

JOHN DAVID PHILLIPS

ARTIST

23626 GENESEE VILLAGE ROAD • GOLDEN, COLORADO 80401 • 303.526.2657

Richard Sheehan
Jefferson County Building
100 Jefferson County Parkway
Golden, CO 80401-3550

February 26, 1999

Dear Mr. Sheehan:

I am writing you to express my strong protest to the construction of another broadcast transmitting tower on the top of Lookout Mountain. I am sure you have heard many arguments against (and for) its construction, but in allowing its operation, you would be setting aside the interests of the residents of Jefferson County. Alternative sites for these towers do exist further west at higher elevations in unpopulated areas or on the eastern plains, again, where impact is minimal. Such alternatives may not be the least expensive choices for the broadcast interests, however, they would achieve thier business goals in a responsible way without creating undue health risks, division and tension within the community, damaged property values and tax base, future liability issues and other costs to the county.

Thank you in advance for your taking a farsighted look at this problem.

Sincerely,

JOHN DAVID PHILLIPS

ARTIST

23626 GENESEE VILLAGE ROAD • GOLDEN, COLORADO 80401 • 303.526.2657

2/26/99


I am enclosing this check to support your efforts to stop the construction of New transmission towers on lookout mountain.

Also enclosed is a letter which I've ~~sent~~ sent to the 3 county commissioners.

Thank You,

John Phillips

March 11, 1999



Mr. Tim Carl
Planning and Zoning
100 Jefferson County Parkway
Suite 3550
Golden, CO 80419-3500

This letter is written as private citizen living in the Riva Chase community in Golden Colorado. I am urging you not to allow the antenna farms proposed by the local television stations until a legitimate study has been done on the effects of the radiation these towers emit and the effects of cancer on the population in our community. I am not only concerned about the health of myself and my husband but our children is of utmost importance. It would seem very irresponsible for a tower like this to be allowed until all the facts have been appropriately studied and we are certain that they are not the cause of the cancer that has effected our community.

We must protect our community, and I know that many of our neighbors would leave the community if they feel their families were in danger. What would you do if it was your family? Please think about that before allowing these towers to be built without adequate study on the real effects of our people and our children.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Patricia L. McDonnell".

Patricia L. McDonnell
22545 Tree Top Lane
Golden, CO 80401

303-525-9083

cc: Govenor Bill Owens
US Representative Tom Tancredo
US Senator Wayne Allard
US Senator Ben Nighthorse Campbell
Vice President Al Gore, Jr.

Dear Commissioner,

I like my life. Don't kill me by putting up the towers. I hope you feel sorry for me, if you don't I hope you get real sick, and then your cable goes out, then 1,000,000 flies infest your armpits. Also your car breaks down, then your ~~that~~ wife leaves you!

HAVE FUN.

5th Grade Scott Groe Golden CO.
Better than
you



~~Put~~ P.S. If you don't put it up ~~all~~ all the things that happen ^{to you} will go away and happens to Bill Clinton, if you like ~~at~~ him then never mind.

Date March 5, 1999

Help!

Dear Commission

March 5, 1999

Please do not put up the tower. ^{It} shows a risk of leukemia. That of my friends will move away. The teacher won't work.

A student born Ralston,
Elizabeth Cathrine
Kray

P.S. IF you put up the tower
this is what you are:
Tu et tre bete!!!



Dear commissioner,

Please don't put tower up. I'm very concerned about my health.

The students of Ralston could get very sick or even die.

I thought it was your job to keep us in good health.

We heard the radiation level will go up 15 times more, if you really want to put up the tower point it at yourself. I hope

you'll consider my advice. And

If you don't I hope you fall down and rott. But if you don't put it up, I hope you ^{it} have a good life. If you do ^{put it} have fun while rotting in a pet cemetery.

Sincerely if you listen die if you don't.

Tatiana Nika Jafari

If you put up tower

RIA

me

ps.

April 5, 1999

Jefferson County Commissioners: Michelle Lawrence, Richard Sheehan, Pat Holloway
Jefferson County Planning and Zoning: Tim Carl, Janet Bell, Preston Gibson
Jefferson County Department of Health and the Environment: Dr. Mark Johns

RE: Lookout Mountain Radio Towers

Dear Elected and Government Officials,

I am writing to express my concern over the proposed "super tower" on Lookout Mountain as well as the existing towers on Lookout Mountain. I am very much opposed to these towers based on the potential health effects caused by electro-magnetic radiation. There is enough scientific evidence to suggest with certainty that some risk to human health does exist, at what levels seems yet to be determined. Because the Lookout Mountain towers are right in the middle of several residential areas and close to schools I feel very strongly that they should not be allowed to exist in the present location.

It is now your responsibility as elected and government officials to see that mistakes that may not be evident for several years are not made. It certainly would be easier for the parties responsible to leave the tower sites in place, but the risks of cancer, childhood leukemia, etc. are simply not worth it. Residential development in areas of close proximity to radio towers should never have been approved. At the time of approval the risks may not have been known. But now that potential effects have been determined the situation must be changed. The advance of technology must never come before the well being of our people.


You are all in positions to help deny this request and see to the removal of the existing towers and I sincerely hope that you are all up to the task. Because of your appointment you are all in positions of responsibility for the health of many people, do not make bad decisions based on scientific evidence that is inconclusive.

Thank you for your time in considering my concerns.

Sincerely,



Veronica Henry
435 Crawford Street
Golden, CO 80401



From: louise miller lilienthal <lmillerl@earthlink.net>
To: <pubinfo@co.jefferson.co.us>
Date: 3/31/99 11:59am
Subject: Lookout Mt. Super Tower

Dear Ms. Holloway, Mr. Sheehan, and Ms. Lawrence:

I am writing to ask you to NOT support the rezoning of the Lookout Mt. "antennae farm" to accommodate Lake Cedar's request to install the so-called super tower. The lack of evidence that the tower would be safe to humans, especially children, is my overriding concern. While there is no conclusive proof that the tower would pose a health threat, there is also no conclusive proof that it wouldn't. In fact, many studies have shown a link between EMR and cancer.

I want to urge you to require the Lake Cedar Group to explore other sites and provide definitive proof of their acceptability or unacceptability. Since this out-of-state limited liability corporation is so far removed from local concerns, it behooves the County Commissioners to do as much as possible to ensure the safety and health of its local citizens.


Sincerely,

Louise Miller Lilienthal

CC: <deb@carneylaw.net>, <jefferydholland@msn.com>

GEORGE & KAREN POLLACK

Tim



Richard Turner
Director of Planning
100 Jeff. Co. Pkwy.
Golden, CO 80419-3350

Dear Richard Turner,

I'm writing to you as a concerned citizen who lives in Genesee Colorado not far from Ralston Elementary School. My family and I have become very concerned about the present proposal for a 854' "Super Tower" on Lookout Mountain and it's threat to our health and well being. Radiation in the foothill communities would see the EMR increase from two to 60 times the current levels already 1500 times the national median. The measurements and calculations show that not only will the nearest neighbor (260 feet away from the base of the tower), but also over a very large populated area of homes, churches, businesses and an elementary school would be affected. Jefferson County's consultant in this matter has verified the accuracy of the calculations. It would also increase the interference now affecting our neighborhoods. Not to mention the visual impact it would have on the front range.

Jefferson County is not responsible for securing the profits of private industry. Please say no to this Super Tower and the threat it poses on the health to my family and our neighbors.

