embarrassing 22:11 embrace 39:8 emphasis 54:15 employed 79:9 employees 29:10 29:10 employment 9:22 **enables** 83:18 encourage 29:3 36:4 41:1.2 59:7 90:15 encouraged 18:3 79:8 encouragement 42:2 encouraging 52:7 88:7 endorsing 53:10 energized 14:1 **energy** 12:9 14:8 31:21 **engaged** 59:14 engagement 41:5 92:19 **engine** 34:10 entertain 61:13 **entire** 66:6 102:7 entirely 64:22 entities 58:10 **Entrepreneurial** 55:2 entrepreneurs 15:9 environment 31:21 **equity** 48:20 49:3 especially 23:22 24:3 43:15 45:22 92:15 essential 20:22 58:13 essentially 59:4 established 91:22 99:22 estimate 89:13 96:17,18

ethnic 112:15.17 **event** 29:6 **events** 29:13 **Everett** 1:15 92:20 93:2 everybody 51:17 53:16 80:2,10 97:16 everyone's 32:18 **evolve** 100:19 **evolves** 100:22 example 27:14 48:16 101:6 110:21 examples 46:9 excavate 56:2 exceeds 66:12 exception 30:5,8 39:7 **excited** 6:21 16:18 26:14 53:1,19 58:21 **exciting** 59:17,22 93:8 101:1 105:7 excludes 74:8 exclusion 22:20 96:19,20 Excuse 78:6 executive 96:4 109:22 110:3.8 exercise 84:10 exists 11:14 47:5 expanded 29:8 expansion 29:14 **expect** 38:20 experience 24:2 70:3,9 85:16 93:5 93:13 96:15 **expertise** 16:9 59:8 experts 58:17 expires 4:22 explained 28:10 explaining 98:5 **extend** 20:20 extra 4:8 61:10 92:21

extraordinary 14:5 estimated 22:13 34:4 **extreme** 91:12,13 extremely 58:11 **e-Rate** 24:21 26:16 27:1,3,4 F fabulous 10:22 **face** 33:7 77:22 86:7.14 **faces** 10:20 facilities 27:5 **fact** 10:6 20:3 23:11 52:1 68:13 83:9.13 85:8 99:3 factor 72:19 73:6 96:13 97:2 98:5 98:14 105:22 **factors** 74:17 82:12 83:16 95:5,9 **facts** 16:8 **fall** 87:5 **falling** 112:19 **false** 79:22 familiar 10:20 21:14 24:11 **family** 100:6 108:7 **far** 71:1 104:19 **faster** 16:16 32:21 90:13 **favor** 44:5,19 50:7 **FCC** 1:9,21 2:1 3:3 3:9.14 9:14 10:17

12:3 17:22 19:4

46:6,8 60:20

110:10,11,19

111:9

**FCC's** 53:16

features 5:11

**February** 64:17

**federal** 1:1 2:2,2,3

3:3 18:18 111:9

**feel** 11:1 38:12 80:7

94:17 97:10.15

107:13,16 110:7

26:8 32:15 41:19

80:8	<b>focusing</b> 5:17 109:8	108:7	<b>getting</b> 11:9,10	91:19 92:5 95:14
<b>Fellow</b> 3:19	folks 9:13 92:7 98:9	friendship 37:16	21:11 27:20 61:21	96:18 97:2,6
felt 78:15	98:11 99:21 101:3	front 38:8,10 55:16	68:15 69:13 72:16	101:1 107:17
<b>fiber</b> 80:13	102:17 103:17	64:17 109:6	80:12 85:15,18	108:21 110:11,16
fiberoptic 78:21	104:12 105:6	full 8:8 48:17 49:12	86:5,14 101:4	113:17
<b>field</b> 86:18 94:21	106:11,11 108:6	fullest 39:9	gift 26:4	gold 63:8
95:20 96:2 109:13	<b>follow</b> 63:14	fun 104:4	give 12:8 24:9,14	<b>good</b> 4:15,20 17:14
figuratively 79:1	<b>followed</b> 67:10	function 90:9	25:6 58:15 64:9	18:6 21:22 24:7
figure 76:4 77:15	following 45:6	<b>fund</b> 26:11 48:8,13	given 16:12 58:14	50:14 51:9,16
93:12 95:3 97:1	<b>follow-up</b> 67:13	49:9,14	73:15 87:20	55:22 61:20 77:6
file 48:18	81:10 88:9	<b>funded</b> 27:5 47:11	111:10	78:12 84:21 85:2
<b>final</b> 4:21	<b>force</b> 8:4,16,16,17	funders 15:5	gives 9:6 66:21	85:14,16 99:17
<b>finally</b> 95:14	45:4,10 49:12	<b>funding</b> 8:4 30:20	giving 26:4 81:6	112:12
financial 48:12	50:14 110:5,9	33:7 45:4 46:1	glad 5:22 10:12	gotten 13:6 53:2
financing 49:2	forces 8:2 50:17	47:4,13	13:5 37:2 59:18	government 16:7
<b>find</b> 24:19 41:1	foregoing 113:21	further 40:15 62:6	60:1	16:14 18:18,19
51:8 57:1 58:5,8	formation 14:15	97:17 103:5 105:4	gleaned 76:2	30:20 31:15,18,19
68:7 76:9 78:7	formulating 61:4	<b>future</b> 31:21 36:7	glimmers 86:13	32:3,15,22 33:5
87:1 101:6 109:13	forth 39:6,16,22	91:17 108:2	global 21:20	90:16,17 105:11
110:10	40:11 47:20 92:22		globally 22:2	108:8 111:9,20
<b>finding</b> 16:2 30:6	96:22 102:16	G	<b>go</b> 8:14 11:10 17:9	governments 90:19
56:15 57:18 58:7	104:3 108:3	<b>gain</b> 7:6	29:19 35:15 43:9	graduate 25:13
findings 60:20	fortunate 66:8	<b>Gant</b> 2:13 3:19	54:9 56:12 60:19	103:17
68:13 82:8	fortunately 52:12	92:8,12 93:4	61:6,22 62:6	graduated 70:4
<b>finds</b> 76:11	Forty-two 82:17	102:11 106:2,10	65:10 67:14 68:5	graduates 70:7
<b>first</b> 4:20 8:2 10:18	<b>forum</b> 9:12	106:18,21 107:5	69:9 75:1 79:16	granted 12:14
13:19,22 16:21	<b>forward</b> 9:15 29:22	107:10	80:4 81:17 86:10	grants 48:20
18:17,17 19:14	40:21 41:12 48:2	gap 28:1,10 97:22	92:10 95:5,17	granularity 70:20
37:13 43:20 51:17	51:12 57:4 58:16	98:9 99:2,3,12,12	101:12 102:6	grateful 14:7
86:20 90:3 93:22	58:22 59:10 64:7	gaps 30:13	113:17	<b>great</b> 10:19,19,20
94:6 105:2	64:8 90:15	gathering 106:6	<b>goal</b> 21:7 43:16	11:19 18:1 31:10
<b>fits</b> 97:15	<b>found</b> 66:22 67:21	111:14	93:14	45:19 57:21 73:9
<b>five</b> 22:21 39:2	78:5	gears 57:15	<b>goals</b> 31:13,14	80:16 81:18 86:11
100:9	Foundation 90:6	Genachowski 1:22	goes 9:15 65:4	91:1,15 93:11
FLEMING 2:3	founder 29:1	3:10 9:9 10:17	75:12	96:5 99:16
<b>flies</b> 27:2	four 75:22 85:5	12:3 17:22 26:8	<b>going</b> 4:11,14 6:17	<b>greater</b> 48:16 49:7
<b>floor</b> 6:4 7:20 10:15	87:2,13 97:14	41:19 51:21 52:12	7:18 8:5 10:8	49:16
92:9	FOX 2:19	54:7 99:14	13:6 19:11 21:12	greatly 66:2
focus 19:13 48:8,14	frame 107:18	general 4:11 20:3	33:15 39:21 40:12	green 81:22 85:7
49:7,13 75:15	framework 97:4	31:15 86:1	41:15 47:20 48:2	group 5:2,13 8:18
83:6,7 93:5,22	Francisco 94:22	generally 72:15	51:14,19 53:4,10	19:11 43:2 57:22
108:13	frankly 40:6 95:19	87:15 111:17	56:3,4 57:1 58:5	58:2,12 59:3
focused 11:6,18	96:6	generate 36:1	59:16 60:12 61:6	72:20 87:8,9,10
15:13 27:18 30:16	free 34:21 35:1,16	generations 25:13 GEOFFREY 1:14	61:8,11,22 64:7,8	87:12 94:13,14
33:4 43:10 49:3	frees 6:16		64:20 72:17 74:21	107:1,21 109:19
49:17 54:11 55:8	friend 41:12 113:5	geographic 87:16 88:1	74:22 75:18 77:16	112:15,17
111:5	<b>friends</b> 38:8 92:6	00.1	82:3 90:14 91:11	<b>groups</b> 54:1 82:13

