

Federal Communications Commission

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	GC Docket No. 97-113
Electronic Filing of Documents)	
in Rulemaking Proceedings)	

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

Adopted: April 3, 1997 Released: April 7, 1997

By the Commission:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. In this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Notice), we propose to allow parties to file comments¹ electronically in all FCC informal notice and comment rulemaking proceedings conducted under section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, except for broadcast allotment proceedings.² These electronic filings would be given the same treatment and consideration as comments filed on paper. We tentatively conclude that this action will make it significantly easier for members of the public to communicate their views to the Commission, and to review comments that others have filed. We believe that electronic filing will also allow the Commission to improve the efficiency of its own processes, to the benefit of the public.

II. BACKGROUND

2. The FCC is committed to taking advantage of new information technologies to better serve the public. For nearly two years, we have made virtually every FCC order, notice of proposed rulemaking, public notice, and news release available at no charge through the Internet. We have continuously improved our World Wide Web site at <<http://www.fcc.gov>> to make it more content-rich and easier to navigate. In addition, we have established an electronic mailbox, <fccinfo@fcc.gov>, for submitting questions to the agency, and all the major operating Bureaus within the FCC now have their own World Wide Web home pages. Our Web site now receives roughly 110,000 individual "hits" daily, from more than 70,000 unique users every month.

¹ Throughout this Notice, we use the term "comments" to refer to comments, reply comments, and other documents filed in notice and comment rulemaking proceedings prior to the reply comment deadline.

² 5 U.S.C. § 553. Broadcast allotment proceedings are large in number and are therefore not included in this pilot program. In addition, they are restricted under the Commission's ex parte rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1208, which increases the chances that electronic filings might not be properly served on the parties.

Federal Communications Commission

3. In 1994, we appointed a Special Counsel for Reinventing Government to examine what steps the Commission had taken, and could take in the future, to better serve the public. The Special Counsel issued a detailed report entitled *Creating a Federal Communications Commission for the Information Age*, which, in turn, led to the largest reorganization in the history of the agency.³ Since that time, we have taken many steps to use information technology to better serve the public. In major proceedings, we have invited commenters to file copies of their paper comments on diskette, and we have posted the comments received in this manner on our Internet site. We have created electronic mailboxes to accept informal comments in proceedings of particular interest to the Internet community, such as the Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure (U-NII) spectrum proposal⁴ and the America's Carriers Telecommunication Association (ACTA) petition on Internet telephony.⁵ In one recent proceeding, dealing with implications of Internet services for the public switched telephone network,⁶ we received over 400,000 electronic mail ("e-mail") messages in less than a month. We are making extensive use of electronic data submission and bidding in connection with our ongoing spectrum auctions, and we are rapidly moving forward with electronic filing mechanisms for, among other things, amateur radio forms, commercial wireless services, and tariffs filed by telecommunications carriers.

4. The enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act) gave further impetus to our procedural reform effort. In order to implement the sweeping changes mandated by the 1996 Act, the Commission must serve the public more quickly and efficiently, and must maximize the opportunities for public input. On the same day that the 1996 Act was signed into law, the Commission issued a Notice of Inquiry (NOI) that invited the public, the communications industry, the bar, and state and local governments to make candid, comprehensive, and constructive suggestions to improve the full range of the Commission's routine processing procedures.⁷ We sought comment on ways to eliminate redundancies, reduce waste and increase efficiency. We also sought comment on our current use of technology and how we can expand our use of technology to reduce regulatory burdens. We invited proposals for more effective methods of collecting and sharing information with regulated entities

³ *Report on Creating an FCC for the Information Age*, Special Counsel to the FCC for Reinventing Government, Public Notice 51978 (February 2, 1995).

⁴ *See In the Matter of Amendment of the Commission's Rules to Provide for Operation of Unlicensed NII Devices in the 5 GHz Frequency Range*, Report and Order, ET Docket No. 96-102, FCC 97-5 (rel. January 9, 1997).

⁵ *See Common Carrier Bureau Clarifies and Extends Request for Comment on ACTA Petition Relating to "Internet Phone" Software and Hardware - RM No. 8775*, Public Notice, DA 96-414 (March 25, 1996). This latter proceeding was not a notice and comment rulemaking proceeding and would not be covered by our proposal here.

⁶ *Access Charge Reform*, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Third Report and Order, and Notice of Inquiry, FCC 96-488 (released Dec. 24, 1996).