Thank You

George Pollack

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "George Pollack".

D. Eichorst, MD
173 South Lookout Mountain Road
Golden, Colorado 80401

Board of County Commissioners
Jefferson County
100 Jefferson County Parkway
Golden, Colorado 80401

Dear Sir:

I, live on Lookout Mountain Road In Golden, Colorado. I am vehemently opposed to the new radio/TV tower. The already existing electromagnetic radiation (EMR) levels are unacceptable, let alone the estimated increases in EMR.

Although you may be familiar with the increased numbers for EMR, I have enclosed the estimated increased EMR levels that have been published. These levels are certainly unacceptable for such a populated area, especially the proposed expansion for our local elementary school, Ralston Elementary.

Although the current rate of brain cancer appears increased for the people living near the tower, this cannot be proven epidemiologically. However, the numbers needed to actually prove epidemiological trends are enormous and could never be possible in our local community.

Finally, I would like to add a personal note. My younger son, Jack was diagnosed with leukemia four years ago at the age of seven. He has undergone intensive chemotherapy and is currently in remission. No child or family should have to endure this process. If there is any question of a connection between EMR and leukemia, this radio/TV tower should not be approved and should definitely be placed in an unpopulated area. The health and safety of our citizens and especially our children should take precedence over television and radio signal reception.

Sincerely,



Delphine M. Eichorst, MD
Vice-Chairman, Department of Pediatrics
Medical Director - Newborn Services
Hospital for Infants & Children
HealthONE Presbyterian/St. Luke's Medical Center

BCC Lake Cedar Group Hearing March 10, at 5 p.m., Hearing Room 1

Existing and Projected Radiation from proposed LCG tower in Mt. Vernon Canyon Neighborhoods

Location (Street)	Distance from tower (feet)	Feet above sea level (LCG tower based at 7080)	Existing Power Density	Proposed Total Density**	Increase by factor of
Cedar Lake Rd.	290	7,330	12.62	20.08	1.59
Buffalo Bill's Grave	1,480	7,370	5.50	7.82	1.26
Aspen Drive	1,900	7,340	1.20	1.58	1.31
Lookout Mountain Rd	2,100	7,340	2.36	4.03	1.71
Grandview Ave	3,500	7,360	2.24	4.33	1.90
Mountsfield Dr	4,265	7,450	5.20	9.70	1.87
Mountsfield Dr	4,340	7,468	5.00	9.44	3.16
Goldenrod Dr	5,950	7,400	18.03	5.42 (decrease .54)	
Colorado Rd	5,560	7,550	9.25	14.68	1.57
Crestwood Dr	6,580	7,400	.16	5.05	28.00
Cabini Blvd	7,500	7,320	1.35	4.77	3.53
Lookout Mountain Cir	7,844	7,368	5.70	9.61	1.69
Mother Cabini Drive	8,400	7,200	.60	3.28	5.47
Indian Paintbrush Dr	10,500	7,490	.78	5.69	7.29
Lookout Mountain Rd	10,400	7,520	.87	6.07	7.00
Mt. Vernon County Club	12,250	7,720	.45	10.20	22.00
Stables Lane	13,040	7,830	.53	8.97	9.64
Baldwin's Mountain School	17,700	7,600	.50	7.48	15.00
Township Office	17,000	7,600	.52	7.43	14.00
**Genesee Plaza Rd	17,040	7,700	.18	7.68	60.00
Genesee Town Center	18,700	7,800	.50	6.30	12.60

* Total (cumulative) Density in microwatts per centimeter squared. ** As presently proposed, without future (no imaginary) additions. ** corner of Brookrest Drive in Genesee

Source: A. Holop, M.S. Electrical Engineer, numerous RF patents, 26 year IEEE member

Rick Sheehan
County Commissioner
100 Jefferson County Parkway, Suite 500
Golden, CO 80419

March 9, 1999

Dear Commissioner,

I understand that you will be considering accepting a proposal to add an 854 foot tower on Lookout Mountain which will have omni-directional transmitters on it along with digital TV and radar. I thought that the Jefferson County Telecommunications plan states that NO transmitters that are omni-directional shall be allowed. I also understand that the electro-magnetic radiation from this new tower will increase up to 20 times the current level which is already too high for an area as populated as this area. Since there may be a health risk to many of your constituents, I can't understand why you would even consider allowing this tower to be added until a complete health and environmental study has been done at your request. This seems like a prudent thing to request before approving something which might harm your neighbors (including many children). I understand that there seems to be a higher incidence of certain kinds of cancers near the towers with the current output of EMR's. I don't think that an increase of EMR's will make the area any safer. Since the City of Golden and the Jeffco Building is located right beneath these towers, has any study been done on the electro-magnetic readings for these areas to see what kind of risk these people are also in?

Since there are other viable sites for locating transmitters where there are no residences within the danger range, it seems to me that the Commissioners should ask about studies for these areas to compare with the current request. Also, if at some time after the tower is put in, a medical report does show the area to be at risk, what will happen to the property values in the Lookout Mountain and Genesee Mountain areas and how will affect the taxes collected by Jefferson County?

I agree with the CARE group and feel that these towers should NOT be approved until an in-depth medical and EMR study has been done and presented to the public in Jefferson County. I will not be able to get to the meeting on Wednesday, March 10, 1999, until later, but I hope to be able to voice my opinion that you vote this proposal down at this time.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Howell
24765 Genesee Avenue
Golden, CO 80401

520 Chelan St.
Golden, CO 80401
March 10, 1999

Dear Mr. Tim Carl,

As residents of the Eagle Ridge community in Golden, my husband and I are concerned about the proposal to change the zoning on Lookout Mountain in order to allow the Cedar Lake supertower and facility to be built.

We believe that there are health concerns for residents of Lookout Mountain, Golden, and other adjacent communities. In addition, we already have enough interference in radio/television/etc. reception from the towers that are currently present.

As residents and voting citizen, we urge you to turn down this zoning change and subsequent tower/facility construction.

Thank you for your attention,

Kimberly C. White-Pinilla & Carl
Kimberly C. White-Pinilla
Carlos E. Pinilla-Morales

Mr. Tim Carl
Planning and Zoning
100 Jefferson County Pkwy, Suite 3550
Golden, 80419

831 Panorama Drive
Golden
80401

March 4th 1999

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is in reference to the proposed tower on Lookout Mountain.

I am absolutely disgusted that it is even a consideration, there are obvious problems associated with the existing towers, and I cannot even begin to speculate the effects to the community, of a new high intensity 834-foot tower.

It appalls me that you would put at risk the lives of local residents, and constituents, of Jefferson County, when there are many other options open to you.


I am also very much opposed to the new building plans. Lookout Mountain is a wildlife habitat; this building will destroy the harmony of this beautiful place. The influx of traffic alone would be devastating.

I am very angry at the choices that you are considering, and very concerned for my family, and all of my friends and neighbors.


Yours sincerely,

Keeley H. Musgrave

Keeley H. Musgrave.



21740 Cabrini Boulevard
Golden, CO 80401



March 5, 1999


Mr. Tim Carl, Planning and Zoning and
Board of Jefferson County Commissioners
100 Jefferson Country Parkway, Suite 500
Golden, CO 80419

Dear Mr. Carl and Commissioners;

We write to ask for your disapproval of the proposed Cedar Lake Group TV, radio and radar transmission tower on Lookout Mountain or on Mt. Morrison which will negatively impact the health and well-being of 1000s of your constituents. If the impacts to health cannot be strongly enough linked YET, without decades of research, the impact on property values and follow-on loss in tax revenue to the County can easily be measured.