82:20 87:4,13	hearing 28:13,13	84:19 85:13	implementation	increase 15:10,11
108:15	hearings 29:5	homework 24:10	46:17	15:12 16:13 30:21
grow 29:17 100:19	heavily 55:20 87:8	24:15 25:3	implemented 91:20	increased 28:21
grows 88:17	87:10	hones 80:7	implications 91:4	52:8
growth 71:9	held 18:17 19:22	honestly 40:6	importance 21:6	increases 71:15
guarantee 102:8	help 12:10 31:6	Honig 1:15 12:21	important 6:22	increasingly 23:12
guess 93:15	33:6 59:8 60:9	hope 17:3 25:17	10:1 11:16 12:6,7	23:14,15 66:16
guidance 54:22	85:19 89:6 90:20	27:6 36:2,19 51:3	13:11 14:13,21	incredible 41:15
55:3	100:13	59:6 64:8 86:13	16:13,16 20:14,15	incredibly 11:16
guy 60:9	helpful 54:4	113:19	21:16 24:21 28:7	independent 73:6
guy 60.5 guys 6:1 76:9	helping 31:7,21	hopeful 87:9 91:15	30:17 31:6 35:7	74:11
guys 0.1 70.7	helps 13:14 66:2	hoping 46:14 51:5	37:6 42:19 43:6	Indian 89:22 90:4
H	97:5 98:4	109:12	43:16 58:11,12	90:12 91:1,10,15
half 24:7,16 41:14	Henry 1:10,13 3:5	horizontal 16:12	65:17 75:3 81:11	indicated 42:10
70:1,2,11,17 74:2	3:25 7:22 10:7	Horrigan 2:4 3:15	81:16 83:5,12	indicates 72:7,19
74:6 78:3 98:13	11:19 51:18	5:13 21:10 28:9	96:13 97:3,11	indication 89:10
101:18	hey 17:15 20:11	60:11,17 61:20	98:5 102:13	indices 85:3
<b>handheld</b> 68:2,11	he'll 37:3 113:12,13	64:2,12,16 69:1	107:12 110:19	individuals 39:2
handhelds 68:5	high 24:5 25:13	72:21 74:2,13	improve 80:22	industrial 91:6
<b>handled</b> 47:20	70:2,7 85:8,9	77:6 78:12 87:6	improving 33:17	industries 48:11
happened 45:16	98:12 101:11	87:20 88:14 91:18	inappropriate 6:9	49:5,16
happening 16:19	103:17	94:2	incentive 35:12,22	industry 9:4
18:1 31:8 112:18	higher 82:20 88:11	Horrigan's 92:15	incidence 68:10	inferences 63:4
happens 33:17 79:3	104:15	host 37:15	82:21	information 5:5
101:2	highlights 97:9	hour 101:19	include 5:11 62:19	16:8 28:18 65:14
happy 13:20 112:8	102:17	house 67:14 110:1	62:22 76:19 91:14	84:16,21 85:2,10
hard 13:1,13 14:4	high-level 66:21	household 67:12,19	included 19:19	86:8 105:12 108:8
16:22 17:1 18:12	Hill 7:9	households 67:5	25:18 63:10 66:15	110:21 111:1,14
18:21 19:2,8,11	hire 29:18	70:10,13	88:19	111:20,22
21:20 55:10,13	Hispanic 74:19	HTML 32:12	includes 5:7 27:21	informed 56:12
63:18 106:4	87:10	huge 55:16 70:5	including 14:19	infrastructure
hard-working	Hispanics 71:3,6	hurdles 86:7	25:21 26:11 79:15	33:22 34:2,3
113:7	71:10,14 74:14		<b>inclusion</b> 21:5,7,7	71:19 75:21 76:5
head 12:1 19:1	82:15,16 87:11	I	25:11 31:7 46:11	76:5,14,19 77:16
53:14	101:7	idea 17:14	47:6	88:22 89:5 90:10
headed 38:22	historically 48:9,15	ideally 80:5	inclusive 39:13	infrastructures
headings 21:4	hold 4:10	ideas 17:5,7 18:7,8	93:13	32:4,16
heads 18:4,4,7	home 27:9 66:17	18:14 20:6 27:11	income 24:6 69:19	infusions 35:12
51:14 81:14	67:3,4 68:8,12,19	27:12 37:20 38:1	69:21 70:10,14	<b>Initiative</b> 2:5 3:16
healthcare 31:14	68:21,22 69:4,7	identifiable 34:9	72:19 73:2,7	110:1
healthy 18:3	69:11 70:12,18	identified 45:21	74:16 97:19,21,22	initiatives 99:11
hear 10:15 16:17	71:6 72:16 75:16	identify 56:19	98:1,2,4 103:7,7,8	innovation 21:22
42:1 51:6 60:16	75:18,19 78:16,19	identifying 57:2	104:10,12,15	34:14
92:5 95:22 110:6	79:5,21 80:2	<b>Illinois</b> 95:1 102:2	incomes 70:11 73:3	innovative 29:22
heard 4:8 40:2,3	81:12,17,20 82:9	<b>impact</b> 74:20	inconvenience	<b>input</b> 15:18 28:14
46:18 88:22 89:16	82:17,19 83:9,12	<b>imperfect</b> 79:10,12	23:18	29:13 37:7
94:19 100:20	83:17,19,22 84:15	implement 45:14	incorrect 77:19	inputs 61:3
				^
	1		1	1