⁷ *Improving Commission Processes*, Notice of Inquiry, PP Docket No. 96-17, 11 FCC Rcd 14006 (1996).

Federal Communications Commission

and the public, and we asked how we could better meet our customer service standards and goals.

5. We received numerous comments to our NOI. Virtually all of them advocated the expanded use of electronic filing in FCC proceedings.⁸ The Commission recognizes that electronic filing can make it easier for industry and the public to communicate with the FCC, and allow us to provide better service to the public. To the extent that it allows small businesses to communicate their views more easily in FCC proceedings, it also reduces regulatory barriers to entry, consistent with Section 257⁹ of the Communications Act, which directs the Commission to identify and eliminate market entry barriers for small businesses in the provision of telecommunications services.

6. Therefore, as the next step in our continuing effort to improve FCC processes, we have launched a comprehensive electronic filing initiative, one aspect of which is this rulemaking proceeding. We are also developing an on-line World Wide Web page interface that will make it possible for parties to submit documents to us electronically in all rulemaking proceedings. A more far-reaching aspect of the initiative will involve replacement of the Commission's aging, proprietary Record Image Processing System (RIPS), which currently provides access to comments filed with the Commission in notice and comment rulemaking proceedings as well as a variety of filings in other kinds of docketed proceedings (*e.g.*, tariff investigations, formal hearings before Administrative Law Judges, and applications by Bell Operating Companies to provide out-of-region long-distance service). By developing a new electronic processing system to complement, and eventually replace, RIPS, we hope to make it possible for the public to submit all documents now processed through RIPS to us directly through the Internet and other electronic means. This new system will allow parties and the public to search for and download comments and other filings in open FCC proceedings via the Internet, rather than coming to the FCC Reference Center or purchasing paper copies from the Commission's copy contractor.

7. At this time, however, the Commission's rules do not provide for the filing of formal comments in notice and comment rulemaking proceedings in electronic form. In order to establish explicit procedures for electronic comment filing for notice and comment rulemaking proceedings, and in order to address important implementation issues, we believe a rulemaking proceeding is appropriate.¹⁰ We therefore initiate this proceeding as an important step not only in our electronic filing initiative, but in our ongoing efforts to prepare the FCC for the information age.

⁸ See, *e.g.*, GTE comments at 18; NECA comments at 2; SBC comments at 5; FCBA comments at 3-4.

⁹ 47 U.S.C. § 257.

¹⁰ Even though these proposed rules involve Commission organization, procedure, and practice normally exempt from notice and comment requirements, see 5 U.S.C. § 553(b), we believe it is important to seek public comment on the electronic filing initiative through an open rulemaking process.

Federal Communications Commission

III. DISCUSSION**A. Formal Status of Electronically Filed Comments**

8. An estimated 40 million people in the United States now have access to the global Internet, and that number is growing rapidly.¹¹ Allowing parties to use the Internet and other forms of electronic transmission to file comments in FCC rulemaking proceedings would make it simpler for people to submit comments to the Commission, and would allow comments to be made available for review on-line in an efficient manner. We therefore tentatively conclude that allowing comments to be filed in electronic format in all rulemaking proceedings (other than broadcast allotment proceedings)¹² would serve the public interest.

9. The FCC rules include specific requirements for comments filed in rulemaking proceedings and considered by the Commission.¹³ Comments that do not meet these filing requirements are treated as "informal" comments.¹⁴ Several provisions of the rules currently require comments, replies, and other documents to be filed on paper. We tentatively conclude that these rules should be modified so that, to the extent possible, electronically filed comments receive the same treatment and consideration as comments filed on paper. Specific proposed rule changes are set forth in Appendix A. We seek comment on this conclusion, and on any other rule changes that would be necessary to facilitate electronic filing of comments in rulemaking proceedings. We also ask for comment on whether we should apply these electronic filing procedures to comments or pleadings filed in connection with steps that are preliminary to notice and comment rulemaking -- e.g., petitions for rulemaking (other than in broadcast allotment proceedings), notice of inquiry proceedings -- as well as petitions for reconsideration and responsive comments or pleadings filed in notice and comment rulemakings other than broadcast allotment proceedings.¹⁵

10. At this time, we limit our proposal to comments, reply comments, and other documents filed in rulemaking proceedings, other than rulemaking proceedings involving amendments of the FM or television Tables of Allotments. We need more experience with this new system before we expand the scope of the electronic filing option. Moreover, other types of proceedings may present different and more difficult implementation issues. Commenters are free to make suggestions about future implementation in other areas as the Commission considers the broader electronic filing initiative discussed in paragraph 6, above.