With property values of over \$500,000,000 in the Lookout Mountain, Genessee, and Golden areas decreasing due to tower installation and proliferation, **tax revenue would likely decrease on the order of millions of dollars a year.** Can we afford to take such a hit in revenue for the County? While we still have a choice, why would we institute such a certain negative destiny to some of the most beautiful property the County (and State) has to offer? The visual and potential fiscal impact to hundreds of adjacent neighborhoods that would be in direct view of the associated 33,000 sq. ft. building to be erected with the tower is inconceivable. And finally, the impact on historic landmarks like the Buffalo Bill Grave and Museum, Cabrini Shrine, and the Boettcher mansions affect not only county citizens but people of the State of Colorado and outside tourism from all over the world. While we still have a choice, why would we negatively impact such a mainstay as tourism to our beautiful State?

There are numerous other options for this Group to locate its tower, not affecting the livelihood of 1000s of men, women, and children and incomes of counties and the State of Colorado. While we have a choice, what kind of legacy would this board like to leave with its voting citizens?

Truly,

Paula and Brian Smith

cc: Governor Bill Owens
Jefferson County Assessors Office

Barb Gasper
208 Kimball Ave.
Golden, CO 80401

March 4, 1999

Tim Carl
Planning & Zoning
100 Jefferson County Pkwy, Ste. 3550
Golden, CO 80419


Dear Tim:


I am a Golden resident that lives in "block 3" – an area where there is a higher incidence of brain cancers. However, we are apparently are not in the line of fire, as we live at the base of Lookout Mountain and the electromagnetic radiation passes over our heads. Even so, I'd like to ask for your support in voting down the new HDTV tower proposal on March 10, 1999. My reasons are few, but important.

1. Health risk. The kids attending Ralston school will be exposed to 7.0 mm watts of radiation, above the 2.0 level where there are links to childhood leukemia. We do not know for sure what the levels currently are or will be at our local elementary school – in Heritage Dells/Tripp Ranch area.
2. I am hiring an independent meter reading company to give me peace of mind as to the current levels on our doorstep – this is expensive – it has become my problem. I'd love to bill the Cedar Ridge group!
3. The Colo. Public Health study was based on distance from the towers, not actual meter readings of radiation levels. Actual levels are higher than the study predicted levels.
4. The current towers cause risk – why would we even consider a bigger one? In fact, I'd support removing the existing towers to a more remote location. The lack of definitive answers sounds like the tobacco industry debacle – 20 years ago. Please do not make us wait 20 years to "prove" the risk. There is enough evidence to cause reasonable doubt – think of our kids.
5. Financial. The tax base will reduce with a reduction in property values on Lookout Mt. Our family was actively seeking to purchase a house on Lookout. With the recent information released, there is no way I'd subject my children to a possible health risk. Friends in real estate have noticed less interest in Lookout homes due to the tower "scare."
6. Looks. Admittedly, I am used to the towers, but the proposed building on Lookout to accompany the new tower is a bit much. I love our mountain town, our mountain views, our "small town" heritage. Enough in the name of "progress."
7. As I understand it, there are safer alternatives for the HDTV tower – why not go there – Squaw Mt. Or the Eastern plains.

"See" you on March 10th. Thanks.

Sincerely,


Barb Gasper



March 5, 1999

Mr. Tim Carl, Planning and Zoning
100 Jefferson County Pkwy, Suite 3550
Golden CO 80419


New 850 Foot Tower on Lookout Mountain

Dear Mr. Carl,

My wife and I would like to express our **STRONG OPPOSITION** to placing this tower, the access road and the building on the mountain. This construction ruins the mountain view for all time. We are appalled that the commissioners would even consider this an option. The mountain views available from the foothills areas in Jefferson county are continuously under assault by opportunistic single businesses that would scar the views for all time and for the thousands of people that look to them daily for inspiration. We believe this is a very poor proposal and that some other less environmentally brutal option can be found.

We strongly urge you **NOT TO SUPPORT THIS TOWER CONSTRUCTION.**

Sincerely,




Luther W. Davidson



Uhla L. Davidson

14255 W. EXPOSITION DRIVE
LAKEWOOD CO 80228



file copy

CYNTHIA POUGIALES
ARCHITECT
PINE STUDIO

November 1 1998

Tim Carl
Jeffco Planning Dept.
100 Jeffco Pkwy.
golden, Co. 80419

re: Lookout Antennae Farm

Dear Mr. Carl;

As technologies are changing @ a rapid pace,
so is Jefferson County. Jefferson^{City} is a national
leader in many respects and on many issues. Its
time to add "compliance to FCC Standards" and
a commitment to clean up our community based on
these regulations as a part of next year's goals.

Thank you for your consideration. No to more antennas!

Cynthia Pougiales, AIA
CARE delegate, neighbor



CC: CARE

December 26, 1998

**Jefferson County Planning Commissioners
100 Jefferson County Parkway, Suite 3550
Golden, CO 80419-3350**

Dear Commissioners:

I am writing to ask you, the planning commissioners, what benefit you think there is to the county to allow the Lake Cedar Group to proceed with their proposed plan. As a citizen of Jeffco, I would like to know because I can only see disadvantages in allowing this project to take place.

Are you thinking that this would be an improvement from an aesthetic point of view? Granted, beauty is in the eye of the beholder but I can't imagine how anyone could think that a tower even larger than the largest tower up there (channel 4) would be an improvement, regardless of whether or not any other towers came down. When I look up toward the mountain backdrop from Golden or Sixth Avenue or I-70, the one thing that stands out more than anything else is the channel 4 tower. And it doesn't have the pitch fork style antennas at its top that the proposed tower will have. The Eiffel tower, with its soaring lines may be elegant in a city, but a tower with an array of pitch fork antennas at the top and an industrial building at the bottom that would dwarf Mr. Medved's private lodge is hardly what I would call an elegant compliment to Jeffco's gateway to the Rockies. As architect Cynthia Pougiales asked at the hearing on December 2nd, is this your idea of Jeffco's future as the gateway to the Rockies? Is this kind of tower our heritage? Or is it Buffalo Bill, the Boetcher Mansion and the fantastic vantage point that these sites offer to visitors and tourists who come to Lookout Mountain?

Are you thinking that you want to attract the high tech, think tank, non-polluting, cutting edge electronics industries to Jefferson County? If you see this as one type of development for the Golden area, you should listen to Mark Linne of the School of Mines. Not only might this new tower threaten existing research at the School of Mines, it might spell the end for state of the art research and development at the school. The signals (or noise) already interfering with test equipment at the School of Mines are far greater than the test signal which is supposed to be detected. Mr. Linne said he would probably move his own budding company out of the area if this new tower is approved. Certain sensitive waterline detectors don't work in the Golden area and I know of other small electronics companies battling similar interference.

If you aren't concerned about aesthetics or high tech industries, do you see any advantage in adding another tower for the residents who already put up with so much electronic interference that garage door openers and keyless car entries don't work? I've experienced this not only on Lookout Mountain but also at Heritage Square. We have not been able to use a stereo system in our living room because the buzz is so obnoxious, we would rather not listen to it. We had to remove our wireless doorbell because it would ring spontaneously just due to signal fluctuations. It was as if our house were haunted. We have poor television reception because the signals are so strong they overwhelm the TV for the local stations and they cause interference with our cable. We have a digital scale which we can only use downstairs because the interference isn't as great in the lower level of our home. We have neighbors whose children can't use remote control toy cars because the antenna signals cause them to go haywire. We were unsuspecting buyers when we purchased our home but do we

now have an obligation to disclose these things when we go to sell? You tell us! We have put up with these nuisances for years. I want to know what advantage you see to adding to this electronic pollution?

