



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

August 26, 2014

DA 14-1229

SENT VIA CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Gregory Paul Styles
15506 Banjo Court
Woodbridge, VA 22193

Re: Notice of Suspension and Initiation of Debarment Proceeding
File No. EB-IHD-14-00013502

Dear Mr. Styles:

The Federal Communications Commission (Commission) has received notice of your conviction for conspiracy to commit mail fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C § 371,¹ a conviction that arose out of activities associated with the federal schools and libraries universal service support mechanism (E-Rate program). Consequently, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 54.8, this letter constitutes official notice of your suspension from the E-Rate program.² In addition, the Enforcement Bureau (Bureau) hereby notifies you that the Bureau will commence debarment proceedings against you.³

I. Notice of Suspension

The Commission has established procedures to prevent persons who have “defrauded the government or engaged in similar acts through activities associated with or related to the [E-Rate

¹ Any further reference in this letter to “your conviction” refers to your guilty plea and subsequent sentencing for conspiring to defraud the United States in *United States v. Styles*, Criminal Docket No. 1:06-CR-00013-LJO-1, Plea Agreement (E.D. Cal. filed Oct. 22, 2010) (*Plea Agreement*).

² 47 C.F.R. § 54.8.

³ *Id.* § 0.111 (delegating to the Enforcement Bureau authority to resolve universal service suspension and debarment proceedings). The Commission adopted debarment rules for the E-Rate program in 2003. *See Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 18 FCC Rcd 9202 (2003) (*Second Report and Order*) (adopting Section 54.521 to suspend and debar parties from the E-Rate program). In 2007 the Commission extended the debarment rules to apply to all federal universal service support mechanisms. *Comprehensive Review of the Universal Service Fund Management, Administration, and Oversight; Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism; Rural Health Care Support Mechanism; Lifeline and Link Up; Changes to the Board of Directors for the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc.*, Report and Order, 22 FCC Rcd 16372, App. C at 16410–12 (2007) (*Program Management Order*) (renumbering Section 54.521 of the universal service debarment rules as Section 54.8 and amending subsections (a)(1), (a)(5), (c), (d), (e)(2)(i), (e)(3), (e)(4), and (g)).

program]” from receiving the benefits associated with that program.⁴ The statutory provisions and Commission rules relating to the E-Rate program are designed to ensure that all E-Rate funds are used for their intended purpose.⁵ Sections 54.503 and 54.511 of the Commission’s rules require that solicitations for E-Rate services be based on a fair and open competitive bidding process that is free from conflicts of interest.⁶

On November 1, 2010, you pled guilty to conspiring with others to defraud the E-Rate program. During the course of that conspiracy, you used your position as the Management Information Systems Director (MIS Director) for the Chowchilla Elementary School District (CESD) to circumvent the E-Rate program’s competitive bidding rules.⁷ As the MIS Director, you were responsible for CESD’s E-Rate procurement process, which included reviewing bids, selecting service providers, awarding contracts, and billing the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) for E-Rate work.⁸ Those responsibilities made you ineligible to bid on CESD E-Rate projects or receive funds for those projects from USAC.⁹ To circumvent these prohibitions, you conspired with Marvin Freeman to have his silk screening business, Twisted Head Design, bid on CESD E-Rate contracts.¹⁰ You then selected Twisted Head Design’s bids knowing that the company was unqualified to perform E-Rate work, performed the work yourself or had it performed through subcontractors, and billed USAC for the work.¹¹ As a result

⁴ *Second Report and Order*, 118 FCC Rcd at 9225, para. 66; *Program Management Order*, 22 FCC Rcd at 16387, para. 32. The Commission’s debarment rules define a “person” as “[a]ny individual, group of individuals, corporation, partnership, association, unit of government or legal entity, however organized.” 47 C.F.R. § 54.8(a)(6).

⁵ *NEC-Business Network Solutions, Inc.*, Notice of Debarment and Order Denying Waiver Petition, 21 FCC Rcd 7491, 7493, para. 7 (2006).