B. Implementation Issues

¹¹ See, e.g., Jared Sandberg, "U.S. Households with Internet Access Doubled to 14.7 Million in Past Year," *Wall Street Journal*, October 21, 1996, at B11.

¹² See footnote 2, *supra*, and ¶ 10, *infra*.

¹³ See, e.g., 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.49, 1.419.

¹⁴ 47 C.F.R. § 1.419(b).

¹⁵ If the Commission decides to do so, other rules may need to be modified, including Rule 1.52, 47 C.F.R. § 1.52. See also ¶ 20, *infra*.

Federal Communications Commission

11. We believe that formal electronic filing in rulemaking proceedings can be implemented in a reasonable manner that will benefit both the Commission and the public. It is important, however, to address administrative and implementation issues that may arise as we seek to allow formal comments to be filed electronically. In addition, electronic filing will require internal procedures to ensure that comments can be processed and made available for review in a timely manner. Some of these issues are most appropriately addressed in the context of this rulemaking proceeding, while others are best resolved through guidelines that we will establish in subsequent public notices or in notices of proposed rulemaking in specific proceedings. In both cases, however, public input will be beneficial, and thus we seek comment on several topics that we believe should be addressed.

12. We seek comment on the means by which electronic comments in rulemaking proceedings should be submitted to the Commission. For example, we currently require parties to file multiple copies of formal comments with the Secretary, and usually ask that separate copies be submitted to our copy contractor, to facilitate distribution of copies within the Commission. We tentatively conclude that, if comments are filed electronically, parties would need only to submit one electronic "copy," which could automatically be distributed by the FCC to the appropriate Bureaus and Offices, as well as the copy contractor, in electronic form. We seek comment on this approach.

13. In the past, we have accepted informal comments and copies of paper comments via e-mail and diskette. In the interim period before our new electronic comment processing system is operational and we have completed this rulemaking proceeding, we intend to continue and expand the use of diskette and e-mail filing, in order to provide some level of electronic filing availability to the public as soon as possible. Both of these methods, however, require additional processing on the part of FCC staff. Diskettes must be individually loaded onto FCC computers and scanned for computer viruses, files must be manually transferred from each diskette, and FCC staff must extract the necessary filing data such as docket number from the files. Electronic mail also requires additional effort to extract and verify filing data, and to screen e-mail filings for transfer into a central database.

14. In order to reduce these administrative burdens, we therefore tentatively conclude that the primary mechanism for electronic filing of formal comments in rulemaking proceedings should be a World Wide Web page form,¹⁶ through which parties may upload their comments directly into a database or input brief comments directly. This mechanism would allow filing data to be submitted and verified automatically.¹⁷ Parties will continue to be able to obtain paper copies of comments

¹⁶ The FCC Electronic Comment page form is an interactive Internet page designed to accept data in a specified format for transfer to a database. Copies of the prototype forms are attached as Appendix B of this Notice.

¹⁷ An automated script would verify that all necessary fields are filled out, and could send a reply message to the party if any information is missing. FCC staff, however, still would likely be required to verify that information provided is accurate,

Federal Communications Commission

from the Commission or its copy contractor as they currently do, or they can print their own hard copies of the comments directly from the Internet posting. Moreover, a Web page interface will allow parties to use the same system to search for and download comments filed in a proceeding as they do to file their own comments. Such a system will also allow the Commission to provide additional documentation on filing requirements for those parties that require it, through additional Web pages linked to the comment filing form. We seek comment on this proposal. Parties are invited to comment on the feasibility and desirability of other media, such as CD-ROMs and dial-up bulletin board systems, for submitting electronic filings.

15. The security and integrity of comments filed electronically is a significant concern. Our electronic comment processing system will utilize a secure database that can only be modified by authorized FCC staff. Commenters that are particularly concerned about security of their filings, or that lack access to electronic filing capabilities, may always file their comments on paper.