Do you see any health benefits to adding this new tower to Lookout Mountain which was a residential area long before the first tower even went up? I listened as neighbors from Cabrini, Grandview and Cody Park all talked of the cancers around their homes. On my short street there have been two brain cancers too. Don't you think you should at least get the results of the health department's cancer study before you decide that this tower is so benign?

If you aren't concerned about the loss of aesthetics, high tech industry, consumer electronics, and health, what about property values? Do you think that this new tower is going to enhance your property tax revenues from the Lookout Mountain area? If the health department sees some abnormalities in the cancer rate, what effect do you think that will have on property values? What if we all protest our property taxes based on the change of zoning Cedar Lake Group is proposing for our neighborhood? I'm guessing that the average property tax bill in my neighborhood of Paradise Hills is \$4,000/year. There are more than a hundred homes so that must represent at least \$400,000 in property taxes. And that isn't counting the other neighborhoods of Mount Vernon, Mount Vernon Estates, Panorama Estates, etc. and the occasional home such as Bill Coors' on Cabrini point. I understand that all of the antennas together generate revenues of around \$30,000/year for the county. Does it make financial sense to jeopardize so much residential tax revenue to accommodate the Lake Cedar Group? Do you see this as an advantage?

Finally, do you see any advantage to dealing with a large, profit driven consortium which has been anything but forthright in submitting information about its proposal? Everything has been deceptive, from the statements about existing electromagnetic fields (which have been higher than allowed by the FCC) to statements about whether the broadcasters will use directional or omni-directional antennas, to calculations of the surface area of the proposed new tower, to statements regarding elevators or no elevators, to statements saying that there is no alternative site for this tower. And, they haven't even included the FM radio stations (their future bread and butter in this scheme) in the planning. They have misrepresented the facts about all of the above. Do you want to be forever tied to them and their profit driven actions? Do you think that they have any interest in the well being of Jefferson County and its citizens?

Are you prepared for the legal fights and the bad press if the stellar Lake Cedar Group does not pull off exactly what they claim they will? No increased electromagnetic fields, no health hazards, no risks to private property or tourists with pacemakers, no loss of property value, etc.?

Please explain to me all the advantages you see in hosting this travesty. I await your response.

Sincerely,

Patricia B. Roberts
64 Lookout Mountain Circle, Golden, CO, 80401

1-27-99

Mr. Tim Carl
Planning & Zoning Dept.
re: proposed new tower on
Lookout Mountain.

Dear Mr. Carl,

We are totally against
the proposed new tower of
the Lake Cedar Group.

There are already too
many towers in our neighborhood.
The towers are increasing the
amount of electromagnetic
radiation, which is so very
dangerous for all the residents
who live here.

Please do not approve
to rezone "to build a new tower
and transmission building
designed for many television -
radio, radar and other high
power broadcast antennas"
of the Lake Cedar Group.
There are many open spaces

where they can build the
tower without interfering with
residents


Thank you very much,

Sincerely, Magda P Wilensky

266 So Lamb Ln.

Rt 5

Golden, Co. 80401



JEFFERSON COUNTY
PLANNING AND ZONING

REZONING HEARING: 5:00 PM FEBRUARY 2, 1999

IF YOU CAN SEE THE EXISTING TALL TOWER ON LOOKOUT MOUNTAIN, YOU SHOULD BE AT THE ABOVE HEARING. THE PROPOSED NEW TOWER WILL HAVE MANY TRANSMISSION DEVICES WHICH WILL BE AIMED AT YOU.

POINTS TO CONSIDER:

The existing Lookout Mountain farms already cause the most complex and intense electromagnetic environment in a residential neighborhood in the US (per the FCC).

Planning & Zoning Commissioners approved this proposed tower on Jan. 13, 1999. On Feb. 2 at the Taj Mahal, the County Commissioners will begin hearings on the Lake Cedar Group application to rezone and build a tower and transmission building on Lookout Mountain designed for a minimum of 8 television, 5 FM radio, radar and many other high power broadcast antennas.

If this facility is turned on, it will immediately increase the amount of electromagnetic radiation trespassing through large areas on Lookout Mountain, Mt. Vernon and Genesee.

A growing number of scientists believe that electromagnetic radiation at these levels causes adverse health effects ranging from loss of sleep and irritability to reduction in melatonin production and cancer.

Epidemiological studies of populations within 3 kilometers of broadcast antennas in other areas show increased cancer rates. Many of these populations are not even at the same elevation as the main power beams radiating from the antennas as many of us are.

The Colorado Department of Health has almost completed a detailed epidemiological study of the number of brain cancers in the block groups near the antenna farms on Lookout Mountain.

Jefferson County Commissioners refuse to wait for the Dept. of Health study before beginning the hearing on this new tower.

Despite written promises to protect our health, safety and welfare, Jefferson County appears willing to allow broadcasters to radiate us with amounts as high as 200 microwatts per centimeter squared.

The transmitter building (32,000 sq. feet) will be nearly the size of a King Soopers and the top of the tower will have devices the size of a house.

Neither Jefferson County nor the FCC has enforced regulations against existing broadcasters.

Dear Tim:

Please do not allow this tower and additional transmitters to be approved. I am an electrical engineer and I know it is true. The radiation we have now was here, before many of us lived here on lookout mountain. Please, please do not allow this re-zoning.


Call or write:
Tim Carl, Planning & Zoning (303) 271-8710
The Board of County Commissioners (303) 271-8941

Dear Sirs

1-27-99

I'm writing to voice my concern over the proposed addition of a broadcasting tower to Lookout Mt. I think it's negligent of Jeffco to allow this addition without long term studies of the health effects on people in close proximity to the antenna farm. Therefore this tower should not be allowed without further research on the health effects. If you think it's so safe why don't you move up to Lookout Mt and live on Mountsfield or Cabrini Blvd.

Kurt Jacob



Marta Shreiner

Jan. 24, 1999

Mr Tim Carl
Planning and Zoning
100 Jefferson County Pkwy Suite 3550
Golden, CO 80419

Dear Mr. Carl,

I am truly disappointed in the fact that you have allowed the passage of the Tower. I live on Cabrini Blvd. and my concern on purchasing the house 8 years ago were the multitude of towers which are in direct line with the house. We had the EPA come out and do measurements for health reasons because it was a concern for me then too. They assured us that it was safe based on the instruments available to them at that time. As a parent of 5 children ranging now between the ages of 15-24. I have spent the last 18 years of my life involved with their well being. I am fighting for it now.