⁶ 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.503, 54.511(a); see *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 8776, 9078–80, paras. 480–81 (1997) (subsequent history omitted) (finding that without competitive bidding requirements, the applicant may not receive the most cost-effective services); *Lazo Technologies, Inc.*, Order on Reconsideration, 26 FCC Rcd 16661, 16664, para. 7 (2011) (explaining that a service provider may not be involved in the competitive bidding process other than as a bidder) (*Lazo Recon. Order*); see also USAC’s website description of an Open and Fair Competitive Bidding Process, Step 2 available at <http://www.universalservice.org/sl/applicants/step02/competitive-bidding.aspx> (last visited June 9, 2014).

⁷ *Plea Agreement* at 10–12; see also UNITED STATES ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, Press Releases, *Two Plead Guilty in Scheme to Defraud the Chowchilla Elementary School District*, Nov. 1, 2010, available at <http://www.fbi.gov/sacramento/press-releases/2010/sc110110.html>.

⁸ *United States v. Styles*, Criminal Docket No. 1:06-CR-00013-001, Indictment at 2 (E.D. Cal. filed Jan. 19, 2006) (*Indictment*).

⁹ See *Lazo Recon. Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 16664, para. 7.

¹⁰ *Plea Agreement* at 11; see *Indictment* at 6. The Bureau is also serving a notice of suspension and initiation of debarment proceedings on Mr. Freeman. See Letter from Theresa Z. Cavanaugh, Chief, Investigations and Hearings Division, FCC Enforcement Bureau, to Marvin Mitchell Freeman, Notice of Suspension and Initiation of Debarment Proceedings, DA 14-1230 (Enf. Bur. Aug. 26, 2014).

¹¹ *Plea Agreement* at 11; see *Indictment* at 6.

of your fraudulent scheme, USAC disbursed \$787,950 to Mr. Freeman, a substantial portion of which Mr. Freeman forwarded to you and which you deposited in your bank account.¹²

On March 17, 2011, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California sentenced you to serve 30 days in prison followed by three years of supervised release.¹³ The court also ordered you to pay \$40,000 in restitution to CESD¹⁴ and a \$100 special assessment, and to forfeit certain personal property.¹⁵

Pursuant to Section 54.8(b) of the Commission's rules,¹⁶ your conviction requires the Bureau to suspend you from participating in any activities associated with or related to the E-Rate program, including receiving funds or discounted services through the E-Rate program, or consulting with, assisting, or advising applicants or service providers regarding the E-Rate program.¹⁷ Your suspension becomes effective upon either your receipt of this letter or its publication in the Federal Register, whichever comes first.¹⁸

In accordance with the Commission's suspension and debarment rules, you may contest this suspension or the scope of this suspension by filing arguments, with any relevant documents, within thirty (30) calendar days of your receipt of this letter or its publication in the Federal Register, whichever comes first.¹⁹ Such requests, however, will not ordinarily be granted.²⁰ The Bureau may reverse or limit the scope of a suspension only upon a finding of extraordinary circumstances.²¹ The Bureau will decide any request to reverse or modify a suspension within ninety (90) calendar days of its receipt of such request.²²

II. Initiation of Debarment Proceedings

In addition to requiring your immediate suspension from the E-Rate program, your conviction is cause for debarment as defined in Section 54.8(c) of the Commission's rules.²³

¹² *Plea Agreement* at 12; *see Indictment* at 9, 12.

¹³ *United States v. Styles*, Criminal Docket No. 1:06-CR-00013-001, Judgment at 1–5 (E.D. Cal. filed Mar. 17, 2011, amended June 15, 2011) (*Judgment*).

¹⁴ *Id.* at 5. The court ordered Messrs. Styles and Freeman to pay this restitution joint and severally. *Id.* at 6.

¹⁵ *Id.*

¹⁶ 47 C.F.R. § 54.8(a)(4); *see Second Report and Order*, 18 FCC Rcd at 9225–27, paras. 67–74.

¹⁷ 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.8(a)(1), (d).

¹⁸ *Second Report and Order*, 18 FCC Rcd at 9226, para. 69; 47 C.F.R. § 54.8(e)(1).

¹⁹ 47 C.F.R. § 54.8(e)(4).

²⁰ *Id.*

²¹ 47 C.F.R. § 54.8(f).