16. We seek comment on whether any special measures are necessary to authenticate or secure electronic comments in rulemaking proceedings. Electronic comments can be forged, but the risk appears to be no greater than with paper comments. If, as our proposed rules reflect,¹⁸ we were to permit electronic filing without any special security measures, other than requiring basic identifying information (such as name, street address, telephone number, and e-mail address) as a point of contact, it would be up to the commenters, as it is today for paper filings, to identify fraudulent filings. We invite parties to comment on our proposed rule and on whether the benefits of any special authentication or security measures -- such as encryption, digital signatures, or account numbers for each commenter -- would outweigh the additional administrative difficulties and other concerns about such a requirement.

17. We also seek comment on whether any special procedures should be developed to mitigate frivolous or abusive filings. The Commission has authority to reject such filings.¹⁹ Although we recognize the ease of electronic filing may increase the likelihood that some individuals or groups will make frivolous, abusive, or repetitive filings in this manner, we tentatively conclude that no special procedures should be created to address this concern. We seek comment on whether any rules or procedures are necessary to respond to concerns about frivolous or abusive filings, and we invite parties to suggest measures that would decrease the likelihood of such filings without overly burdening commenters.

18. We tentatively conclude that the filing date and time for comments submitted by electronic mail shall be the date the document is received by the FCC.

especially with respect to fields such as the docket number of the proceeding.

¹⁸ We have proposed revisions to Section 1.419 to adapt that rule to electronic filings.

¹⁹ See, e.g., *Commission Taking Tough Measures Against Frivolous Pleadings*, Public Notice, FCC 96-42, 11 FCC Rcd. 3030 (1996).

Federal Communications Commission

Although comments filed via the Internet may take time in transit to the FCC due to network congestion or large attached files, we believe that this transmission period will usually be quite short, and that these rules are the only enforceable means for determining when comments are filed. Moreover, basing the filing date on the time of receipt by the FCC tracks our existing rules for paper filings.²⁰ We plan to implement a confirmation mechanism so that parties filing electronically will receive an automatic acknowledgement specifying the official receipt date and time of their filings. We seek comment on these tentative conclusions, and we invite parties to suggest alternative or additional measures to ensure timely receipt and notification of comment filings.

19. We tentatively conclude that electronic comments will be subject to the same treatment as paper comments, in that comments that are received before the applicable deadline that meet the necessary formalities will be treated as formal filings, and comments that are received after the deadlines, or that fail to meet the necessary formalities, will be treated as informal or *ex parte* filings.²¹ Consistent with our existing rules, we tentatively conclude that electronic comments may be received as informal or *ex parte* filings in a non-restricted rulemaking proceeding, until that proceeding is terminated (or the docket is closed) and no longer subject to a petition for reconsideration or clarification, except during the Sunshine Period.²² We seek comment on these proposals.

20. Finally, if we were to extend the rules to petitions for reconsideration, we tentatively conclude that, where service of documents is required on specific parties (e.g., oppositions to petitions for reconsideration under 47 C.F.R. § 1.429(f)), such service must be made with paper documents, unless the party to be served agrees to accept electronic service of these materials. In such a situation, parties may file electronically with the FCC, but we cannot control the means by which other parties choose to accept information. We seek comment on this tentative conclusion, and we seek comment on possible means of facilitating electronic service.

21. This rulemaking proceeding will address the necessary changes in our rules to make possible formal electronic filings in rulemaking proceedings other than broadcast allotment proceedings. We intend to develop more specific procedural guidelines for filings in subsequent public notices or solicitation of public comment in specific dockets. We anticipate that these guidelines will address issues such as: the specific procedures for filing comments electronically; formatting of electronically filed documents; and alternate methods of obtaining copies of documents filed electronically. We will endeavor to make this information as easily available as possible.

²⁰ 47 C.F.R. § 1.7

²¹ The Commission's *ex parte* rules cover communications to decision-making personnel directed to the merits or outcome of a proceeding. See 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.1200 *et seq.*

²² See 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.1202(f), 1.1203. As now, if *ex parte* filings in a non-restricted proceeding are received during the Sunshine Period, they will be processed in accordance with the *ex parte* rules. See, e.g., 47 C.F.R. § 1.1212.

Federal Communications Commission

22. We request public input on the specific procedures by which we accept comments electronically. Therefore, we invite parties to comment on any other procedural or administrative issues with respect to electronic filing of comments. For example, how should we specify page limits or file size limits for electronic filings? What file formats should we accept? Should we establish any requirements for formatting of electronic documents, such as paragraph numbering? What would be the standard system for citations to electronically filed comments, since page breaks in a file may differ when viewed or printed on different computer systems? How should service requirements for the Bureau or Office handling a proceeding, and FCC Commissioners, be handled?