Unfortunately or fortunately a family friend was on the G. E. research team responsible for studies on the effect of high tension lines. She gave me the information of their hazardous effect many years prior to the release of this information to the general public. Is this what we have to look forward to now? I am greatly concerned that proper studies have not been done for this new tower. At the Lake Cedar Group Community Meeting held at Mt. Vernon Country Club there was ABSOLUTELY no satisfaction given to my concerns. I am a Microbiologist and Biochemist and had worked for 8 years in the research field at NIH in Washington, DC. I KNOW what can be done to data to represent what one wants to show and The Lake Cedar Organization is doing a whang up snowballing job. It is amazing how fast this got slipped through. The media has made little if any mention of it. How come? Could it be it is in their best

52 Paradise Road
Golden, CO 80401
January 25, 1999

Board of County Commissioners
100 Jefferson County Parkway, Suite 500
Golden, CO 80419


RE: Rezoning for Lookout Mountain Transmission Towers

Dear Commissioners:

I was a project manager for design and construction projects for the National Park Service. One of the projects I managed was for construction of new employee housing at the South Rim of Grand Canyon National Park. Concern about health risks from electromagnetic radiation was voiced when certain types of electric heat were identified for heating the residences. There was no proof that this radiation caused health problems, but the concern was strong. Some of the heating types such, as baseboard heaters and newer generations of heat storage baseboard heaters were discussed but posed the greatest exposure to electromagnetic radiation. To make a long story short, heating the houses with all electric heat was a very viable option, however since other alternative electric heating systems were available it was decided to "play it safe" and not expose residence to electromagnetic radiation. I think the County should "play it safe" on the transmission towers. There are other alternatives available for location of the transmission towers that would reduce the exposure of people to electromagnetic radiation.

There are three areas of concern that I have heard about concerning the transmission towers; electromagnetic radiation (health and interference), weather related concerns (structural tower failure and ice fall), and esthetics (towers and new building). So far the experts in these fields seem to have come from either the Cedar Lake Group and from the citizens. (It was very disturbing to learn that the radiation readings taken by the consultant to the Cedar Lake Group were in error. Apparently this expert did not know that the measuring equipment was very temperature sensitive and therefore got readings that were very favorable to the radiation levels being safe.) Both groups have certain natural biases toward the tower project. I think Jefferson County should contract with experts in these field to provide the County with an unbiased study and recommendation of the transmission towers, including relating all these items to each other. It is impossible for me to understand how the County can make decisions on this project when I am fairly certain that most of the expertise needed is not available on the County staff.


Paul Kalkwarf



January 25, 1999


52 Paradise Road
Golden, CO 80401

Board of Jefferson County Commissioners
100 Jefferson County Parkway, Suite 500
Golden, CO 80419

RE: Rezoning for TV Transmission Towers, Lookout Mountain

Dear Commissioners:

We live on Lookout Mountain. Are we already at risk to our health from the electromagnetic radiation waves generated by the transmission towers on Lookout Mountain? Will this risk become greater with the proposed new configuration of transmission towers? We already have a considerable amount of interference on our TV and radio. Will this also get worse? It appears to me that this project is going forward with little knowledge of it's affects and I am opposed to it.


Bonnie Saxton

RECEIVED
JAN 27 1999
JEFFERSON COUNTY
PLANNING AND ZONING

January 29, 1999

Dear Tim Carl,

Hello Tim, my name is Howard Denton. I am a long time Genesee resident and recently built a new home in Paradise Hills. I received a news letter concerning the proposed tower for Lookout Mountain. The letter states that the Colorado Department of Health is investigating the possible health hazards the tower may cause. It further says that the Jefferson County Commissioners refused to wait for the study results and held a hearing. Please inform me if these facts are correct. I would also be interested in the position you are taking on this matter. Your timely response would be appreciated.


Sincerely,



Howard Denton

397 Buena Vista
Golden, CO 80401

Cc: Board of Counru Commissioners
Kimball & Nespor, P.C.



21928 Mountsfield Drive
Golden, Colorado 80401
Jan. 28, 1999

Jefferson County Planning and Zoning Department
100 Jefferson County Parkway, Suite 3550
Golden, Co. 80419


Dear Mr. Carl:

We are writing to strongly oppose the rezoning to build a tower and transmission building on Lookout Mountain. Our home of 22 years sits approximately 1800 meters from the existing tower which is clearly visible from our front door. If this new tower is approved, the total power density affecting our home will be in the 5.0 to 10.0 category, sharply increasing our existing level.


Our neighborhood already has the most complex and intense electromagnetic environment in the U.S. We feel any addition to this is a major threat to our health and safety. My husband has already survived one form of cancer and we do not want to be subjected to increased threats caused by high levels of electromagnetic radiation. Studies have shown that this is indeed a factor in cancer levels and all health data must be evaluated and included. No decision can be made before then and it should be made on the side of caution.

In addition, our property value suffers from these continual increases at the antenna farm. Health awareness and concern for factors in the environment which threaten it are becoming of greater general concern with every passing day. Our property, in clear view of this proposed monster tower, will not be nearly as valuable if this proposed tower is built. My rights are being denied to make money for corporations that care nothing for individuals or their health. There must be some governmental role to insure these individual rights are heard and respected. We hope that is your role.

Sincerely,



Bonnie Campbell and Gerald Patten



January 27, 1999

Planning & Zoning Department
100 Jefferson County Pkwy Suite 3550
Golden, CO 80419-3550

Dear Mr. Tim Carl:

I have been a resident on Look-out Mountain for seven years. I walk several times a week near Boetcher mansion and the towers, at certain times the sound is overwhelming. I am concerned about the proposed tower and transmission building on Lookout Mountain.


I think there should be more scientific studies done on the long term effects of electromagnetic radiation at these levels. This is a residential area, with too many people, children, pets and wildlife. It doesn't seem fair to overlook the treat to life.

I really don't understand why these towers can't be relocated to a remote area, to avoid long term risks, as well as to preserve the natural beauty of the area and the land values.

I personally hope the voice of this community will be heard. I am opposed to the rezoning.

Sincerely,

Carol Jespersion
Carol A. Jespersion



To Whom it may concern:

RE: The proposed towers on Lookout Mountain by Lake Cedar Group and the Mt. Morrison tower


We are greatly opposed to the rezoning for more towers in ^{our} ~~are~~ area due to the health effects it would have on our families now and in the future and demand that there be a thorough scientific study of the health of residents exposed to long and short term electromagnetic radiation.

You will also endanger many children who attend Ralston Elementary School by increasing the electromagnetic radiation by letting this be approved. Would you let your child attend there? Lake Cedar Group must be stopped! Their concern is not for our children's and our families welfare but their own business profits from this deal.


Ask us how we feel!! Save our children from unnecessary exposure and major health problems, law suits etc. and our precious children from suffering from something they have no choice in.

God Bless you who have a conscious!!

Sincerely,



Karen Napoli
22123 Crestmoor Road
Golden, CO 80401



February 1, 1999

Mr. Tim Carl
Jefferson County Building
100 Jefferson County Parkway
Suite 3550
Golden, Colorado 80401-3550

Dear Mr. Carl,

As a resident of Paradise Hills on Lookout Mountain, I would like to thank you for postponing the hearing regarding the proposed Lake Cedar tower. The more I learn about the proposed tower and the increase in electromagnetic radiation to which we will be exposed, the more concerned I become.

As one of my elected officials, it is incumbent upon you to review all available health and alternative site data prior to making a final rezoning decision. We are all well aware of areas around our country where toxic or harmful elements have jeopardized the health and safety of area residents. Until we know the facts (as evaluated by neutral third parties) I believe approval of the tower would be unwise.


Aside from the obvious impact on the view other metro residents have of the mountain, any possible health risk must, in good conscience, be thoroughly investigated. Any and all site alternatives must be considered and above all the voices, concerns and wishes of the residents you represent, must be heeded.