inside 22:4	Islanders 110:2	3:21 5:15 92:6	52:12,22 55:11,13	Laughter 12:2
institute 44:12	issue 33:9 36:5	93:21	55:15 56:16 59:6	17:21 26:7 38:3
		Jon 2:13 3:19 21:9		
institutions 27:8	46:19 47:20 53:6		68:4 73:22 74:3	40:4 42:5 93:3
46:4	53:13 63:14 65:2	Jon's 22:5	78:8 79:3 87:15	102:10 107:2
instruction 4:12	93:16 94:1,9	journey 38:15	90:21 93:7 96:14	lead 34:8 91:4
54:3,21	102:14 107:20	Julius 1:22 3:10	98:12 99:8,15	leaders 89:18,21
intelligence 56:1,6	issues 3:6 5:12 8:1	40:3	100:5,7,9 101:18	92:1
intelligently 43:21	8:21 9:1,12 11:15	<b>June</b> 51:7,10	102:11 103:18,19	<b>leading</b> 19:6 34:14
intend 58:3	12:20,21 13:3,14	113:19	104:4,6 106:13,19	84:4
interagency 15:21	16:15 18:15 21:2	K	107:1 108:9,16	learn 91:3 95:21
110:5,9	23:9 25:11,19		110:12 111:4,5,7	96:6 105:16
<b>interest</b> 9:3 36:7	28:9 31:1,5 33:3,4	<b>K</b> 1:17	111:9,11 112:18	learned 32:1 95:19
61:16 75:10 77:11	33:7 36:20 39:11	<b>Kaplan</b> 11:3	112:22 113:5,10	96:12
107:11	40:22 52:17,21	<b>Karen</b> 1:17 61:14	knowing 73:18	learning 85:3 95:18
interested 107:22	53:22 54:10,11	74:9 86:20 88:10	knowledge 12:9	96:1,2,8
interesting 87:1	55:7,11,17 56:2,9	109:19 110:14	14:8 15:11	leave 37:13
92:5 95:22 98:21	56:15 59:11,15	<b>keep</b> 46:15 51:19	known 6:18	leaving 6:19
109:11	65:14 83:3 108:13	keeping 8:5	knows 28:2 53:17	lecture 102:7
interests 84:1	109:5	keeps 26:4 110:22	56:13	<b>led</b> 14:14
interface 105:14	-	key 42:11 61:3 72:4	Kreisman 2:2 3:2	Lee 92:8
internally 53:8		97:8,19	4:3 13:8	<b>left</b> 19:7 38:7 99:9
internet 10:1,6	<b>Jackie</b> 55:14	<b>kids</b> 24:7,11 25:6,8		legislative 48:5
23:21 24:16,17	<b>JAMES</b> 1:19	25:13	L L 1 1 65 20	legitimate 78:1
42:12,15 67:2,12	<b>Jamila</b> 2:2 13:9	kind 15:5 26:14	labeled 65:20	<b>lend</b> 46:12
67:18 68:2,9,11	Jane 1:16 8:17,18	39:22 52:5 55:3	lack 71:19 76:19	<b>lending</b> 46:3,5
68:14 69:6,11	42:3 43:19 45:2	55:22 57:4 64:7	77:10,11 86:2,6	53:21
71:8 74:5 75:5	<b>January</b> 57:12	81:13 96:11 97:9	90:9	<b>length</b> 31:10
79:20,20 81:1	Jeff 28:2 57:14	100:18 104:14	lag 71:16,20	letters 14:22
85:13,17 87:18	Jim 47:22 57:13	kinds 63:5	lagging 22:2,5	<b>let's</b> 60:10 62:5
93:7,7,11,17	<b>job</b> 23:1,2,6,13,15	king 104:19	27:18	74:21 75:11
99:22 100:3,14,17	23:20 34:5,11	knew 96:8	lands 88:20 89:15	level 39:15 43:8
102:13 103:2	52:9 57:20,21	know 8:1 11:4,5,7	90:9	69:17 84:12 88:11
108:2	95:19 101:12	12:5,14 13:6,13	landscape 16:7	104:12 105:2
internet-based	102:22 103:2,10	13:22 14:5,10,13	languages 111:6	112:17
43:22	104:13 109:12	15:2,12 16:20	languishing 56:16	levels 73:2 88:1
interrupt 10:8	jobs 23:16 105:11	17:12,13,19 18:21	56:19	Li 2:21 3:23 92:17
inter-generational	<b>Joe</b> 55:13 109:3,16	19:7,12,21,22	large 62:11,13 65:9	108:16
96:1	<b>John</b> 2:4 3:15 5:13	20:11 21:9 22:2	72:11 74:20 87:22	libraries 24:22
<b>Introduction</b> 3:4	28:9 60:11,12,16	22:18 23:5,6 25:1	93:10 94:5 96:4	26:17 27:7 101:6
investing 49:6	76:20 78:6 86:22	25:5 26:18,22	97:22 98:9 107:21	101:8,15
investments 48:20	92:3,15 94:2	28:19 29:2,8,22	largely 23:7 71:18	<b>library</b> 24:19 69:9
invests 49:14,15	97:18 105:20	30:5 31:19 32:4,7	90:9	95:18 102:7 104:3
inviting 51:18	<b>John's</b> 94:8	33:13 35:4 36:10	<b>larger</b> 49:1 94:8	104:16
92:13	<b>join</b> 7:8 10:10	36:21 37:2 38:5	late 7:10 110:3	<b>life</b> 38:8 91:20
involved 8:9,12	joined 5:13	38:14,16 40:6	<b>latent</b> 89:10	Lifeline 26:12
34:19 36:4 39:10	joining 5:18	41:2,3,3 42:6 47:3	latest 100:10	lifetime 26:10
39:11,14	<b>Joint</b> 2:13,16,21	47:11 52:4,9,11	Latinos 61:17	likelihood 85:6
		•	•	•

96:17	59.16 22 72.12 19	02.0 10 02.0 10	20.5 21.4 57.0	models 01.7 11
	58:16,22 72:12,18 75:8 80:5,5 81:21	82:8,18 83:8,18	28:5 31:4 57:8	models 91:7,11
likes 113:7	,	maintain 32:3,16	mentioned 18:22	modem 78:20 79:7
limited 47:13 51:4	93:15 103:6,7,16	major 97:13,22	39:17 52:14,16,19	80:13
101:19	105:1 107:21	making 8:10 10:5	53:12 54:7 64:10	moderating 57:21
limits 102:12	108:15,22 110:20	26:12,17 31:12	94:2 97:18	modern 84:15
line 23:14 65:16	looked 104:8	39:7,11 45:5,18	mentor 113:9	mom 106:14,20
68:16 70:16 97:15	looking 15:7 22:22	54:16 56:9 63:3	mentoring 19:4	moment 39:1
lines 69:17,20	23:20 30:1 34:19	92:7	55:3	money 31:20 32:20
Link-Up 26:12	41:12 46:6 58:9	Managing 17:2	menu 77:9 79:13	33:1,1 49:14,15
list 78:17 79:13	58:19 64:18 94:3	18:11 53:14	81:19	monolithic 84:19
81:6,9,13	99:4 102:22 103:2	<b>MARCH</b> 1:6	messages 105:8	monopoly 18:6
<b>listen</b> 12:4 38:1	103:10 105:11	Mark 17:4	met 8:22 40:1	month 27:2 72:3,6
39:3	109:1,4	marketing 30:3	45:14 56:10,14	72:10,13 74:4
listened 38:18	looks 36:8 112:10	markets 35:6,15	57:11 109:22	months 7:20 13:21
listening 37:16	loss 7:5	materials 46:10	methodology 76:4	27:2 41:13 52:10
38:21 110:22	lot 4:8 6:16 15:14	53:3,7,9,21 54:3,3	methods 72:9	moot 57:3
lists 81:18	19:7 20:8 28:14	matter 16:2 73:9	mezzanine 49:2	motion 43:19 44:9
literacy 27:11,17	33:2 40:7 41:22	113:21	micro 48:22	44:15 45:1 49:21
28:8 30:12 31:7	50:19 52:14 53:17	matters 4:15 7:13	microphone 37:10	49:22 50:4,11
54:15 82:2 83:2	54:15,17 56:1	7:17	mics 4:12	move 8:11 40:12
83:16 86:16 90:14	58:7 66:4,12,16	<b>MBDA</b> 16:1	middle 11:4	51:12 57:3 59:10
91:13 100:15	70:19 72:3,6 73:1	mean 4:5 18:3 59:8	middleman 18:2	59:21 60:10 74:21
literally 11:6	85:11 96:5,12,22	84:13 100:4 108:1	mid-sentence 42:4	75:11,11 92:11
<b>little</b> 6:8 7:10 14:16	97:9 106:15 107:6	109:19 111:3	<b>Mignon</b> 1:22 40:2	<b>moved</b> 23:8,12
42:20 57:16 62:1	107:6 109:6	meaningful 56:7	millennials 106:12	<b>moves</b> 9:15
67:1 68:6 69:14	111:12,19 113:9	means 62:15 65:8	<b>Miller</b> 55:14 109:3	<b>moving</b> 23:7 46:15
73:12 84:9 94:7	lots 75:4 102:15	meant 32:5 41:22	<b>million</b> 47:14	60:2 89:5
105:4 110:21	<b>Louis</b> 94:22	measure 78:3,15	<b>minding</b> 109:10	<b>multiple</b> 83:13,20
111:1 112:2,10,12	<b>love</b> 29:1,4 39:6	Meats 28:20	<b>Mine</b> 87:14	multiples 79:5
112:13	112:20	media 2:20 3:6	minorities 5:17	music 4:11 113:8
live 75:21 76:1,8,10	<b>lover</b> 113:8	5:12 6:13,15,20	93:6,17 94:1,3,7,8	<b>mute</b> 4:4,9
76:12 77:13	low 22:11 24:5	8:1,21 9:3 12:21	100:2,11 101:11	
lived 67:18	lower 21:19 22:9	52:17,20 55:5	101:11	<u>N</u>
<b>living</b> 70:10	22:10 24:1 30:2	56:14 57:19 90:5	minority 3:17 22:7	NARASAKI 1:17
loans 48:20,22	73:2,3,6,10 85:3	91:9 111:18	48:17 57:18,19	61:15 63:13 74:10
49:10	104:12,15	media-rich 101:13	58:9,13 82:20	86:22 107:3
locations 89:1	lowest 103:8	medium 46:1	108:13	109:21 112:8
long 36:22 38:14	low-income 22:7	meet 17:10 36:8	minute 4:13 13:7	Narasaki's 108:14
40:1 56:10 80:14	<b>LUCEY</b> 2:12	45:12 91:11	24:22 38:16	nation 88:8
97:7	lucky 11:1	meeting 1:9,9 4:17	minutes 6:12 11:13	<b>national</b> 3:17 15:17
longer 39:5		4:21 5:10 6:9	mobile 29:21 34:1	54:12,22 55:8
longitudinal 93:19	M	27:1 51:7 52:11	34:14,22 35:17	62:4,9,11,18
108:21	magnitude 73:8	113:19	36:6 68:3,13	63:20 66:4,12
look 16:8 23:2 35:9	<b>Mago</b> 1:16 8:17,20	meetings 50:19	109:1,2	71:4,16,20 87:22
36:4,15 39:19	42:4,8 64:9,15	members 1:12 4:15	model 95:2,7 96:16	89:22
40:21 42:22 43:1	76:20	5:15 12:12 20:21	modeled 27:12	nationwide 89:21
43:13,14 47:10	main 21:4 81:11	mention 7:7 26:9	94:16	Native 62:14,20
,				
	I	I	1	l

