

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
)
Modernizing the E-rate) WC Docket No. 13-184
Program for Schools and Libraries)
)

ERRATUM

Released: October 10, 2014

By the Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau:

On July 23, 2014, the Commission released a Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (*E-rate Modernization Order and FNPRM*), FCC 14-99, in the above captioned proceeding. This Erratum amends the *E-rate Modernization Order and FNPRM* as indicated below:

1. In paragraph 7, in the last sentence, add “, we continue the Commission’s commitment to meeting schools’ and libraries’ connectivity needs” after “connections”.
2. In footnote 86, correct “NRPM” to read as “NPRM.”
3. In footnote 142, in the second sentence, replace “PIA” with “Program Integrity Assurance (PIA).”
4. In paragraph 78, in the sixth sentence, delete the comma after “eligible requests for category one services”.
5. In paragraph 83, in the last sentence, replace “supports” with “supported.”
6. In paragraph 86, in the fourth sentence, correct the phrase “subsequent five funding years” to read as “subsequent four funding years.”
7. In paragraph 88, in the first sentence, add “do” after “five-year budgets.”
8. In paragraph 102, in the second sentence, replace “Further Notice” with “FNPRM.”
9. In footnote 228, in the second sentence, delete “x (discount level),” replace “E-rate funding received” with “Pre-discount budget used,” and add “x (discount level)” after “years)].”
10. In footnote 228, the last sentence is corrected to read as follows:

“An 80 percent discount school with 1,000 students that receives support in one funding year for the purchase of internal connections that cost \$60,000 pre-discount, and that hasn’t received any other support under its category two budget, would therefore use the following formula: $(1,000 \times \$150) - \$60,000 = \$90,000 \times (0.80) = \$72,000$ in E-rate support available to request in the next funding year if necessary.”
11. In paragraph 107, the corrections are as follows:
 - a. In the first sentence, replace “and” with “and/or.”
 - b. Correct the second sentence to read as:

“In other words, for schools in districts receiving funding in years 2015 and/or 2016, we adopt a rolling funding cycle of five years for category two services, which begins the first year that a school receives E-rate support, and remove the two-in-five rule that applied to

- priority two internal connections.”
- c. Correct the fourth sentence and change the fifth and sixth sentence to read as follows:
- “Therefore, schools that seek category two support in funding year 2015 will calculate their available pre-discount support budget as \$150 per student over five years beginning with funding year 2015. Schools that seek category two support in funding year 2016 will calculate their available pre-discount support budget as \$150 per student, less any of the five year pre-discount budget used in funding year 2015. In later years, schools that received category two support in funding years 2015 and/or 2016 will calculate their available pre-discount budget based on \$150 per student, less any of the category two pre-discount budget used in the prior funding years that are part of that school’s five year funding cycle.”
12. In paragraph 112, in the second sentence, delete the extra “s” from “networks.”
13. In paragraph 125, in the first sentence, replace “Under” with “For example, under.”
14. In footnote 289, add a new sentence at the end to read as “*See, e.g., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism; A National Broadband Plan for Our Future*, CC Docket No. 02-6, GN 09-51, Order, 28 FCC Rcd 14534, 14554 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2013).”
15. In footnote 293, replace “technology can help the affordable available bandwidth” with “Caching can help affordable and available bandwidth.”
16. In paragraph 140, in the second sentence, delete “for” after “sufficient funding” and in the penultimate sentence, add “will” after “We.”
17. In footnote 327, replace “SIP” with “session initiation protocol (SIP)”, replace “PRI” with “primary rate interface (PRI)”, and replace “LATAs” with “local access and transport areas (LATAs).”
18. In footnote 331, delete “WVDE NPRM Comments at 86 (there can be some justification for data plans on cell phone for administrators provided that the plans are minimal).”
19. In footnote 354, in first cite, add “email” after “eliminate funding for.”
20. In paragraph 151, in the second sentence, delete “on-campus use.”
21. In paragraph 160, in the last sentence, replace “APIs” with “application programming interfaces (APIs).”
22. In paragraph 180, the third sentence is corrected to read as follows:
- “To show that it is authorized to seek and/or order eligible services for the applicants, a consortium lead may provide copies of relevant state statutes or regulations authorizing consortium leads to seek and/or order services on members’ behalf or other proof that a consortium lead is authorized to seek and/or order services on behalf of its members.”
23. In footnote 477, replace “NRPM” with “NPRM.”
24. In footnote 478, replace “model” with “modem” and replace “support” with “supports.”
25. In paragraph 214, in the first sentence after “which already use the district-wide”, replace “discount rate” with “NSLP level of poverty.”
26. In footnote 497, replace “*See, e.g., EdLiNC NPRM Comments at 31.*” with “*See 47 C.F.R. § 54.505(b)(2).*”
27. Paragraph 217 is corrected to read as follows:
- “In light of the benefits to school districts and libraries of adopting a district-wide discount, we revise section 54.505(b)(4) of our rules to require school districts to calculate their E-rate discounts by: dividing the total number of students in the district eligible for the NSLP by the total number of students in the district and comparing that single figure against the discount

matrix to determine the school district's discount rate for E-rate supported services. All public schools and libraries within that public school district will receive the same discount rate, except under the circumstances described below. First, for the sake of simplicity, when a library system has branches or outlets in more than one public school district, that library system and all library outlets within that system should use the address of the central outlet or main administrative office to determine which public school district the library system is in, and should use that public school district's NSLP level of poverty to determine its discount rate whether applying as a library system or as one or more individual library outlets within that system. Second, library systems, and individual libraries that are not part of a library system, must separately determine their urban/rural status. All outlets within a library system receive the same discount rate."