Thank you in advance for your thoughtful consideration of this very serious issue.

Sincerely,



Ann Hanggi
183 Paradise Road
Golden, Colorado



Mr. Tim Carl
Planning and Zoning Department
100 Jefferson County Parkway Suite 3550
Golden, Co.80419

Dear Mr. Carl,

My wife Helen ,my son Jacob and I live up here on Lookout Mountain. We respect and believe in our Jefferson County government,this letter comes from that place and that belief. We now know the towers placed up here on our mountain are a very real danger to our health and to the health of our 14 year old son. As I am sure you know new towers of much greater strength have been proposed for the same location. This tower ,owned by a Delaware corp.,must not be allowed to be built!!!! We trust you to represent us ,protect us, and serve us.....Please don't let these people slowly kill our children and us.

We are willing to give you and your predecessors the benefit of saying you did not know of the real danger these towers cause to our health. However no longer can our government claim ignorance. Maybe you are not convinced the existing information is true and there is a 5 times greater chance of getting CANCER up here where we live. Why take a chance with our lives when there are near by locations asking for these towers. Locations without people living under these towers .Why take a chance with our lives?


Mr. Carl ...do the right thing for the people of Lookout Mountain. There are some very angry people up here. we don't want a fight, we just want you to protect us like you promised you would. My family and I ask you to not only STOP the permit for this tower but we want the existing towers taken down and placed somewhere where they will not kill the people under and around them. Please let us know what your decision will be.

Thank you for your help,

Richard Helen and Jacob Squire

Richard Helen and Jacob Squire
21989 Grandview Ave.
Golden Co.80401

C.C.
Board of Jeffco. City. Commissioners
Senator Wayne Allard
The Hon. Ben Nighthorse Campbell
Governor Bill Owens
Michelle Lawrence
Pat Halloway
Richard Sheehan



FAX TRANSMITTAL

TO: *Mr. Tim Carl*
FROM: *Barbara and Tom Jordan*
641 Somerset Dr.
Golden, CO 80401
DATE: *February 16, 1999*
PAGES: *1 (including this cover page)*
YOUR FAX#: *303 271-8744*
OUR FAX#: *303 445-9486*
OUR VOICE #: *303 445-9401*


SUBJECT: *Lookout Mountain Antenna Farm*

This is to voice our dismay over the latest proposed project to further contribute to our urban blight. First, the South Table Mountain situation. That abated, along comes Northwest Parkway. Now the proposed 850 foot tower for HDTV and support building, which should totally ruin the beauty left in Golden and at Lookout Mountain.

Who is watching the store here? Why do we in Golden have to become the eyesore for all of Denver metro's new TV technology? Why can't some of the antennas (or all new ones) go somewhere else at present less "antenna-dense", like Squaw Mountain?

I assume you are a resident of the area. Are you equally outraged?

Stephanie Schoenecke
343 Paradise Road
Golden, CO 80401
February 5, 1999



Tim Carl
Planning & Zoning
100 Jefferson County Pkwy, Suite 3550
Golden, CO 80419

Dear Mr. Carl:

I am furious with the Planning Commission. Why? Because on 1-13-99 the Jeffco Planning Board approved a new high intensity 834 foot transmission tower on Lookout Mountain if this tower goes in, some residential areas will get 60 times more radiation but neither the Lake Cedar Group nor Jeffco Planning Board is concerned. Why not? Is big business and big money more important than the health issues of the residents?

I have read of many studies of cancer related illnesses around electromagnetic radiation. We have lived on Lookout Mountain for six years and I have only four close neighbors, two of which have cancer and one who is no longer with us because of cancer. The third has changed ownership three times in the past six years. So yes, I am concerned and more than a little afraid.

We moved to Lookout Mountain to a higher rent district to get away from the city pollution. We pay higher property taxes to be in this area. Yet, it appears that the higher taxes we pay and the safety of the residents in the Lookout Mountain/Genesee area mean nothing to the Lake Cedar Group or our planning board.

The regulations on the existing towers have not been enforced by Jefferson County or the FCC.

I feel it is time to protest our property taxes because the approval of this tower will bring the value of our homes down to almost nothing. Who will want to live there with the health risk and there is a very serious health risk. If I had know six years ago what I know today I would never have moved to Lookout Mountain. I want to be a healthy tax paying citizen and not have worry about the health of me or my family. As far as I am concerned, the Jefferson County has assaulted me and my neighborhood and continues to do so.

Our government used to be for the people but it appears that big business groups and the money they generate are more important than the lives and health of the people they will jeopardize in the long term. They are alternatives. Please look into them for the sake of the people that support Jefferson County Government.

Sincerely,


Stephanie Schoenecke

January 25, 1999

Mr. Tim Carl
Planning & Zoning Department
100 Jefferson County Pkwy., Ste. 3550
Golden, CO 80409-3550

Dear Mr. Carl:


Until today, I have not taken time to voice my objections to adding another tower, additional broadcast antennas and a huge building to the Lookout Mountain antenna farm. I felt confident that in light of other recent serious and costly problems arising because Jefferson County officials failed to make wise decisions to protect their citizens' health, safety and welfare, they would surely learn from that error and carefully consider all the facts in this issue, then make a decision that is in the best interest of their citizens. When Planning and Zoning Commissioners approved the tower on January 13th, I realized my confidence was misplaced and determined to add my voice and efforts to those of my neighbors who are fighting to protect the health and lives of our families and friends as well as to protect our environment.


Let me make it very clear as to why I oppose the tower, additional antennas and transmission building:

- (1) Approval of the application to make these additions to the Lookout Mountain antenna farm has NO benefits to Jefferson County's citizens that I can see. So just who does benefit by adding another tower to Lookout Mountain?
- (2) There is potential for serious health risks. The magnitudes of the risks are not even known yet, and Jefferson County officials seem to be willing to ignore the potential risks and subject their citizens to them. The existing antennas already subject the Lookout Mountain residential neighborhood to intense electromagnetic radiation. When the proposed additions are turned on the electromagnetic radiation will double! Scientific studies indicate that there are adverse health effects from these levels of electromagnetic radiation.
- (3) The proposal is not just about adding one more tower. It includes adding a huge building, which would mar the natural beauty of our foothills. Is this an improvement and benefit to Jefferson County citizens? I don't think so.
- (4) Lookout Mountain is NOT the ONLY or even the best site for the proposed tower and transmission building. There is an alternative. The Lake Cedar Group can realize their goals without jeopardizing the lives of people. Therefore, there is no good reason to sacrifice the health and well being of Jefferson County citizens.

Trusting to your good judgment and civic duty, I urge you, please DO NOT ignore the facts. Keep your promises to protect our health, safety and welfare. Please do not subject Jefferson County citizens to adverse health risks or allow the construction of a huge transmission building to mar the natural beauty of our foothills.

Sincerely,


Sharon Young
123 Paradise Rd.
Golden, CO 80401



February 15, 1999

Denver Rocky Mountain News
Letters to the Editor
P.O. Box 719
Denver, CO 80201

Dear Editor,

In regard to human health and safety, we are writing to express our concern regarding the potential addition of a new "super-tower" to Lookout Mountain to address the broadcast needs of a limited-liability media group representing channels 4, 6, 7, 9 and 20.

Recent research regarding electromagnetic radiation emitted by such towers has been highly suggestive of negative health risks. Also, the volume and quality of research performed to date has been minimal and does not relate to Lookout Mountain's unique situation as one of the already most highly-radiated residential communities in the country.