(2 1 22 00 12 16	(5.22.66.1.0	0.4.1	112.6	l
63:1,22 88:12,16	65:22 66:1,9	October/Novemb	opponent 113:6	P
90:5 91:8 110:17	82:14 83:1 87:19	61:2	opportunities 2:6	<b>P</b> 2:13 3:19
111:12	88:2	offering 48:19	3:12 16:4 28:17	<b>Pacific</b> 34:16 110:2
nature 16:12 62:8	<b>non-adoption</b> 65:1	office 2:5 3:11 4:7	35:9,19 49:16	packet 5:5 8:13 9:6
75:13	66:3 75:1,11	14:1 17:2 18:4,12	54:14	65:21
near 85:7	80:20 81:2 83:14	19:1 39:18 45:18	opportunity 7:2	page 64:18 82:6
Nebraska 28:20	84:7,8,11 107:12	52:5 53:14	8:15 20:18 28:16	<b>pains</b> 80:16
necessarily 84:15	non-internet 75:17	officer 2:2,2,4	29:18 31:15 34:4	panel 57:21
98:17	non-profit 96:4	16:22	34:7,8,11 35:21	paper 31:18 32:17
necessary 26:20,21	non-users 69:5	offices 18:8 56:13	36:12,18 45:11	60:20 61:1 82:11
58:7	notable 87:12	official 3:3 47:4	47:4 52:1 91:1	part 8:21 10:1 32:1
need 23:20 24:12	note 109:22	oftentimes 96:21	93:10	57:13,14 63:15
25:14 26:18 27:7	<b>noted</b> 61:16 99:19	oh 17:17,18 33:15	<b>Opposed</b> 44:7,21	76:13 107:14
28:15 29:19 32:20	105:18	37:13 106:22	50:9	110:9
33:20,21,22 40:14	notion 59:16	okay 4:3 37:13	opposite 85:21	participants 12:8
40:15 43:22 58:8	<b>NTIA</b> 15:22 64:10	38:12 81:10 92:12	opposition 56:18	24:12,13
58:15,18 59:9,17	64:18,21 65:4	103:6 110:13,14	optimist 36:11	participate 25:3,15
59:22 60:9 66:17	97:14	older 72:20 73:2,13	option 66:14	28:17
88:3,16 92:11	NTIA's 63:7	73:13,15 99:4,5	options 42:16	participated 19:22
112:5	nuances 46:12	106:22	96:21,22	29:5
needed 36:2	number 8:22 16:3	OMD 18:21	opt-in 80:15	participates 49:5
needs 20:20 26:14	22:11 23:17 25:20	Omnibus 2:5 3:15	order 110:3,8	participating 49:1
53:17 59:22 91:14	25:22 35:5,14,14	once 26:10 88:22	ordinary 28:11	participation 19:18
99:13 100:13	40:13 43:3 47:16	89:4	30:13	20:19
negative 40:17 86:3	56:15 62:3,12,13	ones 19:20 56:15	organization 27:14	particular 12:19
neighborhood	62:14 65:20 66:5	81:22 82:1,2	organize 95:8	59:15 72:4 89:4
77:17	67:5 69:10 72:1	one-on-one 15:4	origin 62:19	90:13 91:12 95:1
never 39:9 41:16	72:13 73:21 74:7	online 20:1 23:8,12	originally 47:14	106:8 109:10
41:16	76:7 80:1 95:20	23:15,17 29:20	<b>Ornstein</b> 13:14	particularly 63:21
new 3:25 6:18 8:6	99:9 101:10	30:3 32:11,12	output 84:3	69:22 109:15
13:3 55:5,5 57:19	numbers 22:12	66:5 67:14 68:5	outside 4:19 12:8	110:17
58:9 90:5 91:8	63:9,17 66:18	68:15 69:9 73:19	25:21 37:18 68:21 <b>overall</b> 16:14 21:17	partner 41:11,11
100:22	71:12,14 94:7	75:7,19 78:19		partnered 94:12
newcomers 99:21	0	79:16 82:5,22	22:3 68:12 71:4	partners 41:4
100:3,12,13,17	obligation 12:15	83:3 85:18 86:3,6	73:8 82:13 84:12	partnerships 30:18
newspaper 23:2	observing 8:9	86:14 95:10,10,13	overuse 77:18	parts 16:7 20:12
newspapers 23:3,8	obviously 23:8	95:14 96:18 98:11	over-represented	88:6,7
23:9	33:20 35:7 52:22	98:13 100:6,7,16	100:2,12	Pastoriza 47:22
nice 52:13 103:4	58:5 71:15 73:1	101:4,12 102:3,18	over-sample 63:16	Patrick 12:22
Nicol 2:15 3:21	77:19 105:8	103:10,20 104:3,9	66:9 94:6 108:12	45:10
92:17	OCBO 3:12 9:14	104:13 108:5,10	over-sampled	pattern 98:16
nights 102:3,4	13:7 14:1,10,10	open 18:18 59:6	65:22 107:13	patterns 98:18
noise 4:6,8	15:16,20 16:19,20	86:19	over-the-air 35:1	pay 12:15 29:3 72:2
non 69:12 73:11	51:14 52:3 57:5	Opening 3:2	owe 29:14	72:10
75:13 76:13 85:4	58:1 60:5	openness 19:17	ownership 85:9	<b>paying</b> 74:1,3
86:5 107:15	October 63:8 65:19	operating 30:2	104:18	payment 26:22
non-adopters	00.00001 00.0 00.19	35:11,21	o'clock 6:12 92:10	<b>PDF</b> 32:12
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

nonding 55:17
pending 55:17 penetration 89:14
-
<b>people</b> 4:3,6 6:22 11:7,17 20:2,8
27:8 29:18 30:4,9
31:7 32:4,6,10
33:6,14,17 36:22
58:21 61:9 66:7
66:16 67:7,9,12
67:15,17 68:5,7
68:10,15,18 69:3
69:6,8,10 70:1,7,8
70:12 72:2,5,10
73:21 74:8 75:5
75:20 76:21 77:7
77:15 78:8,17
79:3,5 80:8,9,17
81:3,6,19 82:9
83:8,9,14,19,21
84:14,18,21 85:1
85:12,14 89:6,11
92:10 95:21,22 96:17 100:22
103:20 104:3
105:20 104:3
111:21 112:19
people's 81:14
percent 21:18,22
22:10,13,16 67:3
67:22 68:1,8,20
68:22 69:3,4,5,6
70:6,8,14 71:4,5,6
71:12,14 75:15,16
75:17,18,22 76:9
76:11,16 80:1
82:10,13,15,17,22
83:3 85:4,7 86:1,5
86:12 87:11 89:15
98:11 102:22
103:8 104:21
112:5
percentage 67:4,16
101:11
perfect 78:2 80:4
performed 90:5
permitted 77:8
<b>person</b> 37:17,18

60.7 67.14 20
60:7 67:14,20
personal 100:5
personally 101:21
perspective 77:13
pertaining 73:17
82:1,1,3,10 83:1,4
<b>Pew</b> 71:8,11 80:22
81:2 94:14,16,17
97:14
<b>phone</b> 4:4 5:7 6:2
66:18 67:22 68:9
79:2 104:19,22
105:1,6,21
<b>phones</b> 4:4 29:21
66:15,17 84:17
picked 4:20
piecemeal 97:1
pigeonhole 112:4
piggy-back 97:10
pin 80:16 81:14
89:14
ping 90:19
place 9:22 47:22
places 37:22
101:15,16 102:12
<b>plan</b> 5:14 16:10
17:1 19:14 21:4,8
25:17 26:3,9,15
26:16 27:10,11,21
30:15 31:11 32:1
32:5,9 33:13,15
33:16 34:18 42:17
51:10 52:15 54:12
55:1,9 60:21 61:5
63:14,20 64:3,6
76:3 89:16 90:1
90:18 99:10
planned 10:10
<b>plans</b> 48:1 91:6,16
<b>platform</b> 20:17,18
20:19
<b>platforms</b> 9:4 43:2
43:15,17 44:14
play 15:8 26:18,18
35:7 99:6
plays 72:20
<b>plea</b> 60:4