V. CONCLUSION

23. We believe that allowing parties to file comments electronically in all FCC notice and comment rulemaking proceedings other than broadcast allotments, and giving those comments the same treatment and consideration as paper comments, will serve the public interest. We encourage parties to comment on the questions we raise in this Notice, so that our electronic filing initiative may be implemented in the most effective manner.

VI. PROCEDURAL ISSUES**A. Ex Parte Presentations**

24. This is a non-restricted notice-and-comment rulemaking proceeding. *Ex parte* presentations are permitted, except during the Sunshine Period, provided that they are disclosed as provided in the Commission's rules. See *generally* 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.1202, 1.1203 and 1.1206.

B. Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

25. Section 603 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, as amended, requires an initial regulatory flexibility analysis in notice and comment rulemaking proceedings, unless we certify that "the rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a significant number of small entities." Our purpose in granting electronically filed comments comparable legal treatment to comments filed on paper is to simplify and clarify the existing rules, and to give parties additional options for filing comments. The modifications do not impose any additional compliance burden on persons dealing with the Commission, including small entities. All parties will still be permitted to file comments on paper, exactly as they do today. We anticipate that the revisions we propose will make it easier for small entities as well as others that wish to file and review comments electronically to do so. Accordingly, we certify, pursuant to Section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, as amended by the Contract with America Advancement Act of 1996 (CWAAA), Pub. L. No. 104-121, 110 Stat. 847 (1996), that

Federal Communications Commission

the rules will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. 5 U.S.C. § 605(b). The Secretary shall send a copy of this Report and Order, including this certification, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration. 5 U.S.C. § 605(b). A copy of this certification will also be published in the Federal Register. Id.

C. Initial Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Analysis

26. The requirements proposed herein have been analyzed with respect to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13, and found to impose no new or modified information collection requirement on the public. The filing of comments in FCC proceedings is voluntary, and the modifications proposed in this Notice do not create any new obligations for parties that wish to file comments. Parties may continue to file comments on paper, under exactly the same procedures as applied prior to this Notice.

D. Comment Filing Procedures

27. Pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in sections 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments on or before 30 days after publication in the Federal Register and reply comments on or before 45 days after publication in the Federal Register. For purposes of this proceeding, we hereby waive those provisions of our rules that require formal comments to be filed on paper, and encourage parties to file comments electronically. Electronically filed comments that conform to the guidelines of this section will be considered part of the record in this proceeding and accorded the same treatment as comments filed on paper pursuant to our rules.

28. To file electronic comments in this proceeding, you must use the electronic filing interface available on the FCC's World Wide Web site at <<http://www.fcc.gov/comments/commurls.html>>. Further information on the process of submitting comments electronically is available at that location. Parties that file comments electronically should also send a copy of any documents filed with the Commission in this docket to the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc. (ITS), by e-mail to <its_inc@ix.netcom.com>. Information about ITS is available on the World Wide Web at <<http://www.itsi.com>>.

29. To file paper comments in this proceeding, you must file an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, and supporting comments. If you would like each Commissioner to receive a personal copy of your paper filings, you must file an original and nine copies. Paper comments and reply comments should be sent to Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222, Washington, D.C. 20554. Parties that file paper comments should also submit one copy of any documents filed in this docket with ITS, 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037.

Federal Communications Commission

30. Comments and reply comments will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 239, Washington, D.C. 20554. Comments filed electronically through the Internet will also be made available on the FCC's World Wide Web site at <<http://www.fcc.gov>>.

E. Contact Person

31. For further information concerning this proceeding contact Laurence H. Schecker, Office of General Counsel, at (202) 418-1720.

VII. ORDERING CLAUSE

32. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that pursuant to Sections 4(i) and 4(j) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), (j), a NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING is hereby ADOPTED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

William F. Caton
Acting Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

**APPENDIX A -- PROPOSED RULES
(AMENDED SECTIONS HIGHLIGHTED)**

Part 0 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Part 0 -- COMMISSION ORGANIZATION

1. The authority citation for Part 0 continues to read as follows:

AUTHORITY: Sec. 5, 48 Stat. 1068, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 155, 225, unless otherwise noted.