We are asking that Jefferson County disallow the erection of the new tower until more conclusive research can be performed to rule out health risks and interference complications for local businesses. We also urge the county to require the towers' sponsor corporation to conduct an alternative site study to determine if there isn't a more prudent site in a non-residential area. We believe that the 8000-plus residents of the Lookout Mountain community, as well as the many other local citizens and businesses, should at least receive this courtesy over an out-of-state corporation who has only "non-conformance access" and no right to this area for anything other than monetary gain.

Julie and Jim Behrens
394 Colorow Rd.
Golden, CO 80401
303-370-8033 (w)
303-526-4116 (h)

February 15, 1999

The Denver Post
Letters to the Editor
P.O. Box 1709
Denver, CO 80201

Dear Editor,

In follow-up to columnist, Chuck Green's, commentary regarding the great tower struggle between the residents of Lookout Mountain and the mighty media group representing channels 4,6,7, 9 and 20, I'd like to restate our concern in regard to human health and safety. As Jefferson County residents who are quite alarmed by recent research which highly suggests negative health risks associated with low-level electromagnetic radiation emitted by such towers, we believe we understand why Channel "9 Doesn't Want to Know."

The media group, limited liability corporation, had hoped to receive approval for a new, super-tower through the Jefferson County Commissioners quickly and uneventfully. They didn't realize that Lookout Mountain's current status as one of the most highly-radiated residential communities in the country, had already raised red flags with the community. With the media group's proposal to double the amount of electromagnetic radiation, the community has banded together to demand prudence before jeopardizing health, the beauty of the mountains and business practice due to interference complications.

As local residents, we are asking that Jefferson County disallow the tower until more conclusive research regarding health risks and alternative sites can be performed. We believe that local citizens deserve at least this courtesy.

Julie and Jim Behrens
394 Colorow Road
Golden, CO 80401
303-370-8033 (w)
303-526-4116

COPY

Rosina Lee Todd
34 So. Lookout Mountain Circle
Golden, CO 80401

November 6, 1998

Tim Carl
Jefferson County Planning Department
100 Jefferson County Parkway
Golden, CO 80419

Re: Application of Lake Cedar Group

Dear Mr. Carl:

I live approximately one mile from the "antenna farm" on Lookout Mountain. I have a clear view of the antennas from several rooms in my house, which is located at about the same altitude as the major broadcast antennas.

My husband and I had our house built 27 years ago, and have lived in it continually since then. Or rather, I have lived in it since then; my husband lived in it until last spring when he died from brain cancer.

My neighbors and I can't help but worry that we are all at risk from the high levels of electro-magnetic radiation being emitted from these broadcast antennas. We have had to use extra shielding of our TV's and stereo, and still get interference from the radiation. We wonder what this radiation is doing to our bodies.

Since the electro-magnetic radiation level from these broadcast towers is already higher than the limits set by the FCC and the county, I urge you to recommend denial of any additional towers and work for a plan to reduce the number of towers that are now in place.

Lookout Mountain is a residential neighborhood, and these towers should be removed to a less populated area such as Squaw Mountain.

Thank you for your consideration.

RLT
Rosina Lee Todd

October 31, 1998

Mr. Tim Carl
JeffCo Planning Department
100 JeffCo Parkway
Golden, CO 80419

Dear Mr. Carl;

It seems too bad that with all of the effort of the CARE organization to inform your department of the electro-magnetic pollution problem at the antenna farm that a private citizen had to take time and money to force this issue to the surface.

If you continue to allow additions to the antenna farm on Lookout Mountain then the pollution problem will not decrease. Forty years ago I rejected an offer to install an antenna on my Lookout Mountain property.

Lets find a less inhabited high ground for the additional towers and antennas that will obviously be part of the growth of communications.

Respectfully,



William O. Hall
55 Ammons Street
Lakewood, CO 80226

cc; CARE
25958 Genesee Trail Road
Unit K-203
Golden, Colorado 80401

October 30, 1998

Tim Carl
Jefferson County Planning Dept
100 JeffCo Prkwy
Golden, CO 80419

Dear Mr. Carl:

We are opposed to the installation of any additional antennae within or near residential communities within Jefferson County. Please give unpopulated and remote areas higher priority than residential sites when considering alternatives.

We realize unpopulated sites may be more expensive to developers than existing sites within residential communities, but the cost to residents having to live with antennae is also high.

Thank you.

Sincerely,



Scott Shuler
22108 Red hawk Lane
Golden, CO 80401



Brenda Shuler

cc: CARE

October 23, 1998

Jeffco Planning Dept.
Attn: Mr. Tim Carl
100 Jeffco Pkwy
Golden, Co. 80419

Dear Mr. Carl,

I've lived in Colo. for all 51 years of my life. I've lived in Panorama Estates for 18 years next to the antenna farm. I've listened to radio, TV, and cell phone on my toaster, tape recorders, telephone, radio. I've seen my neighbors get cancer---some of them dying from it! I've seen my property values lowered by the antenna farm. I worried about my three children contracting cancer. I've been in the electrical business for 35 years and know that electro magnetic fields are harmful to human health!!!

I have the ugliest view [the antenna farm forest of antennas] in Jefferson County. Why can't you **remove them all** and relocate them to places like squaw mountain? Stop opposing the wishes of the residents of Lookout Mtn.

Let's stop catering to big money and take care of your neighbors. Both you and I know that morally it's the right thing to do.

Respectfully,



Michael Kleineider
561 Columbine Ave.
Golden, Co. 80401
ph: 303-526-1445

CC: CARE

Furniture By Design

Susan M. Abel

703 Aspen Road - Lookout Mountain - Golden, Colorado 80401
(303) 526-9654

9 November, 1998

Tim Carl
Jeffco Planning Dept.,
100 Jeffco Pkwy
Golden, Colorado 80419


Dear Tim,

As a resident of Lookout Mountain, I would like to express my concern about the existence and the potential growth of the antenna farms. I currently reside at 703 Aspen Road, and the view out of my living room window is of numerous unsightly antennas. Along with this hideous picture, I fear for the safety of my family, which includes 2 small children, from electromagnetic pollution. In addition I worry about the environmental effects that these towers may pose on all of us. As well, I am extremely concerned about the depreciation of my residence due to the current existence and potential existence of more antennas.

I desperately would like to see the removal of all of the existing antennas, and any new transmitters, to an alternative site, in an unpopulated area, where there would be no risk from exposure such as Squaw Mountain, Eldorado Mountain or numerous other high altitude U.S. Forest sites.

At this time, I would like for the Jeffco Planning Dept. to **JUST SAY NO** to the Lake Cedar Group.

Thank you.



Susan M. Abel (a concerned resident)

LOOKOUT MOUNTAIN ELECTRIC, INC

703 ASPEN ROAD
GOLDEN, COLORADO 80401
(303) 526-5720

9 November, 1998

Tim Carl
Jeffco Planning Dept.,
100 Jeffco Pkwy
Golden, Colorado 80419

Dear Tim,

As a concerned resident and business owner of Lookout Mountain, I would like to express my concern about the existence and the potential growth of the antenna farms. As a resident of Aspen Road, I can look out of my living room window and directly view numerous unsightly antennas. Along with this unattractive picture, I fear for the safety of my family, which includes 2 small children, from electromagnetic pollution. In addition I worry about the environmental effects that these towers may pose on all of us. As well, I am extremely concerned about the real estate value of my residence due to the current existence and potential existence of more antennas.