1 4 4 0 10
please 4:4,9,12
66:20 69:15 70:18
94:12 95:6 97:11
98:8,19 99:19
102:14,20 103:4
103:13 104:1,17
104:19,22 108:4
pleased 9:16 11:13
14:3 15:19 26:15
28:3,6 42:13
59:14 110:6
pleasure 6:10
37:14 60:18 92:14
<b>plug</b> 33:17
<b>plus</b> 41:9
pockets 105:15
<b>point</b> 8:7 10:3 17:3
32:13,14 33:11
60:6 67:4 68:13
pointing 68:3
points 9:8 56:20,21
67:16 101:5,8
policies 15:7
<b>policy</b> 6:15 20:4
96:20,22 97:4
109:5,16
<b>Political</b> 2:13,16,21
3:21 5:15
popular 105:9
population 63:6
85:8 86:1,12
88:21 104:21
105:15 111:11,13
populations 24:5
48:10,15 63:6
64:5 65:11 88:17
portfolio 48:19
<b>portion</b> 53:18 74:5
position 57:1
positive 85:11
positives 79:22
<b>possible</b> 10:4 46:11
56:6 58:16 77:9
possibly 73:14
postings 23:6,13,15
<b>potential</b> 10:7 15:5
47:7

PowerPoint 5:9 **PPM** 8:3.4.11 practical 17:5 practices 18:16 19:3,3,4,5,6 preclude 111:14 preliminary 4:14 **present** 1:12,21 2:1 4:21 5:16 presentation 5:11 60:15 presentations 5:9 **preserve** 35:1 36:9 **president** 2:15 6:15 6:18 110:2 presiding 1:10 pressure 5:1 pretend 38:1 **pretty** 85:14,20 104:20 112:12 **prices** 72:15 **Princeton** 94:13 principle 56:17 **prior** 60:21 71:10 83:10 96:15 private 30:21 probably 14:16 47:3 52:10 61:8 62:22 79:6 85:15 108:20 **problem** 25:10 53:11 76:14 89:5 94:4 procedurally 56:19 proceeding 14:18 42:22 proceedings 5:19 **process** 8:10 19:15 19:16 33:14 41:1 46:3,22 47:2,18 53:2,15,18 procurement 18:13 produced 14:21 **products** 48:11,19 professor 102:1 program 27:16 programming

111:6 programs 18:20 progress 19:9,9 56:7,9 57:7 **Project** 6:13,16,20 71:8 81:1 prominent 88:20 **promise** 99:16 promised 92:9 **promote** 31:13 promotes 36:6 promoting 9:2 properties 53:22 proposed 5:8 **proud** 19:14 **provide** 31:19 32:22 35:20 49:15 53:20 **provided** 49:4 52:3 provides 48:12 70:19 providing 33:5 37:7 48:16,22 49:1 **public** 24:4 27:8 90:5 91:8 101:5,8 102:12 104:15 public-private 30:18 publish 32:7 **pull** 9:11 **pulling** 37:21 purposes 66:4 **put** 4:10 10:18 21:7 39:16,22 53:7 54:14 55:22 58:1 107:18 **puts** 12:14 **putting** 12:13 57:22 59:20 **puzzles** 113:8,14 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-... 4:1 **p.m** 1:10 4:2 113:22 Q

quarter 23:4	rate 22:3,9,14,15	83:18,21 84:6	reduction 35:11	repository 54:2
quarter 23.4 question 47:1,19	71:9 85:3,8,9,20	recall 87:7	<b>Reed</b> 2:5 3:12 13:5	represent 71:15
61:14,20 67:13	89:14 90:13 99:17	received 25:21	14:3 39:19 45:12	representative
75:12 77:1,7,22	rates 24:1 70:6,21	28:14 58:20	51:13,16	66:19 94:5
78:13 79:9 81:10	73:7,10	receptive 45:20	refer 5:10	representatives
81:15 83:10 84:10	reach 9:13 30:3	reciting 21:15	referenced 60:14	88:19
88:10 100:11	reactivated 13:22	recognition 9:20	reflect 46:19	representing 5:12
101:10 104:8	14:2	recognize 9:9 10:6	reflected 89:15	represents 67:16
105:13,21 107:12	read 66:5,13 80:12	39:4 42:15 54:19	reflection 40:18	71:8 91:9
107:15 109:2	ready 11:10 60:8	54:19 83:5	reform 27:3	require 25:7 55:4
110:13	100:17	recognized 9:17	regard 88:11	requirements 43:8
questioned 38:16	real 12:5 16:16	10:3	regular 22:14 58:4	requiring 23:16
questions 60:3	23:9 27:17,19	recognizes 43:7	<b>Rein</b> 6:19 7:5	research 2:4 3:15
61:13 62:17,19	28:16 31:15 34:5	90:18	reinforce 98:4	3:19,23 24:10,15
65:2,5,8,11,12	34:6,6 35:8 36:6	recommend 64:6	related 65:3	25:4 64:4 88:16
67:10,17 75:4,9	36:12 56:18 92:14	75:7	relationship 46:14	94:13,21 95:20
77:14,21 86:19	99:18 106:7	recommendation	90:17	96:2 112:12,16
94:15 96:8 100:4	reality 45:18	8:7 10:5 14:22	relationships 14:11	resolution 8:11
quick 85:20	realized 29:16	39:20 42:20 44:10	15:11,22 16:10	resolved 41:7
quickly 59:10 89:2	really 11:8 14:1	44:11 48:3,6	relative 71:10	resources 66:9 86:2
89:11	15:6 19:2,15 25:1	49:18	relaunch 46:8	95:16
quite 10:3 11:8	29:3,21 30:16	recommendations	released 32:11	respect 18:11 21:12
17:11 28:7 40:6	33:2 36:16 37:19	3:7 5:9 8:19 9:11	60:21 64:16	40:20 41:1 52:20
61:10 77:18 83:15	41:22 43:10 45:12	9:19 12:19 15:18	relevance 28:9	53:6,21 54:12,13
01.10 //.10 03.13	45:19 50:14 52:5	26:16 27:22 28:3	30:12 31:7 83:16	58:10 71:21 73:10
R	52:7 59:8 63:8	30:14 31:11 33:12	86:16 87:17 88:20	respondents 62:12
race 74:11 97:18	69:18 72:13 78:14	34:17 39:16,22	89:6 95:11	62:13,18 63:2
98:3 99:3,6	79:3 80:16,21	40:9,13 42:13	relevant 9:2 14:6	65:2 66:6
102:19 103:21	82:7 84:3,7 93:4,8	43:13,20 45:5,8	54:1 73:18 82:4	respondent's 62:20
104:20	93:15,21,22 95:15	45:13,15 49:11	83:4 88:12 100:9	respondent \$ 02.20 response 32:8 44:3
races 98:16	97:5,9 98:4,21	50:21 51:11 52:19	reliable 78:14,15	44:8,17,22 50:5
race-neutral 48:17	99:6,13,22 100:3	54:14 56:5 59:17	relies 24:16	50:10 96:11
racial 62:18 87:1	100:11,13 102:16	61:4 64:3 91:19		responsive 110:14
87:12 102:21			rely 72:8	responsiveness
103:22 104:11	105:13 108:11,13 109:7 111:15	109:15,17 recommends 26:10	remarks 3:9 42:10 51:22 60:15	9:10
105:10	112:17	27:10 48:4	remember 14:17	rest 11:10 24:8
radio 57:18,20 58:9	reason 63:4 64:5	record 34:18	renewed 47:3	39:13
58:13 110:22	76:17,18 78:1	113:22		result 48:3 84:9
raise 7:13,13 35:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		repeat 59:3 report 3:6 5:17	
110:16	80:21 81:11,12,16	recruiting 9:21,22	_	resulting 16:5
raised 47:1	82:16,19,21 83:2 83:11 84:10	10:2,4,6 42:12 44:1	8:18 9:5,6 15:19	results 3:14 66:22
raises 99:8 100:4	reasons 40:16	recruitment 18:19	42:9 51:18 60:11 63:5 73:22 93:4	72:11 82:6 90:11 90:21 92:15,16
100:11 101:9	63:11 65:1 73:11	19:3 42:15,16	101:10 102:15	,
<b>Ralph</b> 1:15 92:6,20	73:13,17 77:9	red 82:1 85:21	101:10 102:15	revamping 53:15 review 3:4 19:13
113:4				48:18
random 62:4,9	79:7 80:20 81:7,8	redesigning 53:18	<b>reported</b> 63:11 74:8 92:16 99:15	
range 81:22	81:9,13,19,22	redirect 56:22		reviewing 39:15
141190 01.22	82:9,10 83:1,8,14	reduce 35:5,21	reports 5:8 51:13	53:4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	İ	İ	İ	,
re-calibrate 48:7	rural 22:8 30:11	see 8:15 10:13,19	58:19	96:19 111:10
re-energized 14:2	71:18,20	10:20 15:18 30:13	set 14:10 71:1	sizes 48:11
rich 95:4 102:15	rural/non 71:17	31:9,14 32:5	77:21 89:2	<b>skill</b> 77:10
109:13	rush 108:9	38:20 40:19 55:14	seven 29:9 40:8	skills 25:8 73:18
richer 108:20		55:15 60:16 69:21	severely 47:13	86:2,7 89:7
richness 105:3	S	70:5,15 71:5,18	<b>shape</b> 112:12	skip 62:5 74:22
<b>Rick</b> 11:3	sample 62:4,8,15	83:18 91:17 97:21	<b>share</b> 6:11 35:15,16	84:2
<b>right</b> 18:9 23:3	63:12 65:10,22	98:14,15,18 99:1	36:7 92:14	sleep 11:8,9
32:17 34:10 39:4	66:10,12,15,18,19	100:1 101:1,4	<b>shares</b> 90:18	sleeves 36:15
42:2 43:18 44:2,4	87:21 94:3,4	103:7,18,21	sharing 35:10	<b>slide</b> 61:10,21 62:1
47:5 49:20,22	<b>San</b> 94:22	104:10,14,20	sharpness 103:12	62:3,6,7,7 65:19
50:6 60:10 71:1	<b>save</b> 50:1	105:2,4,7,17	<b>short</b> 97:6	65:20 66:19,20,21
75:12 89:8 97:8	saving 33:1	108:18 113:19	shoulder 80:6	69:15,16,22 70:18
97:15 104:9 107:7	saw 13:7 25:17	seeing 38:22 99:9	show 61:22 86:12	70:19 72:1,4,17
107:10 109:17,19	28:12	105:9	<b>showed</b> 71:12	75:12 80:18,18
rightly 17:11	<b>saying</b> 42:8 61:9	seen 28:19 90:6	112:14	81:17,18 83:6,7,7
ringtone 106:5,11	76:7,13 80:14	segmentation	<b>shows</b> 30:11 69:16	84:2,2,9 87:2
106:17	98:22	107:17	71:1 72:12 84:9	93:15,18 94:11
rising 22:20	says 17:11 21:5	segmenting 84:9	112:11	95:6 97:10 98:7
<b>risks</b> 34:9	25:4 89:14 107:21	<b>seizing</b> 36:19	sides 36:5	98:19 99:19
<b>Rivera</b> 1:10,13 3:5	<b>SBA</b> 16:1 29:6	select 77:8	signed 110:2	102:14,19 103:3
3:25 4:16 5:20,21	54:17 55:1	self-reporting	significance 74:18	103:13 104:1,2,17
7:4 10:9 11:20,22	scary 84:22	76:21 77:7	104:7,7	104:19,22 106:8
41:21 42:6 43:18	schedule 20:6	sell 86:5	significant 21:3	107:8 108:4
44:4,7,9,18,21	schedules 5:4 6:3	sending 105:7	63:18 73:21 74:15	<b>slides</b> 61:6,7 62:6
45:1 49:20 50:6,9	Schlick 53:6	106:6	86:7,14 94:10	69:2 74:22 75:3
50:11,22 59:13	school 24:3,3 25:14	<b>senior</b> 6:14 70:16	98:17 99:4,7,12	84:4
92:2,13 109:9	68:18 70:2,7	<b>seniors</b> 22:9 99:9	99:17 102:21	<b>slow</b> 79:16
110:12 112:1	95:18 98:12	sense 65:21 74:11	103:3 106:3	<b>small</b> 14:19 15:9
113:12	103:17	sent 45:14 53:3	108:18 111:2	28:12,13,16 29:6
<b>robust</b> 39:12	schools 24:4,22	separate 23:10	significantly 28:21	30:9,18,22 31:1
105:14 108:14	26:17 27:4,6,7	<b>series</b> 14:14 17:5	101:9	34:6 35:13,14
role 15:8 26:18	101:15 104:16	27:21 28:1 30:14	similar 98:7,16,18	46:1 54:4,16,18
35:7	Schwartzman 1:17	31:1,11	103:14	54:20 55:4 58:8
<b>roll</b> 36:14	6:5,7 68:17 73:20	serious 23:19	similarities 94:16	62:14 63:3,11
<b>room</b> 1:9 33:10	113:2	seriously 25:11,18	98:3	68:10 69:10
61:9 68:4	scope 49:4	28:1	Similarly 70:9	smaller 46:2
roughly 22:13	scramble 24:19	served 64:1	simply 33:5 72:7	<b>smart</b> 113:7
76:16 104:21	25:1,2	service 12:18 20:17	77:7	<b>Smith</b> 13:12
round 57:12,14	searchable 32:13	22:15 26:11 48:16	sincerely 37:19	snapshot 66:22
rule 30:5,8 39:7	second 8:3,16 44:1	77:3	single 39:20	social 35:18 108:6
rulemaking 42:22	44:10,15 46:16 49:22 61:22	services 31:20	sir 10:16	<b>solutions</b> 58:6,8,10
43:13 44:13	secondarily 55:1	32:22 33:6 48:21	sit 45:15	58:18
rules 10:2 15:7	Secondarny 55:1 Secondly 9:18	65:3	sitting 10:21	solve 89:4
43:1,11 44:11,13	84:18	session 15:3 58:6	size 48:22 63:12	somebody 59:18
run 19:15 30:9 97:7	sector 47:5	101:18	65:10,22 66:10,12	67:11 78:16 79:11
running 7:10 110:4	SCCIOI +1.3	sessions 15:4 56:11	87:21 94:3,4	112:5