28. In paragraph 222, in the first sentence, replace "(Census)" with "(Census Bureau)" and in the third, fifth, and sixth sentence, add "Bureau" after "Census."
29. In paragraph 223, the corrections are as follows:
 - a. In the second and third sentences, add "Bureau" after "Census."
 - b. In the fourth sentence, replace "2010 Census" with "2010 decennial census," add "for urbanized areas and 2,500 to 50,000 for urban clusters" after "more," and replace "of at least 2,500 people that link to" with "containing non-residential urban land uses as well as territory with low population density included to link outlying densely settled territory with."
 - c. In the sixth sentence, uncapitalize the word "census."
30. In footnote 520, within the parenthesis, replace "Bureau of the Census" with "Census Bureau."
31. In paragraph 225, the fourth sentence is corrected to read as:

"Libraries' discount percentages will continue to be based on the level of poverty, CEP or otherwise, of the public school district in which they are physically located, though library systems and individual libraries not part of a library system will separately determine their urban/rural status."
32. In footnote 533, replace "§ 54.505(b)(2)" with "§§ 54.505(b)(2), (3)(ii)."
33. In paragraph 230, in the seventh sentence, add "on" before "only the surveys returned."
34. In footnote 601, replace "NCAI NRPM" with "NCAI NPRM."
35. In paragraph 269, in the fifth sentence, replace "programs" with "program's."
36. In footnote 654, replace "Verizon NRPM Comments" with "Verizon NPRM Comments."
37. In footnote 659, replace "eDimension NRPM Comments" with "eDimension NPRM Comments" and replace "Philadelphia SD NRPM Comments" with "Philadelphia SD NPRM Comments."
38. In paragraph 285, in the second sentence, replace "*E-rate Modernization Order*" with "Report and Order."
39. In paragraph 288, in the third sentence, correct the number "5000" to read as "5,000."
40. In paragraph 299, in the third sentence, delete "we" from the phrase "should we libraries be locked."
41. Paragraph 313 is corrected to read as follows:

"IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1 through 4, 201 through 205, 254, 303(r), and 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154, 201-205, 254, 303(r), and 403, and section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. § 1302, Part 54 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. Part 54, is AMENDED as set forth in Appendix A, and such rule amendments shall be effective thirty (30) days after the publication of this Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in

the Federal Register, except for sections 54.503(c), 54.507(d)-(f), 54.514(a), and 54.720(a), which shall be effective after publication of this Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the Federal Register and announcement of the effective date of these sections; and except for sections 54.502(b)(2)-(3) and (5), 54.504(a), and 54.516(a)-(c), which are subject to the PRA and will become effective upon announcement in the Federal Register of OMB approval of the subject information collection requirements; and except for amendments in sections 54.5, 54.500, 54.501(a)(1), 54.502(a), 54.504(d), 54.507(a)-(c) and (e), 54.516(d), and 54.720(b) and (c), which shall become effective on July 1, 2015; and amendments in sections 54.504(f)(4) and (f)(5) and 54.514(c), which shall become effective on July 1, 2016.”

This Erratum also amends APPENDIX A of the *E-rate Modernization Order and FNPRM* as indicated below:

42. In paragraph (c) of section 54.500, the second sentence is corrected to read as:

“A consortium may also include health care providers eligible under subpart G, and public sector (governmental) entities, including, but not limited to, state colleges and state universities, state educational broadcasters, counties, and municipalities, although such entities are not eligible for support.”

43. In paragraph (j) of section 54.500, replace “management, and/or monitoring” with “management, and monitoring” and replace “local area network (LAN) and wireless LAN” with “local area network (LAN) and/or wireless LAN.”

44. In paragraph (1) of section 54.501(a), replace the phrase ““elementary school” and “secondary school”” with ““elementary school” or “secondary school”” and replace the phrase “§ 54.500(e) and (o)” with “§ 54.500(e) or (o).”

45. Paragraph (2) of section 54.505(b), is corrected to read as follows:

“For libraries and library consortia, the level of poverty shall be based on the percentage of the student enrollment that is eligible for a free or reduced price lunch under the national school lunch program or a federally-approved alternative mechanism in the public school district in which they are located and should use that school district’s level of poverty to determine their discount rate when applying as a library system or as an individual library outlet within that system. When a library system has branches or outlets in more than one public school district, that library system and all library outlets within that system should use the address of the central outlet or main administrative office to determine which school district the library system is in, and should use that school district’s level of poverty to determine its discount rate when applying as a library system or as one or more library outlets. If the library is not in a school district, then its level of poverty shall be based on an average of the percentage of students eligible for the national school lunch program in each of the school districts that children living in the library’s location attend.”

46. In paragraph (i) of section 54.505(b)(3), replace “urbanized” with “urban.”

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Julie A. Veach
Chief
Wireline Competition Bureau