²² *Second Report and Order*, 18 FCC Rcd at 9226, para. 70; 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.8(e)(5), (f).

²³ “Causes for suspension and debarment are conviction of or civil judgment for attempt or commission of criminal fraud, theft, embezzlement, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements,

Therefore, pursuant to Section 54.8(b) of the Commission's rules, your conviction requires the Bureau to commence debarment proceedings against you.²⁴

As with the suspension process, you may contest the proposed debarment or the scope of the proposed debarment by filing arguments and any relevant documentation within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of this letter or its publication in the Federal Register, whichever comes first.²⁵ The Bureau, in the absence of extraordinary circumstances, will notify you of its decision to debar within ninety (90) calendar days of receiving any information you may have filed.²⁶ If the Bureau decides to debar you, its decision will become effective upon either your receipt of a debarment notice or publication of the decision in the Federal Register, whichever comes first.²⁷

If and when your debarment becomes effective, you will be prohibited from participating in activities associated with or related to the E-Rate program for three years from the date of debarment.²⁸ The Bureau may set a longer debarment period or extend an existing debarment period if necessary to protect the public interest.²⁹

Please direct any response, if sent by messenger or hand delivery, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW-A325, Washington, D.C. 20554 and to the attention of Joy M. Ragsdale, Attorney Advisor, Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, Room 4-C330, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554 with a copy to Theresa Z. Cavanaugh, Division Chief, Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, Room 4-C330, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554. All messenger or hand delivery filings must be submitted without envelopes.³⁰ If sent by commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service (USPS) Express Mail and Priority Mail), the response must be sent to the Federal Communications Commission, 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, Maryland 20743. If sent by USPS First Class, Express Mail, or Priority Mail, the response should be addressed to Joy Ragsdale,

receiving stolen property, making false claims, obstruction of justice and other fraud or criminal offense arising out of activities associated with or related to the schools and libraries support mechanism, the high-cost support mechanism, the rural healthcare support mechanism, and the low-income support mechanism.” 47 C.F.R. § 54.8(c). Associated activities “include the receipt of funds or discounted services through [the federal universal service] support mechanisms, or consulting with, assisting, or advising applicants or service providers regarding [the federal universal service] support mechanisms.” *Id.* § 54.8(a)(1).

²⁴ *Id.* § 54.8(b).

²⁵ *Second Report and Order*, 18 FCC Rcd at 9226, para. 70; 47 C.F.R. § 54.8(e)(3).

²⁶ *Second Report and Order*, 18 FCC Rcd at 9226, para. 70; 47 C.F.R. § 54.8(e)(5).

²⁷ 47 C.F.R. § 54.8(e)(5). The Commission may reverse a debarment, or may limit the scope or period of debarment, upon a finding of extraordinary circumstances, following the filing of a petition by you or an interested party or upon motion by the Commission. *Id.* § 54.8(f).

²⁸ *Second Report and Order*, 18 FCC Rcd at 9225, para. 67; 47 C.F.R. § 54.8(d), (g).

²⁹ 47 C.F.R. § 54.8(g).

³⁰ See FCC *Public Notice*, DA 09-2529 for further filing instructions (rel. Dec. 3, 2009).

Gregory Paul Styles
August 26, 2014
Page 5

Attorney Advisor, Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 4-C330, Washington, D.C. 20554, with a copy to Theresa Z. Cavanaugh, Chief, Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 4-C330, Washington, D.C. 20554. You shall also transmit a copy of your response via e-mail to Joy M. Ragsdale, Joy.Ragsdale@fcc.gov, and Theresa Z. Cavanaugh, Terry.Cavanaugh@fcc.gov.

If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Ragsdale via U.S. postal mail, e-mail, or by telephone at (202) 418-1697. You may contact me at (202) 418-1553 or at the e-mail address noted above if Ms. Ragsdale is unavailable.

Sincerely yours,

Theresa Z. Cavanaugh
Chief
Investigations and Hearings Division
Enforcement Bureau

cc: Johnnay Schrieber, Universal Service Administrative Company (via e-mail)
Rashann Duvall, Universal Service Administrative Company (via e-mail)
Mark J. McKeon, United States Attorney's Office, Eastern District of California (via e-mail)