someplace 69:7	St 94:22	strategy 15:3	success 15:12 29:15	5:12 7:22 12:22
son 96:7,12	staff 2:1 13:12,15	streamed 20:1	successful 27:12	45:3 50:16 105:20
sorely 36:1 88:15	52:7 56:3 58:1	Street 1:9 29:7	46:13	106:3,16,20 107:7
sorry 62:6 87:9	96:10	strengthening	sudden 105:4	SW 1:9
109:20	stand 85:14 113:18	15:21	<b>Sudoku</b> 113:13	<b>SYLVIA</b> 2:20
sort 52:8,18 53:20	<b>stand</b> 63:17 113:10	stripped 79:22	sufficient 26:21	sympathetic 35:3
57:15 61:21 69:13	standa 102:20	STROBEL 2:20	suggested 17:19	system 100:21
73:4,14 79:21	start 7:17 69:2	strong 34:11	35:13 48:13	
80:13 85:1 86:10	72:22 73:4,11	103:22	suggestions 17:8	T
91:5,6 96:22	94:3 105:3	structure 35:22	25:19,20 112:3	table 57:13,14
103:16	started 6:4 7:7	structured 36:16	summarize 26:3,5	tabs 8:5
sorting 70:20 95:4	27:13 29:7 46:3	students 24:6,17	supplement 94:20	tabulated 62:21
sorts 37:21 80:10	starting 34:15 99:1	102:6	supplementary	tacitly 53:9
85:4	state 58:14	studies 2:14,18,22	68:14	tackle 16:14 25:10
sought 8:11 19:18	stated 89:17	3:22 5:16 93:9	supplied 46:9	25:19 27:22 30:15
sound 38:2	statement 8:13	94:18 95:20,22	support 45:7,17	33:7 34:9
sounds 17:14	States 21:17 22:1,4	97:13 98:22	48:12 52:3,5	tackling 26:12
sour 86:8	27:19	109:13	92:21	tail 57:12
sources 72:8	station 111:5	study 60:14 63:16	supporter 113:6	take 7:1 9:17 12:13
southern 37:18	<b>stations</b> 35:6,14	64:10 65:4 88:12	<b>supposed</b> 24:9,14	14:3 15:1 20:6
<b>space</b> 18:19 39:12	111:1,3	90:3,3,4,11 91:3,4	41:9	27:6 28:1 30:7
49:11 78:13	statistical 62:16	91:8,9 93:19,21	sure 4:10 7:9 8:10	39:19 43:19 44:14
100:18	63:4 66:3 104:7	93:22 94:6,15,17	9:14 13:2 18:14	49:21 52:1 78:13
Spanish-speaking	statistically 63:17	94:17,17 95:2	18:22 19:5 26:12	90:12 93:10
66:14	74:15 94:10	96:2 97:10,15	26:17 28:15 31:12	takeaway 72:5
speak 4:4,5,12 37:3	108:18	107:13,17 108:10	33:10,21 34:1	83:12
92:4	statistics 21:14	108:21,22	54:13,16,21 111:7	taken 13:20 29:6
speaking 92:18	22:18	<b>stuff</b> 6:17 89:12	surrounding 65:14	37:4 95:6
<b>special</b> 6:5 90:16	status 74:12	<b>sub</b> 63:5 64:4 65:10	66:3	takes 4:13 9:22
specific 43:11	stay 38:17,19 93:1	subcommittee 3:6	survey 3:14 22:5	100:21
specifically 47:6	108:6	7:19 8:1,22 50:13	61:1,3 62:5,10,11	talk 11:12 13:17
74:4 75:9	staying 95:10	52:17,21 55:12	63:7 64:20,21,21	14:16 16:9 22:12
specificity 79:4	steady 72:15	109:14	64:21 65:7,9,18	24:3 28:4 31:10
specifics 9:5	stealing 113:4	subcommittees	66:6,13 67:7,10	45:15 46:11 56:14
specify 74:7	step 81:14,14	12:20 14:12 50:17	67:21 72:2 75:4	58:18 60:12 64:20
spectrum 33:12	stepping 6:14	51:6 59:5 60:5	76:2,10 77:8,13	73:11,12 99:11
34:20,21,22 35:10	steps 13:20	subgroup 8:21	77:15,18 78:7,14	100:20
35:17 85:22	<b>Steve</b> 17:2,15 53:12	88:21	80:7 82:8 83:10	talked 19:20 43:3
103:16	53:13	subject 21:4	83:13,18 88:4	46:22 48:1
<b>speed</b> 79:16	sticking 56:20	submitted 23:17	94:13	talking 12:16 15:14
<b>spend</b> 32:19,20	sticky 107:14	subsequently	<b>surveys</b> 66:4,13	46:20 69:8
45:11	<b>stop</b> 7:11 10:13	104:14	87:22	talks 62:1,3 104:2
spending 111:10	20:11,22 37:5	substitute 68:16	Susan 2:19 12:22	105:10
<b>spent</b> 15:14 72:5	stores 29:9,9	<b>subtle</b> 99:18	45:10 52:20 53:3	task 8:2,4,16,16,17
<b>spirit</b> 61:21	<b>story</b> 29:4 74:16	sub-fields 49:6	109:19	45:4,9 49:11
<b>spoke</b> 29:5	98:21	<b>succeed</b> 29:17 91:6	suspect 36:22	50:13,17 110:5,9
spring 4:17	strategies 57:19	91:7	<b>Sutter</b> 1:18 3:8	<b>TDF</b> 46:22 48:1,12