47 CFR Part 0 is amended to read as follows:

2. Section 0.401 is amended by adding (a)(1)(iii) to read as follows:

§ 0.401 Location of Commission Offices.

* * *

(iii) Electronic filings, where permitted, must be transmitted as specified by the Commission or relevant Bureau or Office.

* * *

Part 1 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Part 1 -- PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

1. The authority citation for Part 1 continues to read as follows:

AUTHORITY: 47 U.S.C. 151, 154, 207, 303 and 309(j) unless otherwise noted.

47 CFR Part 1 is amended to read as follows:

2. Section 1.46 is amended by revising paragraphs (b) and (c) to read as follows:

§ 1.46 Motions for extension of time.

* * *

(b) Motions for extension of time in which to file responses to petitions for rulemaking, replies to such responses, comments filed in response to notice of proposed rulemaking, replies to such comments and other **filings** in rulemaking proceedings conducted under Subpart C of this part shall be filed at least 7 days before the filing date. If a timely motion is denied, the responses and comments,

Federal Communications Commission

replies thereto, or other **filings** need not be filed until 2 business days after the Commission acts on the motion. In emergency situations, the Commission will consider a late-filed motion for a brief extension of time related to the duration of the emergency and will consider motions for acceptance of comments, reply comments or other **filings made** after the filing date.

* * *

(c) If a motion for extension of time in which to **make filings** in proceedings other than notice and comment rule making proceedings is filed less than 7 days prior to the filing **day**, the party filing the motion shall (in addition to serving the motion on other parties) orally notify other parties and Commission staff personnel responsible for acting on the motion that the motion has been (or is being) filed.

* * *

3. Section 1.47 is amended by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§1.47 Service of documents and proof of service.

(d) Documents may be served upon a party, its attorney, or other duly constituted agent by delivering a copy or by mailing a copy to the last known address. When a party is represented by an attorney of record in a formal proceeding, service shall be made upon such attorney. **Documents that are required to be served must be served in paper form, even if documents are filed in electronic form with the Commission, unless the party to be served agrees to accept service in some other form.**

* * *

4. Section 1.49 is amended by revising paragraph (a) and adding new paragraph (f) to read as follows:

§ 1.49 Specifications as to pleadings and documents.

(a) All pleadings and documents filed **in paper form** in any Commission proceeding shall be typewritten or prepared by mechanical processing methods, and shall be filed on A4 (21 cm. x 29.7 cm.) or on 8 1/2 x 11 inch (21.6 cm. x 27.9 cm.) paper with the margins set so that the printed material does not exceed 6 1/2 x 9 1/2 inches (16.5 cm. x 24.1 cm.). The printed material may be in any typeface of at least 12-point (0.42333 cm. or 12/72 ") in height. The body of the text must be double spaced with a minimum distance of 7/32 of an inch (0.5556 cm.) between each line of text. Footnotes and long, indented quotations may be single spaced, but must be in type that is 12-point or larger in height, with at least 1/16 of an inch (0.158 cm.) between each line of text. Counsel are cautioned against employing extended single spaced passages or excessive footnotes to evade prescribed pleading lengths. If

Federal Communications Commission

single-spaced passages or footnotes are used in this manner the pleading will, at the discretion of the Commission, either be rejected as unacceptable for filing or dismissed with leave to be refiled in proper form. Pleadings may be printed on both sides of the paper. Pleadings that use only one side of the paper shall be stapled, or otherwise bound, in the upper left-hand corner; those using both sides of the paper shall be stapled twice, or otherwise bound, along the left-hand margin so that it opens like a book. The foregoing shall not apply to printed briefs specifically requested by the Commission, **documents filed in electronic form**, official publications, charted or maps, original documents (or admissible copies thereof) offered as exhibits, specially prepared exhibits, or if otherwise specifically provided. All copies shall be clearly legible.

* * *

(f) Comments, reply comments, and other documents filed before the close of the reply comment period, may be filed in electronic form in any rulemaking proceeding other than broadcast allotment proceedings. The Commission may adopt specific requirements for formatting and filing of documents submitted in electronic form. For purposes of section (b) and (c) of this section, and any prescribed pleading lengths, the length of any comment or reply comment filed in electronic form in a rulemaking proceeding shall be equal to the length of the document if printed out and formatted according to the specifications of section (a) of this section.