I desperately would like to see the removal of all of the existing antennas, and any new transmitters, to an alternative site, in an unpopulated area, where there would be no risk from exposure such as Squaw Mountain, Eldorado Mountain or numerous other high altitude U.S. Forest sites.

At this time, I would like for the Jeffco Planning Dept. to **JUST SAY NO** to the Lake Cedar Group.

Thank you.



Walter R. Thomoff (a concerned resident)

LOOKOUT MOUNTAIN
PARADISE HILLS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION
A Not-For-Profit Homeowners' Corporation

November 10, 1998

Mr. Tim Carl
Jeffco Planning Department
100 Jeffco Parkway
Golden, Co. 80419

RE: proposed Expansion of the Antenna Problem

Paradise Hills Homeowners Association has a population of 556 residents living on the southwest portion of Lookout Mountain. Our area is well within the electromagnetic radiation field of the existing and planned television towers.

The Board of Directors urge the Jefferson County Commissioners

1. To fund an independent study of the health problems that exist now and those that would be enhanced with future tower expansion.
2. To lead and urge the industry relocation of their towers from populated Lookout Mountain to Squaw Mountain or other non-populated mountains on the front range.

Political leadership brought about the relocation of Stapleton Airport, surely this would be less of a task!

For the Board,



Peter M. Bates
323 Paradise Road
Golden. Co. 80401

LOOKOUT MOUNTAIN
PARADISE HILLS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION
A Not-For-Profit Homeowners' Corporation

November 10, 1998

Mr. Tim Carl
Jeffco Planning Department
100 Jeffco Parkway
Golden, Co. 80419

RE: proposed Expansion of the Antenna Problem

Paradise Hills Homeowners Association has a population of 556 residents living on the southwest portion of Lookout Mountain. Our area is well within the electromagnetic radiation field of the existing and planned television towers.

The Board of Directors urge the Jefferson County Commissioners

1. To fund an independent study of the health problems that exist now and those that would be enhanced with future tower expansion.
2. To lead and urge the industry relocation of their towers from populated Lookout Mountain to Squaw Mountain or other non-populated mountains on the front range.

Political leadership brought about the relocation of Stapleton Airport, surely this would be less of a task!

For the Board,



Peter M. Bates
323 Paradise Road
Golden. Co. 80401

52 Paradise Road
Golden, CO 80401
November 9, 1998

Mr. Tim Carl
Jefferson County Planning Department
100 Jefferson County Parkway
Golden, CO 80419

Dear Mr. Carl:

What is the County going to do to make certain that the residents of Lookout Mountain do not continue to be exposed to potential health risks from electromagnetic radiation (EMR) by the radio and television transmitters located on Lookout Mountain?

Several weeks ago we attended a meeting where the proposal for additional radio and television transmitters on Lookout Mountain was presented. The consultant to the radio and television stations assured us that the EMR was below Federal Communication Commission (FCC) standards now and would be in the future and therefore there was no health risk from the EMR. Recently it was proven that the levels in certain areas are considerably above the safe limits. It is very interesting to note that it was in the best interest of the owners of the towers to have their consultant conclude that there was no health risk from EMR and also the County apparently did nothing to check these findings.

Since there is evidence that EMR exceeds FCC standards and that the radio and TV station owners cannot be trusted to provide accurate information of health risks and the County apparently has no way to check or control the EMR from the transmitters, we are opposed to any new transmitters. Additionally, standards related to health risks, over time, usually become more strict. If this happens with EMR standards, how will the County deal with the EMR on Lookout Mountain?



Bonnie Saxton



Paul Kalkwar

1 November 1998

Tim Carl
Jefferson County Planning Department
100 Jeff C o Parkway
Golden, CO 80419

Dear Mr. Carl,

As a resident of Jefferson County , living within a few miles of the antennas on Lookout Mountain, I want of express my concern about the existing towers, and major concern about the proposed expansion of new towers.

First the existing towers are unsightly, especially in a residential area. These towers reduce our ability to sell our properties. The reduction in property values should be reflected in our taxes.

Second I have a concern for our safety, living so close to the existing towers. At a very minimum the county should have independent third party studies run to determine the emission levels from the existing towers. Are the radiation levels safe for residents living in the proximity of the towers?

Third, before adding additional towers, third party testing needs to be run on the new technology to insure its safety. Why not solve both the unsightly appearance of the towers, and the safety concerns by locating the new towers in non residential area.

Sincerely,



Al and Merryanne Kibbler
25853 Gateway Drive
Golden, CO 80401

Telephone 303 - 526 - 1537

Copy to:
Canyon Area Residents for the Environment
25958 Genesee Trail Road - Unit K - 203
Golden, CO 80401

COPY

Rosina Lee Todd
34 So. Lookout Mountain Circle
Golden, CO 80401

November 6, 1998

Tim Carl
Jefferson County Planning Department
100 Jefferson County Parkway
Golden, CO 80419

Re: Application of Lake Cedar Group

Dear Mr. Carl:

I live approximately one mile from the "antenna farm" on Lookout Mountain. I have a clear view of the antennas from several rooms in my house, which is located at about the same altitude as the major broadcast antennas.

My husband and I had our house built 27 years ago, and have lived in it continually since then. Or rather, I have lived in it since then; my husband lived in it until last spring when he died from brain cancer.

My neighbors and I can't help but worry that we are all at risk from the high levels of electro-magnetic radiation being emitted from these broadcast antennas. We have had to use extra shielding of our TV's and stereo, and still get interference from the radiation. We wonder what this radiation is doing to our bodies.

Since the electro-magnetic radiation level from these broadcast towers is already higher than the limits set by the FCC and the county, I urge you to recommend denial of any additional towers and work for a plan to reduce the number of towers that are now in place.

Lookout Mountain is a residential neighborhood, and these towers should be removed to a less populated area such as Squaw Mountain.

Thank you for your consideration.

RLT

Rosina Lee Todd

✓ CARE

Genesee Gem LLC
25918 Genesee Trail Road, Suite 200
Golden, Colorado 80401
(303) 526-5521 - FAX (303) 526-4151

November 6, 1998

Tim Carl
Jefferson County Planning Dept.
100 Jefferson County Parkway
Golden, CO 80419

Re: Opposition to the Lookout Mountain Antenna Farms

Dear Mr. Carl:

As a principal in the ownership of the Genesee Towne Centre, I would like express our concerns regarding the Lookout Mountain Antenna Farms.

It is our understanding that a draft ODP (without limitations) has been presented to Jefferson County by the Lake Cedar Group LLC for preliminary approval, other Denver broadcasters would like to add 100+ transmitters to the Lookout Mountain site and the existing transmitters are currently out of compliance with FCC and County limits for electromagnetic pollution. As property owners, we are strongly oppose to any future expansion of the site and in fact, we would support the elimination of site's antenna use.

Since there is a direct line of sight from our property to the site (and within a 5 mile radius), continuation and/or expansion of the antenna farms exposes us to several issues:

- ⇒ Direct contact with electromagnetic pollution, an important health issue;
- ⇒ Our property's value will be significantly reduced by the existing situation,

The ability to attract or retain tenants and/or customers to the Centre may be significantly impacted by the presence of the antenna farms. This will be reflected in our net operating income, which is used as an incremental part of

... from
... these
TV's
wonder
... towers
... I
... for a

... Group

... in

... in

... them;

... e