	 			l
50:1	terrific 7:16 10:18	11:3 13:3,11 15:5	111:10	trends 3:18 108:19
teach 101:21	15:15	15:6,15 16:2,17	timeframe 51:10	tribal 22:10,14
teacher 25:4	testify 11:10	17:5,12,20 18:2	times 9:1 29:12	27:22 88:19 89:4
teachers 24:3,4	text 105:7	19:2,6 20:5,9,10	43:3	89:15,18,20,20
teaching 102:2	texting 107:4,5,6	20:11,16 21:1,3	today 5:4,11,18 7:8	90:9,19 91:22
team 11:3 15:17	texture 66:2	22:12 23:11,11,21	9:8 13:17 16:18	trick 113:4
19:8 20:22 21:6	textured 65:14	26:19,20 27:16	23:5,19 51:19	<b>tried</b> 20:2 108:15
21:10 40:20 54:9	thank 5:3,18,21 6:2	28:6,18 30:16	60:19 61:8 62:2	<b>tries</b> 93:5
55:13,22 56:10	6:7 7:4,22 8:20	31:6 33:14 34:10	66:16 92:4,20	troubling 21:15
76:2 78:4 92:19	9:9 12:4,19 13:15	35:8 36:3,18 38:6	today's 5:8	22:19,21 88:8
94:21	37:5,14,15,20	38:21 39:7 40:8	<b>told</b> 4:19 10:8,9	<b>truly</b> 39:8 66:18
teases 103:11	38:13 39:18 41:17	41:6,7 42:10,18	<b>Tom</b> 13:5 14:3,5,13	<b>truth</b> 23:7 25:9
technique 77:17	41:19,21 42:3	42:20 43:2 45:21	14:15 15:2,20	<b>try</b> 9:11,20 20:6,8
79:10 80:15,15	43:18 45:2,3	46:10,18 52:4,19	16:11,18 17:4	27:22 50:1,19
techniques 30:3	50:12,17,21 51:16	53:11,16 57:4,9	18:4,22 30:16	51:19 59:20 61:12
80:19,22	51:18,20 52:1	58:6,20 59:1,3,9	31:2 37:2 39:19	76:4 77:15 80:16
technology 16:6	55:12 59:12,13,19	59:16,21 71:11	45:12 47:9 51:13	80:21 81:1 91:19
55:5 65:15 84:12	60:11,16,17 61:15	84:21,22 85:1	59:13 60:3 110:13	93:1,15 95:8
84:16,21 85:2,10	64:8 88:5 92:2,7	87:10 88:17 89:3	tomorrow 11:11	96:17,18 99:11
86:9 95:13 111:18	92:11,12,13,20	91:2 96:20 97:3	38:10	105:17 107:22
<b>telecom</b> 43:5 47:6	93:18 109:9,10	99:10 103:11	<b>Tom's</b> 60:9	109:2
49:10	113:3,10,15,16,20	105:6 110:18	<b>Toni</b> 1:14 12:22	<b>trying</b> 21:20 87:6
telecommunicati	thanks 12:22 13:5	111:8,13,16,20	64:13 109:12	93:12 95:3 97:1
48:8 49:7	13:9 14:9 21:9	112:2,9	110:14 112:20	97:17 107:19
telephone 5:5	42:2 50:15,22	thinking 15:8	<b>tool</b> 90:16	111:15
20:17 22:15 62:5	64:15 86:22 92:19	33:11 34:20 100:6	tools 10:4,7 24:12	Tuesday 102:3
62:10	thereto 84:13	108:2	25:7,14	<b>turn</b> 5:19 6:4 7:18
telephonically 8:22	the-Beltway 37:19	<b>third</b> 8:3 66:10	topic 20:4,7 23:9	Turner-Lee 2:15
television 111:5	<b>thin</b> 104:6 108:17	<b>Thomas</b> 2:5 3:12	touch 13:19 33:9	3:21 92:17
tell 7:2 11:13 19:11	thing 7:6 12:7	thought 9:2 75:10	61:8 64:5 108:6	Turner-Lee's 22:6
24:2 37:21 51:14	18:10 21:3 23:5	thoughtful 113:6	113:18	Turning 72:1
74:5 78:18	26:14 28:6 31:22	three 7:20 8:2 9:7	touched 36:21 38:6	<b>TV</b> 35:6,14
<b>telling</b> 63:19	32:7 80:14 84:22	14:19,20 21:4	42:19	tweaked 40:14
<b>Tells</b> 24:20	85:1,2 91:7 94:20	97:13	touching 102:16	Twenty-two 82:22
ten 22:22 100:9	95:1 99:18 101:21	three-quarters	toughest 86:4	two 9:18 14:17 27:2
tend 101:7,13	102:20 108:8	67:1	track 110:22	32:3,16 41:14
tended 81:2	110:15 112:22	<b>thrilled</b> 37:3 45:19	Traditionally 49:2	56:10 62:6 63:21
term 97:6	things 15:2 16:2	Thursday 102:4	<b>training</b> 19:3 30:21	65:16 67:17 81:14
terms 19:17,17	29:22 30:6,7	time 5:3 6:2 11:5,6	54:16 89:5	82:20 86:9 109:13
30:1 49:3 57:16	31:22 37:15 38:6	11:9,18 12:9,13	transformation	two-step 81:5
69:18 70:20 73:7	39:5,21 52:14	15:14 27:2 33:8	26:10	two-thirds 67:2
76:21 77:1 87:4	60:2 77:21 95:12	37:4 40:17 45:11	transition 31:17	<b>Ty</b> 113:2
87:17 93:6 94:8	95:15 96:5,14,15	45:20 46:9 51:5,9	transparency 31:5	type 101:13 103:14
99:7 101:3 102:13	97:9,17,19 100:8	56:3 57:10 75:10	tremendous 21:10	108:7
102:21 105:11	100:10 101:12,20	84:2 92:4 93:1	27:20 35:17 57:7	types 38:20 78:17
108:1	105:9,12	101:19 104:13	57:20	79:13
terribly 59:22	think 6:10 8:12	107:11 109:11	trend 72:12	typically 69:8
	•	•		·