* * *

5. Section 1.419 is amended by adding a new paragraphs (d) and (e) to read as follows:

§1.419 Form of comments and replies; number of copies.

* * *

(d) Participants that file comments and replies in electronic form need only submit one copy of those comments, so long as the submission conforms to any procedural or filing requirements established for formal electronic comments.

(e) Comments and replies filed in electronic form by a party represented by an attorney shall include the name, street address, and telephone number of at least one attorney of record. Parties not represented by an attorney that file comments and replies in electronic form shall provide their name, street address, and telephone number.

* * *



Welcome to the FCC. The mission of this independent government agency is to encourage competition in all communications markets and to protect the public interest. In response to direction from the Congress, the FCC develops and implements policy concerning interstate and international communications by radio, television, wire, satellite, and cable.

We are pleased to make the resources of the Commission available to the public and invite you to comment on issues before the Commission.

Sincerely,
Reed Hundt, Chairman

 [Play Welcome Message](#)
audio file, 25 sec., 200 KB (instructions)

[Speeches](#) | [Search](#) | [Finding Info](#) | [Daily Digest](#) | [Auctions](#) | [Agenda](#) | [Consumer Info](#) | [Telecom Act](#) | [Forms](#) | [Fees](#) | [Comments in Rulemakings](#)



FCC Internet Comment Pages

Quick Start to Electronic Comment Filing at the FCC

The Quick Start Comment Filing system is a prototype for expanded FCC outreach to parties wishing to make electronic comments via the Internet and in other formats. The system is designed to accept informal comments on selected proceedings before the Commission, and then to provide research access to comments which have been received in the system.

Use of the system will help the FCC gain experience in managing the workflow of receiving comments in electronic format, and will also give the public an opportunity to try out the new system. You are invited to send comments and suggestions for improving the system to the [project manager](#).

While the fully featured filing and research system is in development, Quick Start will accept comments only on designated proceedings. Those proceedings are listed in the [Document Number](#) page.

There are two components to Quick Start:
[Comment Filing](#) and [Searching](#).

Additional FCC Comment Pages

The following list contains links to proceedings in which some filers voluntarily submitted electronic duplicates of their comments. The various proceedings cover a range of topics of special interest to a wide audience. In most cases, these are not complete sets of all comments filed.

Links to 13 different CCB comment pages

<http://www.fcc.gov/cc b/comments.html>

IB MCI Communications / British Telecommunications Merger

<http://www.fcc.gov/ib/t d/pf/btmci/>

Wireless NOI on Access to Telecommunications Services By Persons with Disabilities

<http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/wt96-198comments.html>

CSB Open Video Systems

<http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Cable/WWW/csovsccer.html>

<http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Cable/WWW/csovsnoi.html>

Internet Comment PageTemplate

[HTML](#) | [Text](#) | [WordPerfect](#)

For more information contact [Sheryl Segal](#)

Last updated: 3/3/97



Send The Comment - File Upload

1. Docket Number (Help)		2. Rulemaking Number	
<input type="text" value="96-198"/>		<input type="text"/>	
4. Name			
<input type="text" value="Jane Doe"/>			
4a. Address Line 1			
<input type="text"/>			
4b. Address Line 2			
<input type="text"/>			
4c. City		4d. State	
<input type="text"/>		<input type="text"/>	
5. Law Firm Name (optional)			
<input type="text"/>			
6. Attorney Name (optional)			
<input type="text"/>			
7. Subject			
<input type="text" value="Access to Telecommunications Services By Persons with Disabil"/>			
To Modify Name or Address: Enter the changes to the above fields a			

Send Comment to FCC (Attachments)

Description: <input type="text"/>	File: <input type="text"/>
Select one of these file types or convert your file to one of these types: <input type="text"/>	
<input type="button" value="Send File To FCC"/>	<input type="button" value="Clear File Info"/>



The FCC acknowledges receipt of your comments.

Start of Transaction: Apr 11 1997 10:19AM

End of Transaction: Apr 11 1997 10:22AM

Your Confirmation Number Is: 207

Number of files Transmitted: 2

Brief Comment Bytes Received:273

Our Comments Bytes Received:31774

Thank you for your comment.

first posted 9/15/96

revised 02/09/97

FCC HOME PAGE

Headlines

Commissioners

Bureaus/Offices

Finding Info