92.20	111.10	105.17 100.6	50.14.19.60.1.15	21.11 22.6 26.12
83:20	111:18	105:17 108:6	59:14,18 60:1,15	21:11 22:6 26:13
typology 85:4	users 67:2,18 68:20	109:21 110:10	74:21,22 80:11	27:13 30:11 35:22
<b>Tyrone</b> 6:19	75:17 85:13 89:2	113:1,10	82:3 92:5 95:3	36:3,5,14,19 37:6
U	89:3	wanted 7:1,14 9:8	96:16 97:6 99:1	48:2 50:14,19
$\overline{\mathbf{U}}$ 29:7	uses 67:12 101:5	14:2 54:21 57:8	105:9 107:17	52:14,16 53:17
unanimously 27:3	113:4	84:5 88:9	108:16,21 109:7	54:8 55:10,13
uncomfortable	<b>U.S</b> 21:21 22:2 34:4	<b>WARFIELD</b> 1:18	113:17	57:4 59:20 60:7
87:8	48:6	72:17 87:14	we've 7:15 13:20	64:4,7 68:19
underlay 28:10	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	Warren 29:1,4	13:22 18:3 19:20	69:12 75:20 90:14
underlying 84:6	Valley 28:20	<b>Washington</b> 1:10 29:8 102:1	24:1 26:22 28:18 34:12 35:13 40:6	93:14 94:12 104:3
underscored 90:1	value 75:6	wasn't 32:9 110:7	40:10 46:20 51:4	104:9,9 108:12 109:6 112:6,7,20
99:13	VanRoekel 17:3,15	watch 101:20 102:7	52:9,15,16 53:2	113:3
underscores 90:8	53:12,13		54:6,8 57:6 59:4	worked 14:10
understand 31:8	variables 74:19	watching 8:9 way 9:21 16:15	91:22 94:19,20	15:16 17:1 39:18
35:2 46:4 77:2	various 9:1 40:16	18:16 26:4 36:21	,	50:18
80:9 81:2 83:20	43:14	39:5 40:17 68:15	95:6 99:19 107:22 109:6	workforce 28:22
84:7 88:15 89:6	vary 82:12	76:13 78:10 79:10	white 60:20 61:1	
100:14,16 107:20	vary 82.12 versus 77:3 80:13	80:4,14 82:7 95:4	71:2 82:11 110:1	working 5:12 11:2 11:15,15 13:1
108:1 109:2	80:13,17	100:1 106:20		15:20 17:4 18:12
112:17	vetting 53:8		widely 14:20 widespread 105:17	
understanding	viable 57:2	<b>ways</b> 28:2 36:16 45:21 48:13 59:9	Wiley 6:19 7:5	18:21 19:8 30:17 31:2 46:17 47:17
66:2 80:20 84:6	vice 2:15 6:14	79:12 86:13	Williams 2:3 13:8	51:2 46:17 47:17
86:16	video 101:20	web 64:18	45:12	109:16 111:15
undertook 61:1	viewers 35:2	website 46:7,8,11		
81:5	viewpoint 107:9	53:7,16,19	<b>willing</b> 9:17 39:3 60:8	Workplace 19:1 works 13:13 30:4
unfortunately 88:3	virtuous 17:20	<b>WEDNESDAY</b> 1:6	win 36:12,13	36:13,17 94:14
United 21:17 22:1	viruses 100:10	week 100:20	wins 31:16	workshop 20:5
22:4 27:19	VISITORS 2:10	weighing 55:19	<b>WINSTON</b> 1:19	57:9
universal 26:11	voices 35:5 36:10	welcome 4:17 5:22	78:6	workshops 14:14
31:16 32:2,19,21	volunteered 110:8	59:7,7 93:2	win-win 34:21 35:8	14:18 19:19 20:2
University 102:2	voting 50:3	went 55:1 56:11	36:6	20:6 57:16 88:18
unprecedented	<b>VP</b> 3:21	80:16 113:22	wire 68:16	88:22 89:9
19:16		weren't 67:17	wired 33:18,22	world 21:19 33:21
unwavering 52:3	W	we'll 5:10 7:11	wireless 34:3 78:21	34:8
upstairs 37:1	<b>wait</b> 4:13	11:11 28:1 34:13	wish 108:13	world-class 34:1,2
<b>uptake</b> 99:17	<b>wake</b> 13:2	41:16 43:20 69:1	wishes 7:13	worth 64:18 68:3
<b>urban</b> 24:4 30:10	<b>wall</b> 33:18	69:13 112:7	witnesses 38:9	<b>wouldn't</b> 96:9,10
urge 48:6	want 4:5 5:2 6:4	113:18	woman 96:3	wow 105:5
usage 21:13	7:17 9:13 11:12	we're 6:17,20 7:12	women 2:20 48:17	wrong 34:13
use 3:14,18 60:5,13	13:19 24:9 25:5	9:15 10:4,12 11:2	wonderful 109:12	Wyatt 18:22
60:22 65:8 69:11	28:5 29:16,17,18	11:4,17 14:7 16:2	wondering 34:13	
77:17 79:9 80:19	32:17 37:14,19	17:17 18:15 19:5	61:18	Y
90:15 93:16 95:3	38:4,13 41:10	26:14 30:6,17	words 39:8 42:1	<b>year</b> 4:21 5:1 51:1
95:8,13 101:7	52:1 53:19 56:19	31:2 38:10 40:12	work 12:4,6 13:4	61:3 65:16 70:14
103:1 104:15	56:21 60:19 61:11	41:4,15 42:12	13:16 14:6 15:6	110:3
108:6 109:1	62:2 77:14 89:8	46:14 53:1,4 56:2	16:22 18:16 19:7	years 22:22,22
	90:2,7 95:15			
L	1	1	ı	ı

				Page 130
22.10 27.15 20.11	100.7	<b>90</b> 00.11 104.01		
23:18 27:15 29:11	100:7	<b>80</b> 98:11 104:21		
41:13,14 71:11	<b>2009</b> 71:12	<b>82</b> 70:8		
72:15 89:19 99:5	<b>2010</b> 1:6	<b>84</b> 59:17		
100:7,9	2012 65:6	<b>86</b> 67:21		
<b>yellow</b> 86:10	<b>22</b> 69:4 75:16	<b>87</b> 70:14		
<b>Ying</b> 2:21 3:23	<b>24</b> 1:6	9	-	
92:17 104:4	<b>26</b> 87:10	-	-	
young 106:11	3	974:22		
<b>younger</b> 73:4 107:3		<b>92</b> 3:18 103:8		
7	<b>3</b> 62:3 65:21 93:15			
<u>Z</u>	<b>30</b> 68:1			
zero 29:10 93:5,22	<b>31</b> 76:16			
zeroed 50:2	<b>35</b> 69:3 75:15 85:4			
<b>zeroing</b> 109:1,7	86:5			
<b>\$</b>	<b>36</b> 82:10,13			
<b>\$2</b> 47:15	4			
<b>\$20,000</b> 103:9	<b>4</b> 3:2 76:11 92:10			
<b>\$41</b> 72:5,13	<b>4:04</b> 113:22			
\$50k 70:14	<b>40</b> 29:10 71:13			
\$ <b>50,000</b> 70:11	<b>445</b> 1:9			
<b>\$80</b> 47:14	<b>46</b> 70:6 71:12			
	<b>49</b> 71:6			
1				
<b>10</b> 3:10 74:22 85:7	5			
86:1 89:15 111:6	<b>5</b> 3:4 22:13 76:9			
<b>100</b> 112:5	<b>5,005</b> 62:10			
<b>109</b> 3:25	<b>50</b> 29:10 99:5			
<b>11</b> 74:22	<b>51</b> 3:12			
<b>12</b> 49:9 75:1	<b>52</b> 82:15			
<b>12th</b> 1:9	<b>59</b> 71:5			
<b>12-year-old</b> 96:7				
<b>120</b> 63:2	6			
<b>13</b> 75:12	<b>6</b> 69:5,5 72:18			
<b>14</b> 49:9 68:8 80:18	75:17,18 80:1			
<b>14th</b> 29:7	6mhz 35:15			
<b>15</b> 81:17,18 86:11	<b>60</b> 3:14			
<b>16</b> 83:7,7	<b>65</b> 21:18,22 22:9,15			
<b>19</b> 83:3	67:3 68:22 71:4			
	72:20 106:21			
2	<b>67</b> 68:20			
<b>2</b> 6:11 67:4,15				
<b>2,300</b> 66:1,7	7			
<b>2-hour</b> 58:6	<b>7</b> 3:7			
<b>2.0</b> 27:1	<b>78</b> 102:22			
<b>2:00</b> 1:10	8			
<b>2:05</b> 4:2				
<b>20</b> 84:2,3 87:2	8 72:1			
			<u> </u>	